

executors will proceed to distribute the assets of the said deceased among the persons entitled thereto, having regard to the debts, claims, and demands only of which such executors shall then have had due notice, and notice is hereby further given that the said executors will not be answerable or liable for the assets so distributed, or any part thereof, to any person of whose debt, claim, or demand they shall not then have had notice.—Dated this 10th day of May, 1873.

ROBERT COLLIN, 25, Kirkby-street, Maryport,
Solicitor to the Executors.

JOHN JONES, Deceased.

Pursuant to the Act of Parliament 22nd and 23rd Victoria, cap. 35, intituled "An Act to further amend the Law of Property, and to relieve Trustees."

NOTICE is hereby given, that all creditors and other persons having any claims or demands against the estate of John Jones, late of Salisbury-street, Everton, Liverpool, in the county of Lancaster, Builder, deceased (who died on the 20th day of April, 1853, and whose will was proved in the Consistory Court of the Bishop of Chester on the 1st day of July, 1853, by James Johnson, of Liverpool aforesaid, Gentleman, and John Jones, of Liverpool aforesaid, Slate Merchant, since deceased, the executors therein named), are hereby required to send in particulars of their claims or demands to us, the undersigned Solicitors for the said James Johnson, the surviving executor, at our office, No. 6, Clayton-square, Liverpool aforesaid, on or before the 16th day of August next, after which time the said executor will proceed without delay to distribute the assets of the said testator among the persons entitled thereto, having regard only to claims or demands of which he shall then have had notice, and he will not be liable for the assets so applied or distributed, or any part thereof, to any person of whose claim he shall not then have had notice.—Dated this 10th day of May, 1873.

WM. and A. MORECROFT, 6, Clayton-square,
Liverpool, Solicitors for the said Executor.

HARRIET STOKES, Deceased.

Pursuant to an Act of Parliament 22nd and 23rd Vict., cap. 35, intituled "An Act to further amend the Law of Property, and to relieve Trustees."

NOTICE is hereby given, that all creditors and others having any claims against the estate of Harriet Stokes, late of Woodford, in the county of Essex, Widow deceased (who died at Woodford aforesaid, on the 28th day of March, 1873, and whose will was proved in the Principal Registry of Her Majesty's Court of Probate on the 24th day of April, 1873, by George Richard Noble, of Woodford aforesaid, the sole executor thereof), are hereby required to send in the claims to the said executor, at the offices of Messrs. Glynes and Son, No. 128, Leadenhall-street, London, E.C., his Solicitors on or before the 31st day of May, 1873. And notice is hereby given, that after that day the said executor will distribute the assets of the deceased among the parties entitled thereto, under the said will, having regard to the debts, claims, and demands alone of which he shall then have had notice, and that he will not be answerable or liable for the assets so distributed to any person or persons of whose claim or demand he shall not then have had notice.—Dated this 5th day of May, 1873.

GLYNES and SON, 108, Leadenhall-street, Solicitors for the said Executor.

EDWARD PRITCHETT, Deceased.

Pursuant to an Act of Parliament 22nd and 23rd Vict., cap. 35, intituled "An Act to further amend the Law of Property and to relieve Trustees."

NOTICE is hereby given, that all creditors and others having claims against the estate of Edward Pritchett, late of No. 82, Houndsditch, in the city of London, Printer, deceased (who died at No. 82, Houndsditch aforesaid, on the 13th day of January, 1873, and to whose estates letters of administration were granted by the Principal Registry of Her Majesty's Court of Probate, on the 8th day of February, 1873, to Ann Pritchett, the lawful widow and relict of the said deceased), are hereby required to send in the claims to the said administratrix, at the offices of Messrs. Glynes and Son, No. 128, Leadenhall-street, London, E.C., her Solicitors, on or before the 31st day of May, 1873. And notice is hereby given, that after that day the said administratrix will distribute the assets of the deceased amongst the parties entitled thereto, having regard to the debts, claims, and demands alone of which she shall then have had notice, and that she will not be answerable or liable for the assets so distributed to any person or persons of whose claim or demand she shall not then have had notice.—Dated this 5th day of May, 1873.

GLYNES and SON, 128, Leadenhall-street, Solicitors for the said Administratrix.

In Chancery.—Vice-Chancellor Bacon.—Between William Hyde, Plaintiff; and William Henry Large and Martha Large, his Wife, James Chantry and Caroline Chantry, his Wife, Thomas Clarke and Emily Clarke, his Wife, Anna Maria Porter, Harriet Cecilia Stainbank, Edwin Babbage and Ellen Babbage, his Wife, Matthias Proctor; Harriet Proctor, Mary Proctor, Mary Stainbank, and Robert Welles and Frances Welles, his Wife, Defendants.

TAKE notice, that this Honourable Court will be moved before his Honour the Vice-Chancellor Sir James Bacon, on Thursday, the 29th day of May, 1873, or so soon thereafter as Counsel may be heard, by Counsel on the part of the plaintiff, that the plaintiff's Bill may be taken pro confesso against the defendants, Matthias Proctor, Harriet Proctor, Mary Proctor, and Mary Stainbank, at the hearing of this cause.—Dated this 28th day of April, 1873.

DICKSON and LUCAS, Solicitors, 43, Bedford-row, London; Agents for
WM. HYDE, Jun., Louth, Lincolnshire, Plaintiff's Solicitor.

To the Defendants, Matthias Proctor,
Harriet Proctor, Mary Proctor, and
Mary Stainbank.

In Chancery.—Between William Dickinson the elder, Henry Akroyd, William Dickinson the younger, Charles Ardaseer Dickinson, and Henry Dickinson, Plaintiffs; Richard Batty Parr, Defendant.

TAKE notice, that this Honourable Court will be moved before his Honour the Vice-Chancellor Sir John Wickens, Knight, on Friday, the 13th day of June, 1873, or so soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard, by Counsel on behalf of the above-named plaintiffs, that the plaintiffs' Bill may be taken pro confesso against the defendant at the hearing of this cause.—Dated this 6th day of May, 1873.

THOMAS and HOLLAMS, Mincing-lane, London,
Solicitors for the above-named Plaintiffs.

To the above-named Defendant.

In Chancery.—Between Walter MacLellan, Duncan MacLellan, and George MacLellan Blair, Plaintiffs; and George Buchanan and Ardalion Novossiltzoff (out of the jurisdiction of this Honourable Court), Defendants.

TAKE notice, that this Honourable Court will be moved before the Vice-Chancellor Sir Richard Malins, on the 6th day of June, 1873, or so soon after as Counsel can be heard, by Mr. Stevens, of Counsel for the plaintiffs, that the Bill filed in this cause on the 14th day of June, 1872, may be ordered to be taken pro confesso against the above-named defendant, Ardalion Novossiltzoff, pursuant to the Orders of this Honourable Court in such case made and provided; or that the plaintiffs may have such further or other relief as the nature of the case may require.—Dated this 5th day of May, 1873.

Yours, &c.,

LYNE and HOLMAN, Plaintiffs' Solicitors, 6, Austin Friars, in the city of London.

To Ardalion Novossiltzoff, the
above-named Defendant.

Vice-Chancellor Wickens.—Thursday, the 24th day of April, 1873.—Between Mary Juliana Evezard, the Wife of Edward D'Arcy Evezard, a Defendant hereto, by the Reverend Albert Meeres, her next friend, Plaintiff; Edmund Haviland Burke, Harriet Elizabeth Haviland Burke, Widow, the said Edward D'Arcy Evezard, Richard Jebb, and Thomas Tyringham Bernard, Defendants.

UPON motion this day made unto this Court by Counsel for the plaintiff, who alleged that the plaintiff hath filed her Bill in this Court against the defendants, and that it appears by the joint affidavit of Edward D'Arcy Evezard and Samuel Bailey, filed the 18th day of April, 1873, that the defendant, Harriet Elizabeth Haviland Burke, has been within the jurisdiction of this Court within two years next before the filing of the said Bill, and that all due diligence hath been used to serve the said defendant, Harriet Elizabeth Haviland Burke, with a copy of the said Bill, but without effect, that the said defendant is beyond seas, that upon enquiry at the last known place of abode of the said defendant, Harriet Elizabeth Haviland Burke, and at other places where, at the time when the said Bill was filed, she might probably have been met with, she could not be found, so as to be served with a copy of the said Bill, and that there is just ground to believe that the said defendant, Harriet Elizabeth Haviland Burke, has gone out of the realm, or otherwise absconded, to avoid being served with such copy, and upon reading the said affidavit, this Court