Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership between Juliana Martha Lecch and William Leech, her son, Painters, Plumbers, and Glaziers, lately carried on at Newmarket, in the County of Suffolk, under the firm of Leech and Son, was dissolved by mutual consent on the 31st day of December 1824; from that time the said business will be carried on by the said William Leech, on his own account.— Dated this 11th day of January 1825.

Juliana Marthu Leech. Willm. Leech.

Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership lately sub-sisting and carried on between Benjamin Rawling the elder and Benjamin Rawling the younger, at Leeds, in the County of York, as Woollen-Drapers and Tailors, was this day dissolved by mutual consent: As witness their hands this 14th day of January 1825.

Benj. Rawling, sen. Benju. Rawling, jun.

Otice-is hereby given, that the Partnership subsisting between us the undersigned, William Ashmore, Thomas Wingfield, and Thomas Paine Gerald Osborne, all of Shef-field, in the County of York, Opticians, was this day dissolved by mutual consent, so far as relates to the said Thomas Wingfield and his share and interest therein .- Witness our hands this 12th day of January 1825.

Wm. Ashmore. Thomas Wingfield. T. P. G. Osborne.

January 1, 1825 E, Edward Austin and Morgan Austin, Surgeons and Apothecaries, of Red-Lion-Street and Northampton-Square, Clerkenwell, by mutual consent dissolve our Partership .- In witness thereof we have subscribed our names. Edward Austin,

28, Northampton-Square, Clerkenwell.

Morgan Austin, 15, Red-Lion-Street, Clerkenwell.

Otice is hereby given, that the Copartnership lately subsisting between us the undersigned, James Groom the elder and William Wright the younger, of Burnham-Overy, in the County of Norfolk, Veterinary Surgeons, was dissolved on the S1st day of March last by mutual consent; and all persons who stand indebted to the said Copartnership are requested to pay their respective debts to the said William Wright; and all persons having any demands upon the said Copartnership are desired to send in their accounts to the said William Wright, that the same may be discharged: As witness our hands the 2d day of April 1824.

The James × Groom the elder. Mark of

W. Wright, jun.

Notice is hereby given, that the Partnership trade hereto fore subsisting and carried on between and by the undersigned, James Brough and Thomas Brough, of Manchester, in the County of Lancaster, Tailors and Drapers, under the firm of James and Thomas Brough, was dissolved by mutual consent on the 27th day of September last; and all debts due to and owing from the said Partnership will be received and paid by the said James Brough.—Dated this 12th day of January 1825.

James Brough.

Thos. Brough.

Otice is hereby given, that the Copartnership lately subsisting between James Brooks, of Little Lever, in the County of Lancaster, Henry Knowles and Thomas Pinnington, of Bolton-le-Moors, in the said County, lately carry-ing on business as Cotton-Spinuers, in Bolton-le-Moors afore-said, under the firm of Brooks, Knowles, and Pinnington, is this day dissolved by mutual consent: As witness our bands this 13th day of January 1825.

James Brooks. Henry Knowles. Thomas Pinnington.

Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership carried on by us the undersigned, as Coach-Makers, in Black-friars-Road, under the firm of Cook and Keay, has been this day dissolved by mutual consent: As witness our bands this 5th day of January 1825.

Joo J. Cook.

W. Keay.

Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership carried on by and between as the undersigned, John Wilmshurst and William Coveney, at Cranbrook, in the County of Kent, in the trade or business of Woolstaplers and Buyers of Wool, was this day dissolved by mutual consent: As witness our hands this 15th day of January 1825.

Jno, Wilmshurst. Wm. Coveney.

Otice is hereby given, that the Copartnership heretofore subsisting between the us undersigned, William Dodd Wells and Thomas Bayley, Paper-Makers, of Iping, in the County of Sussex, was this day dissolved by mutual consent: As witness our hands this 14th day of January 1825. W. D. Wells.

Thomas Bayley.

EWIS and CONDER, Carpenters, Builders, Box and Case-Manufacturers, No. 75, Wood-Street, Cheapside, have this day dissolved Partnership .- 8th January 1826.

J. Lewis,

J. Conder.

Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership lately subsisting between John Smith, Thomas Skelton, and John Smith the younger (as Trustees and Executors of Mr. John Ingle, deceased), and George Ingle, as Stone-Merchants, at Potter-Newton, in the Parish of Leeds, in the County of York, trading under the firm of George Ingle and Co., was on the Sist day of December 1822 dissolved by mutual consent.—[All debts due to or owing by the said Partanership will be received and paid -v the said George Ingle nership will be received and paid -y the said George Ingle, by whom the business has been since the said 31st of December 1822, and will in future be conducted on his own private account.—Witness the hands of the said parties the 31st day of December 1824.

John Smith,

Thos. Skelton, John Smith, jun. Trustees and Executors of the late Mr. John Ingle.

G. Ingle.

Otice is hereby given, that the Partnership lately substitution, of Trowbridge, Wiltshire, and William Davis, of Basinghall-Street, London, Wool-Brokers, was dissolved by mutual consent on the 16th day of October last: As witness my hand on this 4th day of January 1825.

Joseph Stapleton.

Witness my hand this 17th day of January 1825. William Davis.

Pursuant to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a Cause Mortimer v. West, any person or persons claiming to be Heir at Law or Customary Heir of Richard Mortimer the elder, formerly of Mortimer-Terrace, Kentish-Town, in the County of Middlesex, Milkman, de-Kentish-Town, in the County of Middlesex, Milkman, deceased, is or are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 21st day of February 1825, to come in and establish such claim or claims before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said

Ursuant to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a Cause Garey v. Whittingham, any person or persons claiming to be the child or children of Thomas Baker, the brother of William Baker, late of Stockwell, in the County of Surrey (who died on or about the 28d day of June 1814), and also Thomas Baker and Sarah Baker, formerly of Hungry-Hill, Farnham, in the said County of Surrey, if living, is or are respectively to come in before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, Lon-