Inn ; of Mr. Cuff, Setistion, Half-Moon Street; and of Mr. Corne Martin, 259, Oxford-Street, of whom may also be obtained leave to view any of the above premises.

Freehold, Bromley, Middlesex.

William Vincent Com Line Nest hidder, before Henry William Vincent, Esq. His Majesty's Remembrancer of the Court of Exchequer, on Monday the 4th day of May 1835, at Two o't lock in the Afternoon, on the premises, pursuant

at I wo of lock in the Atternoon, on the premises, pursuant of an Order of the said Court;
All the right, title, estate, and interest of Mr. John Hate of and in a freehold estate and premises, consisting of matheouses, mills, dwelling houses, orchard, and appurtenances, situate at Bromley, otherwise Bromley St. Leonard, in the County of Middlesex, lately seized into His Majesty's hards, under a writ of extent, for the recovery of a large sum of money for duty on mait.

money for cuty on malt.

Particulars may be had (gratis) at the Chambers of the King's Remembrancer, No. 2, Mitre-Court-Buildings, Temple, London; at Mr. Jones's, Solicitor, No. 20, Gréat Marlborough-Street; at the Office of the Solicitor of Excise, No. 33, Broad-Street; of Mr. Charles Brown, Solicitor, No. 35, Lincoln's-Inn Fields; at Mr. Crook's, Auctioneet, Shinner-Street, Snow-Hill; and at the principal linus in the principal states of the property. neighbourhood of the property.

VICE-CHANCELLOR.—Wednesday the 22d day of April, in the fifth year of the reign of His Majesty King William the Fourth, 1835, between Robert Mawdesley and another, Plaintiffs; Alexander Williamson Wilsh, Defendant. (By original and amended Bill).

PORASMUCH as this Court was this present day informed by Mr. Wright, of Counsel for the plaintiffs, that the plaintiffs, on the 16th day of December last, exhibited their Bill in this Court against the defendant, as by the Six Clerks' Bill in this Court against the detendant, as by the Six Cieras certificate now read appears; and that the same was afterwards amended by an order, deted the 25th day of March last, that the plaintiffs have taken out process of subpena against the defendant, requiring him to appear to and answer the said amended Bill, but that the said defendant hath not yet appeared thereto; that upon enquiry at the said defendant's usual place of abode he is not to be found so as to be served with such process, and is gone out of the realm, or doth abscoud in order to avoid being served therewith, and that the said defendant hath been in England within two years next before the issuing of the said subpœna, as by the affidavit of Richard Mullens now also reac appears:—it is thereupon ordered, that the said defendant do appear to the said amended Bill on or before the 7th day of May 1835. F. B. B .- Entd. E. R.

HEREAS by a Decree of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Bennett versus Biddles, it was referred to John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, to enquire and ascertain what Nephews and Nieces of the testator, James Biddles (the children of his three Brothers, Thomas Biddles, William Biddles, and John Biddles) were living at the time of the decease of the said testator (who died in or about the month of March 1834), and who cach hephews and nieces were, and whether any and which of atom nephews and nieces who were living at the decease of the said festator had since died, and when they respectively died; aud also to enquire and accertain what nephews and nieces of the said testator (the children of the said three brothers) died in the lifetime of the said testator, and when they respectively mental measure of the said testator, and when they respectively effect, and what great nephews and great nieces of the said testator's fieldern of such of the testator's nephews and nieces as died in his lifetime, or had since died), except the children of William Biddles, the son of the testator's brother, Thomas Biddles, were living at the decease of the said testator, of are now living, and who such great nephews and great nieces were: -- therefore, any person or persons claiming to be such the present and nieces, or great replies and great nieces, as aforesaid, or claiming to be interested in the said enquiries, are or is, by their Solicitors, on or before the 4th day of June 1835, to come in and establish such claims or claim before the said Master (Mr. Diordeswell), at his Office, in Southampton Buildings, Chancery Lane, London, or in default thereof they build be peremptorily excluded the beneat of the

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Charlery, DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, wade in a cause Bennett versus Biddles, the Creditors of James Biddles, tate of Bishopsgate-Street Without, in the City of London. Shoe-Manufacturer, deceased (who died in the month of March 1834), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 4th day of June 1835, to come in and prove their debts before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court; at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings; Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Bennett versus biddles, any person or persons claiming to be the Heir or Heiresses at Law of James Biddles, late of Bishopsgate-Street Without, in the City of London, Shoe-Manufacturer, deceased, at the time of his decease (who died in the month of March 1834), of to be now such heir at law, is or até, by their Solicitors, on or before the 4th day of June 1835, to come in and establish such claim or claims before John Edmund Dowleswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, of in default thereof such person or persons will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause of Head versus Haddock, the Creditors made in a cause of Head versus Haddock, the Creditors of Peter Cartwright, late of Liverpool, in the County of Lancaster, Hoop-Merchant (who died on the 2d of Fébruáry 1si 1), are, on or before the 30th day of May 1837, to come in any prove their debts before Henry Martin, Esq. one of the Martin of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Baildings, Chamcery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they wind peremptorily be excluded the benefit of the said Device.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chandery, made in a cause of Head versus Haddoth, the Next of Kin of Peter Cartwright, who was late of Liverpool, in the County of Lancaster, Hoop-Merchant (and died there on the 2d of February 1811), living at the time of his death, or the personal representative or representatives of any of such next of kin as may be since dead, are to come in and make out their kindred and representation before Henry Martin, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chanberty, in Southamatten Buildings, Chancery, Lane, London, on of before Southampton-Buildings, Chancery Lane, London, on of before the 30th day of May 1835, or in default thereof they will peremptorily be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

UNSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause of Head versus Haddock, the Next of made in a cause of freed versus fraudock, the Next of Kin of Isabella Cartwright, Spinster (the daughter of Pefer Cartwright, late of Liverpool, in the County of Lancaster, Hoop-Merchant, whom she survived, and died towards the end of the year [81], living at the time of her death, or the per-sonal representative of representatives of any of such next of kin as may be since dead, are to come in and make out their kindred and representation before Henry Martin, Esq. one of kindred and representation before Figure Martin, is 3, one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, on or before the 30th day of May 1835, or in default thereof they will peremptorily be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause of Woodfall versus Bagster, the Creditors of John Crowder, late of Warwick-Square, in the Creditors of London, and of Hammersmith, in the County of Middlesex, one of the Aldermen of the said City of London (who died on the 2d day of December 1830), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before Henry Martin, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Cliambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Clinton against Webber, the Creditors of Clinton James Fynes Clinton, late of Cadogan-Place, Sloan-Street, Chelsea, in the County of Middlesex, and of Lincoln's-Inn, in the same County, Barrister at Law, deceased (who died on or about the 12th day of April 1833), are, on or before 28th day of May 1835, to come in and prove their debits before Francis Cross, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampfort-Buildings, Chambery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily ex-cluded the beneat of the said Decree.

said Detree.