India Office, August 13, 1867.

THE Secretary of State for India in Council hereby gives notice, that he has received Calcutta Gazettes, containing the following Notices that the undermentioned Insolvents filed their Petitions in the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors there, under the provisions of the Act 11 Vict., cap. 21:

Petitions filed praying for relief.

In the Matter of Ephraim Elias Cohen, of Sukeaslane, in Calcutta, Broker, an Insolvent.

Notice, that the Petition of the said Insolvent, seeking the benefit of the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, was filed in the office of the Chief Clerk on Wednesday, the 19th day of June instant, and by an Order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvent were vested in the Official Assignee.—Carrapiet, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, June 26, 1867.

In the Matter of James Turner, of No. 96, Church-road, Kidderpore, in the suburbs of Calcutta, carrying on business as a Stock and Share Broker, at No. 14, Strand, in Calcutta, an Insolvent.

Notice, that the Petition of the said Insolvents, seeking the benefit of the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, was filed in the office of the Chief Clerk on Wednesday, the 26th day of June last, and by an Order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvents were vested in the Official Assignee.—Rogers, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, July 3, 1867.

In the Matter of John George Culloden, of Waterloo-street, in Calcutta, carrying on business as Confectioner and Hotel Keeper, under the name and style of J. G. Culloden and Company, at No. 2, Waterloo-street aforesaid, an Insolvent.

Notice, that the Petition of the said Insolvent, seeking the benefit of the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, was filed in the Office of the Chief Clerk on Saturday, the 1st day of July instant, and by an Order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvents were vested in the Official Assignee.—Hoyle, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, July 3, 1867.

<u>is air l</u>

India Office, August 13, 1867.

THE Secretary of State for India in Council liereby gives notice, that he has received a Calcutta Gazette, containing the following Notice that the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors there hath, under the provisions of the Act 11 Victoria, cap. 21, adjudged that the under-mentioned persons committed an Act of Insolvency:

Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors at Calcutta.

In the Matter of Sewnund Roy and Munsook Roy, of Burrabazar, in Calcutta, heretofore and up to the 16th day of June, 1867, carried on the trade or business of Dealers in Piece Goods, in copartnership with Munsook Roy, under the style of Sewnund Roy and Munsook Roy, at Barrabazar aforesaid, in Calcutta aforesaid, Insolvents.

on Thursday, the 29th day of June instant, it was on the petition of Taranepchurn Bose and Mohendronauth Bose, Creditors of the said Insolvent, adjudged that the said Sewnund Roy and Munsook Roy have committed an act of insolvency under the provisions of the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, and by another Order of the same date the

state and effects of the said Insolvent were vested in the Official Assignee.—Carruthers and Co., Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, June 26, 1867.

India Office, August 13, 1867.

THE Secretary of State for India in Council hereby gives notice, that he has received Calcutta Gazettes, containing the following Notices of Orders made by the Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors there, under the provisions of the Act 11 Victoria, cap. 21:

Court for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors at Calcutta.

In the Matter of Willum Smith, an Insolvent;

On Saturday, the 2nd day of March last, it was ordered that the hearing of the matters of the Petition of the said Insolvent be adjourned to the first Court day in March, 1868, and also it was ordered that the said Insolvent do file a schedule of his partnership dealings and transactions, and that the order made in this matter for the ad interim protection of the said Insolvent from arrest be withdrawn; and it was also further ordered, that the said Insolvent do deliver over to the Official Assignee his bank and cheque books, and that the said Insolvent do personally attend on the said first Court day in March, 1868, to be examined by the said Court.—Carruthers and Co., Attorneys .- Date of Gazette containing notice, June 26, 1867.

In the Matter of Beharrylall Dass, an Insolvent;
On Saturday, the 22nd day of June last, it was ordered that the hearing of this matter do stand adjourned until Saturday, the 7th day of December next, and that the said Insolvent do then attend to be examined before the said Court,
—Carruthers and Co., Attorneys. Date of Gazette containing notice, July 3, 1867.

In the Matter of Donald Clunes Gordon and Alexander Stuart, Insolvents:

Alexander Stuart, Insolvents; On Saturday, the 8th day of June last, it was ordered that the hearing of this matter, so far as relates to the Insolvent Alexander Stuart, do stand adjourned until Saturday, the 7th day of December next; and that the said Insolvent, Alexander Stuart, do then attend to be examined before the Said Court; and that the hearing of this matter, so far as relates to the Insolvent Donald Clunes Gordon, do stand adjourned until the first Court day in June, 1868, and that the said Insolvent Donald Clunes Gordon do then attend to be examined before the said Court; and it was also ordered that the further hearing of this matter do stand adjourned until Saturday, the 7th day of March, 1868, or other than the first Court day in March, 1868, and that the said Insolvents do then attend to be examined before the said Court; and and it was also ordered that William Anderson, named as a creditor in the Schedule of the said Insolvents, and numbered one, do attend before this Court on the said first Court day in March next, to prove his claim against the estates of the said Insolvents, and, in default thereof, to show cause why his name should not be expunged from the Schedule of the said Insolvents as a fredition of the estates of the above named Insolvents and the first tellish and why he should not be adjudged and defined by this Court to have been a partner of other said Insolvent at the time of their insolvent, and why he court the defit may be adjudged. this Court thould not inake all hir hol Toch sary

C 2