

County, State of Illinois, United States of America.

4290. And William Robert Lake, of the firm of Haseltine, Lake, & Co., Patent Agents, Southampton-buildings, London, has given the like notice in respect of the invention of "improvements in shovels."—A communication to him from abroad by Henry Wardwell Shepard, of Brooklyn, and Robert Seaman, of the city of New York, both in the State of New York, United States of America.

4292. And William Robert Lake, of the firm of Haseltine, Lake, & Co., Patent Agents, Southampton-buildings, London, has given the like notice in respect of the invention of "improvements relating to the preparation of wood to facilitate the varnishing or polishing of the same."—A communication to him from abroad by Nathaniel Wheeler, of Bridgeport, Connecticut, United States of America.

As set forth in their respective petitions, all recorded in the said office on the 6th day of November, 1876.

And notice is hereby further given, that all persons having an interest in opposing any one of such applications are at liberty to leave particulars in writing of their objections to such application at the said Office of the Commissioners, within twenty-one days after the date of the Gazette in which this notice is issued.

In the Matter of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, and in the Matter of the Bayswater Club and Skating Rink Company Limited.

NOTICE is hereby given, that a petition for the winding up of the above-named Company by the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, was, on the 8th day of November, 1876, presented to Her Majesty's High Court of Justice by Edward Howard Hale, of No. 74, Gracechurch-street, in the city of London, Printer and Stationer, a creditor of the said Company; and that the said petition is directed to be heard before the Vice-Chancellor Sir Charles Hall, on the 24th day of November, 1876; and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an Order for the winding up of the said Company under the above Acts, should appear at the time of hearing by himself or his counsel for that purpose; and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or contributory of the said Company requiring the same by the undersigned, on payment of the regulated charge for the same.

A. A. Silberberg, 70, Cornhill, E.C., Solicitor for the Petitioner.

In the High Court of Justice—Chancery Division. In the Matter of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, and in the Matter of the Kippax Gas Consumers' Company Limited.

UPON the hearing of this Petition on the 3rd day of November instant, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the said Kippax Gas Consumers' Company Limited be continued, but subject to the supervision of this Court; and any of the proceedings under the said voluntary winding up may be adopted as the Judge shall think fit; and it was ordered that Charles Bickerdike and William Sissons, the Liquidators appointed by the said Company be continued.—Dated this 10th day of November, 1876.

Duncan, Murton, Warren, and Gardner;
Agents for
Messrs. Dunning and Kay, Leeds.

In the High Court of Justice.—Chancery Division. In the Matter of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, and in the Matter of the Westbourne-grove Drapery and Furnishing Company Limited.

BY an Order made by His Lordship the Vice-Chancellor Sir James Bacon in the above matter, dated the 4th day of November, 1876, on the petition of David Davidson, of 14, Lime-grove, Shepherd's Bush, in the county of Middlesex, Draper, a creditor of the above-named Company, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the above-named Company should be continued, but subject to the supervision of the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division; and that any of the proceedings under the said voluntary winding up should be adopted as the Judge should think fit; and that the creditors, contributories, and Liquidators of the said Company and all other persons interested, should be at liberty to apply to the Judge at Chambers as there might be occasion.

Lewis, Munns, and Longden, of No. 8, Old Jewry, London, E.C., Solicitors for the Petitioner.

In the Matter of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, and in the Matter of the Belfast and Carrickfergus Salt Works Limited.

BY an Order made by the Vice-Chancellor Sir Charles Hall in the above matters, dated the 3rd day of November, 1876, on the petition of John Shepherd Sawrey, of Ulverston, in the county of Lancaster, Esq., it was ordered that the said Belfast and Carrickfergus Salt Works Limited be wound up by the High Court of Justice, Chancery Division, under the provisions of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867; and that the petitioner and Mr. J. Tuck, Dr. S. Gibbon, Messrs. Harris and Sweeting, and Mr. Wallace Pedder, and James Rock, and others, debenture holders of the said Company, be allowed their costs of and relating to the said petition out of the assets of the said Company, such costs to be taxed by the Taxing Master, but in such taxation the said debenture holders are only to be allowed such costs as they ought properly to have incurred, and would have incurred by employing one Solicitor, and that it be referred to Chambers to appoint a proper person Official Liquidator to the said Company.

John Attenborough, 63, Saint Paul's-churchyard, in the city of London;
Agent for

A. B. Salmon, of Ulverston, in the county of Lancaster, Solicitor for the Petitioner.

In the Matter of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867, and in the Matter of the Gaudet frères London and Paris Steamship Company Limited.

BY an Order made by his Lordship the Vice-Chancellor Sir Richard Malins in the above matters, dated the 3rd day of November, 1876, on the petition of Emile Gaudet, Gabriel Gaudet, Jules Gaudet, and Alfred Gaudet, of Paris, in the Republic of France, Carriers, the said Jules Gaudet having also a place of business at No. 53, King William-street, in the city of London, it was ordered that the Gaudet frères London and Paris Steamship Company Limited be wound up by the said Court, under the provisions of the Companies Acts, 1862 and 1867; and it was ordered that the said petitioners and the respondents be allowed their costs of and relating to that application out of the assets of the said Company, such costs to be taxed by the Taxing Master, but in such taxation the Taxing Master