

for working the same; and that the said Jabez Pearson has no interest in or disposition over the said looms, matters, and things, other than as such tenant, but that the same are the property of and belong to the said Elkannah Cheetham, the lessor.—Dated this 1st day of May 1848.

ELKANAH CHEETHAM.  
JABEZ PEARSON.

**T**O be peremptorily sold, by Messrs. Farebrother, Clark, and Lye, under an Order of the High Court of Chancery, made in certain causes of Holroyd versus Wyatt, and Holroyd versus Holroyd, with the approbation of James William Farrar, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, on Tuesday the 16th day of May 1848, at one o'clock in the afternoon, at the Star Inn, Lewes, in the county of Sussex, in six lots:

The very valuable estates, in the parish of Barcombe, four miles from the market town of Lewes, and eleven from Brighton, nearly equal to freehold, being copyhold, subject to small fines of a few shillings, consisting of a windmill, with cottage for a miller, stable, barn, cattle shed, yard, and about 6A. 2R. 15P. of arable land, let to Mrs. Guy and Mr. Good, at rents amounting to £49 12s. per annum.

An enclosure of excellent meadow land, called Great Mead, containing 5A. 3R. 27P. let to Mr. Austin, at £14 per annum.

A freehold brick-built cottage, with cart horse stable, warehouse, buildings, excellent garden, and productive orchard, the whole about 2A., on the road leading from Barcombe to Newick, and let to Thomas Pumphrey, at the annual rent of £15.

An improvable freehold estate, in the parish of Buxstead, called Hayerst-farm, with stone-built farm-house and suitable farming buildings, and upwards of 11A. of hop garden, meadow, and arable land, in the occupation of Mr. Duval, at £22 per annum; also upwards of 22A. of wood land and plantation. This estate enjoys extensive common rights.

The moiety of a public-house, called the Eight Bells, at Bolney, near Cuckfield, let to Mrs. Walden, at £28 14s. per annum.

The moiety of about 3A. of freehold land, at H. wkin's-hill, Slaughter, in Sussex, let to Mr. Henry Briggs, Builder, producing £3 4s. per annum.

The lots may be viewed by permission of the tenants, and particulars had at the said Master's chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane; of Messrs. Langley and Gibbon, Solicitors, 32, Great James-street, Bedford-row; of Messrs. Clarke, Fymmore, and Fladgate, Solicitors, Craven-street, Strand; at the Star Inn, Lewes; Old Ship, Brighton; Maidenhead, Uckfield; and at Messrs. Farebrother, Clark, and Lye's offices, 6, Lancaster-place, Strand, London.

**T**O be sold, pursuant to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Linton v. Curry, with the approbation of William Wingfield, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, some time in the month of June 1848;

Certain freehold and copyhold hereditaments, formerly the estate of John Newton, of Newton Cap, Bishop's Auckland, in the county of Durham, deceased, consisting of three freehold messuages or dwelling-houses, situate in Newgate-street, in Bishop's Auckland aforesaid, in the respective occupations of William Thompson, Thomas Hankey, and John Wilson.

Two copyhold fields or pieces of grass land, containing respectively 3A., and 2A. 3R., situate in Etherly-lane, within the manor of Bondgate, in Auckland, in the respective occupations of John Linton and Richard Brown, and the freehold tithes arising from and payable out of the field or piece of grass land, in the occupation of the said Richard Brown.

The time and place of sale will shortly be advertised, when printed particulars may be had (gratis) in London, at the said Master's chambers, Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane; of Mr. John Rogerson, Solicitor, No. 50, Eincolin's-inn-fields; and of Messrs. Lawrence, Crowley, and Bowlby, Solicitors, Old Fish-street-hill, London; and in the country, of Mr. H. J. Marshall, Solicitor, Durham; of Messrs. Trotter and Hodgson, Solicitors Bishop's Auckland; and of Mr. Thomas Rainshaw, of Rushyford, in the said county of Durham, Auctioneer.

**W**HEREAS by a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in two causes of Collyer v. Ashburner, and Collyer v. Ashburner, it was referred to Sir Giffin Wilson, late one of the Masters of the Court, to enquire who are the persons designated in the will of Robert Pounds, late of 15, High-street, Camden-town, in the county of Middlesex, Esq., who died on the 24th of April 1846, as James Pounds, Robert Walker Pounds, and John Pounds, sons of James Pounds, formerly of Philadelphia, in North America, and whether they are respectively living or dead, and, if living, where they respectively reside, and, if dead, who are their legal personal representatives; therefore the persons so designated, if living, or, if dead, their legal personal representatives, are, on or before the 2d day of June 1848, to come in before Richard Torin Kindersley, Esq., the Master to whom these causes are transferred, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, in the county of Middlesex, and establish their claims to be the persons above described, as the sons of the said James Pounds, or they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree. The said James Pounds, the father, resided at 6, Parker-street, Philadelphia, in the State of Pennsylvania, North America, and died on the 31st of May 1842, leaving his sons, the said James Robert Pounds, a Blacksmith, in the well-called James Pounds, the said Robert Walker Pounds, and John Pounds, a Printer, surviving him. James Robert Pounds and John Pounds are, it is believed, residing in Philadelphia. Robert Walker Pounds, in the year 1842, was serving an apprenticeship to a Tin-plate Worker in Philadelphia, and left that place in the early part of the year 1844; in the month of January 1845, he was in the employment of Mr. Blaise Roseth, of 29, Drury-lane, London, Coachmaker, and was afterwards employed, in the capacity of Porter, at a Store or Warehouse in Liverpool, where he was living in the month of June 1845; in the month of August, in the same year, he went on board the Daniel Webster, supposed to have been bound for Philadelphia, and nothing has since been heard of him.—Dated this 4th day of May 1848.

**P**URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Eardley against Owen, the creditors of Owen Bennion, late of Nautwich, in the county of Chester, Gentleman, deceased (who died on or about the 12th day of July 1829), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Henry Tinney, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

**P**URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Cother against Coules, the creditors of John Frederick Coules, of the city of Gloucester, Tanner, deceased (who died on or about the 2d day of November 1847), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Henry Tinney, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

**P**URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Seton against Waller, the creditors of James Seton, late of Brook-leath, near Fordingbridge, in the county of Southampton, Esq., deceased (who died on or about the 13th day of June 1831), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Brougham, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

**P**URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Underhill against Simkin, the creditors of William Cox, late of Woodsheaves, in the parish of Norbury, in the county of Stafford, Wheelwright (who died in the month of June 1844), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before Richard Torin Kindersley, Esq., one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.