service of a subpœna for the Defendant, Francis William Nalder, to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Bill, by leaving Nalder, to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Bill, by leaving a copy thereof at No. 15, Shaftesbury-terrace, Pimlico, in the county of Middlessex (the last known residence of the said defendant, Francis William Nalder), and at the house of the defendants, Hannah Paull and Elizabeth Hazeland, at Ston Easton, near Bath, in the said county of Somerset, the aunts of the said defendant, Francis William Nalder, be deemed good service on the said defendant, Francis William Nalder; and whereas, on the 9th day of July 1850, the said defendant, Francis William Nalder, was duly served with a subpœna to appear and answer the said Bill, and also with the said Order of the 4th day of July 1850, by delivering subpœna to appear and answer the said Bill, and also with the said Order of the 4th day of July 1850, by delivering to and leaving with a person at No. 15, Shaftesbury-terrace, Pimlico aforesaid, a true copy of the said subpœna and Order respectively, and also by delivering to and leaving with each of them, the said Hannah Paull and Elizabeth Hazeland, on the 31st of July 1850, a true copy of the said subpœna and Order respectively; and whereas, by another Order made in this cause by the Right Honourable Sir James Lewis Knight Bruce, bearing date the 15th day of November 1850, it was ordered that the plaintiff might be at liberty to enter an appearance to his said Bill for the said defendant, Francis William Nalder; and whereas, the said plaintiff, in pursuance of such last mentioned Order, caused an appear pursuance of such last mentioned Order, caused an appearance to be entered for the said defendant, Francis William Nalder, to the said Bill, on the 29th day of November 1850; and whereas the said defendant, Francis William Nalder, has not, since such appearance was entered for him as aforeand not, since such appearance was cheren for him as alores said, appeared in person, or by his own Solicitor, or put in any answer to the plaintiff's Bill, I do therefore hereby give notice, that on the 10th day of March 1851, this Honourable Court will be moved before the Right Honourable Sir James Lewis Knight Bruce, that the plaintiff's Bill may be taken pro coafesso against the said defendant, Francis William Nalder.—Dated this 5th day of February 1851.

JOHN WILLIAMS, No. 1, Verulam-buildings, Gray's-inn, London, Plaintiff in person.

WHEREAS by an Order of the High Court of Chancery, made in the matter of the Act 10th and 11th Victoria, c. 96; and in the matter of the Act 10th and 11th Victoria, c. 96; and in the matter of the trusts of the settlement made on the marriage of Keneth Macpherson and Charlotte his wife, it was ordered that it should be referred to the Honourable Sir George Rose, one of the Masters of the said Court, to enquire where the said Keneth Macpherson was domiciled at the time of his death, and who at the time of his death was, or were his next of kin, who would according to the law of the place of such domicile have time of his death was, or were his next of kin, who would according to the law of the place of such domicile have been entitled to his personal or moveable estate if he had then died intestate, and in what shares and proportions; and also who at the time of the death of the said Charlotte Macpherson, afterwards Charlotte Walsh, was, or were the next of kin of the said Keneth Macpherson, who would be not the said keneth Macpherson, who would be according to the law of his said keneth Macpherson, who would according to the law of his said place of domicile have been entitled to his personal or moveable estate if he had at the last-mentioned time died intestate, and in what shares and proportions; and whether the said several next of kin or any of them were living or dead, and who was or were the legal personal representative or representatives of such (if any) of them as might be dead. Therefore all persons claiming to be such next of kin of the said Keneth Macpherson at the time of his death, and also at the time of the death of the said Charlotte Macpherson, afterwards Charlotte Walsh, or claiming to be the legal personal representative or representatives of any of such next of kin who may be dead, are, on or before the 12th day of March 1851, to leave their claims before the said Master, at his chambers in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and are, on or before the 12th day of April 1851, to establish such claims, and make out their kindred before the said Master, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Order.

The said Keneth Macpherson was born at Laygan, in the county of Inverness, in Scotland, in the year 1724, and was a lieutenant-general in the service of the East India Company, and lived in India from the time of his entering such service to the time of his death, which took place at Mazagon, in the Bombay Presidency, on the 2nd day of December 1814. The said Charlotte Macpherson his wife, afterwards Charlotte Walsh, died on the 11th day of September 1848.

DURSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Lowe against Watson, and of the 17th General Order of the said Court, bearing date the 22nd day of April, 1850, the creditors of William Watson, late of Macclesfield, in the county of Chester, Schoolmaster, deceased (who died on or about the 23rd day of June 1849), are, on or before the 12th day of March 1851, to leave their claims of debts before the Honourable Sir George Rose, one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and are, on or before the 12th day of April 1851, to establish such claims before the said Master, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Order.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Percival against Massey, the creditors of Thomas Percival, late of Sandiway Farm, Sevenoaks, in the county of Chester, Farmer, deceased (who died on or about the 6th day of December 1849), are, on or before the 14th day of March 1851, to leave their claims of debts before the Honourable Sir George Rose, one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and are, on or before the 14th day of April 1851, to establish such claims before the said Master, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery I made in a cause Gawthorn against Goodale, the cre-ditors of John Goodale, late of Normanton, in the county of ditors of John Goodale, late of Normanton, in the county of Derby, Gentleman, deceased (who died on or about the 5th day of October 1844), are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Henry Tinney, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Atkinson against Grey, the creditors of George Darling, late of Fowberry, near Wooller, in the county of Northumberland, Farmer, deceased (who died in or about the month of February 1850), are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Honey Times Fee and of the Mesters of the said liam Henry Tinney, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Order.

DURSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Paulet against Jackson, the creditors of Marc Etienne Paulet, late of Liverpool, in the county of Lancaster, Merchant, deceased (who died on or about the 20th day of May 1850), are, on or before the 11th day of March 1851, to come in and prove their debts before William Henry Tinney, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Order.

URSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Gregory against Atkinson, the creditors of Henry John Atkinson, late of King-street, Cheapside, Warehouseman, deceased (who died in or about the month of August 1850), are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and prove their debts before William Henry Tinney, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Order. of the said Order.

PURSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Williams against Hole and others, the creditors of Hopkin Perkins, late of Newport, in the county of Monmouth, Merchant, deceased (who died in or about the month of April 1842), are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and prove their debts before Richard Richards, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Order.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery
made in a cause of Williams v. Williams, the
creditors of Sophia Gawthorp, of George-street, in the
parish of Sculcoates, Kingston-upon-Hull, in the county of
York, widow (who died on the 8th day of March 1848),
are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 10th day of March 1851, to come in before John Elijah Blunt, Esq. one of the Masters of this Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and prove their debts, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery made in a cause Chalmers v. Langton, the creditors of Charles Mainwaring, late of Coleby Hall, in the county of Lincoln, Esq. (who died in or about the month of July 1850), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 24th day of March 1851, to come in before John Elijah Blunt, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and prove their debts, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to an Order of the High Court of Chancery
made in a cause Rawlings v. Paddon, the creditors
of Bett Missing, late of Titchfield, in the county of Southampton, Widow (who died in the month of July 1845), are
by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in before John Elijah
Blunt, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his
chambers, in Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, London, and prove their debts, or in default thereof they will be
excluded the henefit of the said Order excluded the benefit of the said Order.