



SECOND SUPPLEMENT
TO
The London Gazette

Of TUESDAY, the 27th of FEBRUARY.

Published by Authority.

THURSDAY, MARCH 1, 1877.

Chancery Pay Office, February, 1877.

A LIST of the titles of causes, matters, and accounts in the books at the Chancery Pay Office, to the credit of which funds were standing on the 1st September, 1875, which had not been dealt with during the fifteen years immediately preceding that date, prepared pursuant to Rule 91 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874.

No information is to be given by the Chancery Paymaster respecting the money or securities to the credit of any cause, matter, or account in this list until he has been furnished with a statement, in writing, by a Solicitor requiring such information, of the name of the person on whose behalf he applies, and that in such Solicitor's opinion the applicant is beneficially interested in such money or securities.

Every petition or summons affecting any money or securities to the credit of a cause, matter, or account inserted in this list is to contain a statement that it has been so inserted. In cases in which the money or securities affected by such petition may amount to or exceed in value £500, a copy of such petition, and notice of all proceedings in Court or at chambers, unless the Court otherwise directs, are to be served on the Official Solicitor of the Court.

- Ashburnham v. Ashburnham.
- Adolphus v. Adolphus.
- Allen v. Addington.
- Anstruther v. Anstruther, and Anstruther v. Cockerell.
- Ex parte the Aberdare Valley Railway Company. The account of Richard Fothergill, Abraham Darby, William Tothill, Thomas Brown, Thomas Robinson, Joseph Robinson, and George Wythes, trading together under the style or firm of the Aberdare Iron Company.
- Alderson v. Bolam.
- Attorney-General v. Bailey.
- Attorney-General v. Beard.
- Attorney-General v. Bealey.

- Ex parte the Accrington Gas and Water Works Companies Act, 1854. The account of the share of Elizabeth Woods, deceased, subject to duty.
- Attorney-General v. the Mayor, Bailiffs, and Commonalty of the city of Coventry, and in the matter of John Hewett, a bankrupt. The account of the trustees of the Bond's Hospital, in the city of Coventry.
- Allen v. Callow. The defendant, Mary Callow's, account.
- Adean v. Duke of Chandos.
- Adams v. Cole.
- Attorney-General v. Carent.
- Attorney-General v. Duke of Chandos.
- Attorney-General v. Cotterell.
- Attorney-General v. Corpus Christi College.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland. The account of Mansfield Arthur Nelson, an infant.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.
- Atlee v. Dibley.
- Attorney-General v. Dunn.
- Abney v. Dolphin. The interest fund account.
- Attorney-General v. Lord Digby.
- Allen v. Fenton.
- Adams v. Gillett. The account of the defendant, Edward Boyd.
- Airey v. Hearne.
- Attorney-General v. Harper, and Attorney-General v. Nash.
- Aubrey v. Hoper. The costs in Adams v. Hoper, allotted or appointed in respect of the eighth incumbrance.
- Attorney-General v. the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Huntingdon.
- Attorney-General v. John Hall and others.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Nicholas Ainsworth, Esquire, for his heir-at-law, on the part of his mother.
- Appleby v. Jenkins.
- Baron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaird. The produce of sales of lots one, three, four, seven, and eight.

- Allen v. Liveing.
- Aquilar v. Lousada. The account of the fund under the will of Sarah Lopes Terres.
- Attorney-General v. Martin. The annuitant's account.
- Ashton v. Mompesson.
- Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Gray, John Gray, James Gray, and Isabel Gray, or their representatives.
- Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Alexander the younger, Bethia Alexander, Mary Anne Alexander, Christiana Alexander, Jane Alexander, Robert Alexander, Isabel Alexander, and Joanna Alexander (in the bill called John Alexander), or their representatives.
- Astley v. Mawdesley.
- Adams v. Massey.
- Ashe v. Montague. The account of the personal estate of the testator, James Montague.
- Ex parte the purchasers of part of the settled estates of Thomas William, Viscount Anson. In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Anthony, deceased. The account of Thomas Impleton.
- Andrews v. Newdigate. The personal estate.
- Attorney-General v. Newsom.
- Applegath v. Pelly.
- Adams v. Pinnell.
- Attorney-General v. Pleydell.
- Alker v. Pendlebury, and Alker v. Yates.
- Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of Nancy Jackson, deceased, subject to legacy duty.
- Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of the defendant, Isaac Haley, subject to legacy duty.
- Arnaby v. Parsons, Feversham v. Parsons, and Feversham v. Loweth. The account of the leasehold estates.
- Amhurst v. Roberts.
- Attorney-General v. Reese.
- Catherine Josephine Armstrong, a person of unsound mind.
- Attorney-General v. Speed.
- Attorney-General v. Solicitor-General.
- Attorney-General (at the relation of the Revd. Thomas Lancaster and others) v. Smith and others.
- In the matter of the trusts of the persons entitled as the personal representatives of Elizabeth Audibert, widow, deceased, intestate, to a sum of ninety-two pounds ten shillings and ten pence.
- Ashwin v. Williams and others.
- Arrowsmith v. Wetherell. The account of John Robson, a person of unsound mind, subject to duty.
- Attorney-General v. the Governors of the Free Grammar School of Edward Wilson, Clerk, in Camberwell, otherwise Camerwell, in the county of Surrey.
- Afop v. Wood. Thomas Bowley the younger.
- Attorney-General v. Watkins.
- Alexander George Buchanan Bax, an infant.
- James Bavin, a person of unsound mind. The real estate account.
- Joseph Barlow, absent beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Susannah Ballard, deceased.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of Thomas Barrett, late of Lee Priory, in the parish of Ickham, in the county of Kent, Esq., deceased.
- Catherine Battaglia, Widow, a person of unsound mind.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Barber, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Barton, deceased, so far as regards the shares of James Barton and John Barton, sons of the testator's son, Joshua Barton, deceased, in the clear proceeds of the investments the interest whereof was directed to be paid to the testator's daughter, Sarah Webster, for her life.
- Booth v. Alington.
- Brewin v. Austin, and Brewin v. Scott.
- Blaney v. Arnold. The legatees' account.
- Boulter v. Allen.
- Bourdillon v. Allaire.
- Butter v. Basnett. Sarah Wallen, her account.
- Bishop v. Baker.
- Barker v. Barker. The infant children of Peter Henry Barker.
- Boys v. Barker.
- Bayley v. Bayley. The entailed estate of the plaintiff, James Bayley.
- Basan v. Brandon, and Basan v. Brandon. The account of the Mulatta Betsy.
- Brett v. Beckwith. The personal estate of the testator, George Wooler Beckwith, deceased.
- Bown v. Bown.
- Bowater v. Burdett, and Rigge v. Bowater.
- Barker v. Barker. Rents and profits of the testator's real estates.
- Bayning v. Bayning, and Bayning v. Bayning.
- Bowden v. Bayly.
- Bowden v. Bayly. The account of unpaid claimants entitled to £100 each.
- Jane Maria Baker and others v. Sir George Baker, Bart., and another. The contingent account of the plaintiff, Henry Cooper Baker.
- Brocas v. Barker.
- Betus v. Berionde.
- Bennett v. Bennett.
- Bowman v. Bell. The account of the personal estate of the testator, John Bowman, deceased.
- Bickley v. Brice, and Bickley v. Olond.
- Birch v. Birch.
- Bell v. Bishop.
- Bowman v. Bowman. The separate account of the infant plaintiff.
- Bozon v. Bolland, and Husband v. Bolland.
- Brown v. Brown. 1857, B., 181.
- Bosenburg v. Burk.
- Burgoyne v. Burgoyne. In Master Groves' office.
- Burrell v. Burrell.
- Bowles v. Bruce. The separate legacy account of Elizabeth McBean.
- Buxton v. Buxton, and Buxton and others v. Buxton and others.
- Bishop v. Burton.
- Bellamy v. Brydges.
- Billingham v. Baseley.
- Benn v. Benn.
- Butts v. Binks.
- Bennett v. Biddles, and Bennett v. Clarke. The account of the annuitants.
- Butler v. Butler.
- Bentley v. Craven. Contingent claims against the partnership.
- Bedell v. Crank.
- Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the defendant, John Crosland, and his children.
- Bryan v. Collins. The accumulated account.
- Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the plaintiff, Sarah Birch, and her children.
- Bassett v. Clapham.
- Burton v. Clarke.
- Booker v. Clarke.
- Bolas v. Corbett.
- Brass v. Cook.

Bone v. Cooke. The account of the next-of-kin of Sarah Goodyer, deceased.
 Butcher v. Churchill.
 Buckley v. Cooke. The account of the children and issue of Richard Buckley, deceased.
 Bodens v. Dod.
 Baker v. Delaval.
 Barry v. Lord Daere.
 Barks v. Denshire.
 Bowman v. Dobson.
 Brooks v. De Burgh.
 Boulter v. Viscountess Dungarvon, and Dixon v. Viscountess Dungarvon.
 Beaman v. Dod. The account of the defendant, James Grice.
 Louisa Mary Bevan, Emily Beckford Bevan, Charles James Bevan, and Henry Close Bevan, infant legatees.
 In the matter of the trusts declared by the will of Lydia Bennett, late of Crutched Friars, in the city of London, Spinster, deceased, for the benefit of the children of her cousin, William Hollins, formerly of Hucknall-under-Huthwaite, in the county of Nottingham, and afterwards of Canada.
 The Berks and Hants Railway Company. The account of the Berks and Hants Railway Act, 1845.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Bear. The account of Charles Bear's legacy.
 In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made on the marriage of Mr. and Mrs. Beresford, both deceased.
 Berrington v. Evans.
 Berrington v. Evans. The account of Elizabeth Watkins.
 Brooke v. Elliott. The account of the share of the defendant, Charles Hunter, subject to duty.
 Bariff v. Footman. The defendant, Richard Ray, deceased.
 Bothomley v. Lord Fairfax.
 Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn. The children and widow of the testator's brother, Lewis Moore, their account.
 Bendy v. Firth.
 Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn.
 Brown v. Forbes, and Brown v. Brown.
 Bagster v. Fackerell. The schooling and apprenticeship fund.
 Badeley v. Garrow.
 Brown v. George. The legatees' account.
 Becke v. Gibson. Thomas Mawmell's account.
 Becke v. Gibson. The schoolmaster of Heighington's account.
 Boothby v. Groves.
 Bond v. Graham.
 Brooke v. Gulston. Caroline Colmore's account.
 Bowring v. Greenwood.
 Bleadon v. Haynes, and Haynes v. Bleadon. The plough, furniture, stock, and effects account.
 Bourne v. Hartley.
 Baker v. Hordley, Baker v. Hordley, and Baker v. Hordley. (3 causes.)
 Brandling v. Humble. The creditors' account.
 Bolton v. Hopkins.
 Binns v. Holroyd, and Binns v. Bould.
 Bagster v. Hume. The creditors' account.
 Bicknell v. Hughes.
 Butterfield v. Humphrey.
 Bailey v. Hammond.
 Blight v. Hammonds. The executors' account.
 Brooks v. Hancock.
 Browne v. Hyde.
 Barlow v. Hellear.
 Beswick v. Hallam. The account of the debt claimed to be due to John Daniel Burton.
 Bilton v. Harland.

Bourne v. Hartley. The indemnity account of the defendants, James Allen and Maurice Hartland Mahon, as the executors of the testator, Richard Bourne.
 Brewer v. Hawys.
 Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Birmingham Liverpool Junction Canal Navigation. The account of the trustee under the will of John Spencer, deceased.
 Ex parte the Birmingham and Derby Junction Railway Company. The account of James Willson, the tenant for life and others.
 Ex parte the Birkenhead, Lancashire, and Cheshire Junction Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Dr. Oldfield's charity, in the city of Chester.
 Ex parte the Birkenhead, Lancashire, and Cheshire Junction Railway Company. The account of Maria Pratchett, widow.
 Ex parte the Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Company. The account of John Fetherston, John Osborn, and James Bradbury, being the committee appointed on behalf of the Commissioners of Horbury Common.
 Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Birmingham. The account of William Richard Whitmore, the Rev. John Davies, and Edward Tilsley Moore.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Bibby, deceased.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Bibwell, deceased. The legacy and share of residue given and bequeathed to John Bibwell by the will of Sarah Bibwell.
 In the matter of the trusts of Birch's settlement for the benefit of George Thomas Gray, a person of unsound mind, a son of Mary Gray, deceased.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Birch, deceased.
 Elizabeth Ann Biggs, an infant. The savings account.
 Brown v. Jones. The account of rents of the leasehold in Dunk and Halifax streets.
 Brandwood v. Johnson. The account of Solomon Lewis.
 Burke v. Jones. The account of moneys arising from the sale of the English estates of Andrew Robinson Boves, Esq., deceased.
 Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Prosser, Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Boughton and Boughton v. Tilsley. The account of William Henry Prosser, an infant.
 Burgis v. Jackson.
 Bolney v. Kealey.
 Bruce v. Kinlock. The creditors' account.
 Bourne v. Lord Kilmorrey.
 Baron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaird.
 Back v. Kett. The account of the estate of the testator, Thomas Back.
 Ex parte the purchasers of the settled estates of Samuel Blunt, Esq.
 Ex parte a projected undertaking for authorising the Blackburn Railway Company to make and maintain extensions of their railway, and for regulating the capital of the Company, and for other purposes.
 In the matter of the trust of the legacy of one hundred pounds in the will of Susannah Bloss, deceased, dated the seventeenth January, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-two, expressed to be given to Eliza Smith.
 In the matter of the estate of George Blake, of Toxteth Park, near Liverpool, in the county of Lancaster, Gentleman, deceased, and Neale v. Stewart. The interest account of George Blake Oughterson's contingent legacy.
 Bourgeois v. Lankshear.

Bassett v. Leach.
 Ball v. Longcroft.
 Boughton v. Legg.
 Barrett v. Lock.
 Bent v. Loaden.
 Blake v. Lynch.
 Bent v. Loaden. The Rev. Charles Wallington's account.
 Brown v. Lloyd. The creditors' account.
 Baily v. Lanfear. The outstanding notes account.
 Brooks v. Levey. The legatees' and annuitants' account.
 Brooks v. Levey. Legacy to the Benevolent Society at Sydney.
 Bruce v. McPherson. The account of Thomas Beecraft, or his issue.
 Belgrave v. Massiah.
 Bruce v. McPherson. The account of William Stanhope Beecraft.
 Ballard v. Milner.
 Ball v. Michell. The annuity account of Mary Prior.
 Blackhall v. Manning.
 Blackhall v. Manning, and Manning v. Blackhall.
 Buswell v. Mason.
 Bishop v. Mackie.
 Bailey v. Maude.
 Bruce v. McPherson.
 Earl of Balcarras v. Newton, and Earl of Balcarras v. Newton.
 Walter Boyd, Paul Benfield, and James Drummond, bankrupts. The account of John Bailey.
 Walter Boyd, Paul Benfield, and James Drummond, bankrupts. The account of George Pratherman.
 Augusta Zelmira Baffa, an infant.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Booth, late surgeon in His Majesty's ship Pompee. The account of the three several legacies of fifty pounds each to Hannah Nicoll, Ann Smith, and Elizabeth Nicoll, and interest subject to legacy duty.
 Ex parte the purchasers of part of the devised estates of Thomas Bonner, deceased.
 Ex parte the Boston, Sleaford, and Midland Counties Railway Company. The account of George Francis Birch.
 Baker v. Olding, Baker v. Baker, Baker v. Baker, and Baker v. Olding. The account of the proceeds of the sale of fixtures at Pullen-row, Islington.
 Briggs v. Earl of Oxford and Mortimer. The account of the proceeds of timber, subject to the trusts of the indentures of settlement, dated the 20th day of March, 1832, and the 12th day of November, 1835.
 Brookes v. Oakley.
 Butler v. Oliver.
 Bartlett v. Patten, and Patten v. Bartlett.
 Burton v. Pierpont.
 Bennett v. Powell, Ryland v. Bennett, and Powell v. Bennett. The sequestrators' account of rents and profits.
 Bennett v. Powell, Ryland v. Bennett, and Powell v. Bennett.
 Blondel v. Preston. The contingent legacy account of Penelope Gertrude Veysie, the legatee.
 Benbow v. Pickard.
 Bray v. Preece.
 Beeby v. Perry.
 Batten v. Parfitt.
 Ball v. Preston.
 Beard v. Pinder.

Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Bradford, John Booth, Trustee of the late John Booth, deceased.

Ex parte the Brighton and Chichester Railway Company. The account of Sophia Deacle, sometime since residing at Chichester, at Portsmouth, and at Fareham, but whose present residence is unknown.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of Susannah Brown, Widow, deceased, dated the 29th day of November, 1848, relating to the share of Charles Tucker, son of Charles Tucker, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Eleanora Brunton, Widow, deceased. The charitable bequest.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Brain, deceased. The account of the infant John Walker Smith, otherwise Brain, contingent on his attaining twenty-one.

Emily Mary Christiana Briscoe, a minor.

Ex parte the Brighton and Chichester Railway Company. The account of Henry Ford the elder and Richard Henry Rogers.

John Brown, a lunatic. The account of the Everton Estate, other than the slip of land.

In the matter of the trusts of a deed for keeping in order the tomb of James Browne, formerly of Dawlish, Devonshire.

William Brooke, jun., a minor.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of Richard Bradford and Georgiana, his wife, dated the 23rd day of August, 1842. The account of Richard Bradford and his incumbancers, in satisfaction of the sum of two thousand pounds mentioned in the settlement.

Ex parte the Bradford Corporation Water Works Act, 1854. The account of Thomas Kitchingman Staveley, George Edward Wilson, and Sir Henry Bromley, Baronet.

Ex parte the Bristol and Exeter Railway Company, in the matter of an Act to amend the Acts relating to the Bristol and Exeter Railway, and to authorize the formation of a Junction Railway and several Branch Railways connected with the same.

In the matter of the trusts of John Bryant's will. The account of the persons entitled to the three legacies of £100 bequeathed to Edward Mussard, Rebecca Justin, and Sarah Chown, by the testator, John Bryant.

Ex parte the trustees for executing an Act of Parliament made and passed in the 54th year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the 3rd, intituled "An Act for altering and enlarging the term and powers of three Acts made for repairing the high road leading from Brent Bridge, in the county of Devon, to Gasking Gate, in or near the borough of Plymouth, in the said county of Devon.

Ex parte the Bristol and Exeter Railway Company. The account of Richard Buncombe, Henry Daubeny Melhuish, Michael Brien, and Robert Loosemore, or others, the persons interested in respect of certain pasture land containing one acre two roods and nineteen perches, or thereabouts, portions of certain land and premises, situate and being in the parish of Halberton, in the said county of Devon, distinguished in the map or plan and book of reference deposited in the office of the Clerk of the Peace for the said county, and referred to by Act, by the numbers 21 and 26 as regards lands in the said parish of Halberton.

Bartley v. Rice. The real estate.

Bettison v. Rickards, and Bettison v. Smith.

Blackshaw v. Rogers, and Snelson v. Rogers. In Master Ord's office.

Bowen v. Runnington. The account of rents and profits.

Boisselier v. Ridgway. The account of Susan Johnson, an infant.

Brown v. Sandford, and Specke v. Sandford.

Braithwaite v. Sayner.

Brodribb v. Sherring. The legacies of the children of Thomas Hussell.

Brice v. Stokes, and Brice v. Younge.

Brice v. Stokes, and Brice v. Younge. The account of John Taylor's personal estate.

Brice v. Stokes. The account of the testator John Taylor's personal estate.

Blackett v. Stoddart and Allgood v. Blackett.

Brice v. Stokes. The account of Harriet Sparrow's legacy and interest.

Bullock v. Stones.

Blakelock v. Sharp. The mortgage account.

Ball v. Smith.

Brooks v. Snaith. The account of the real estate.

Black v. Straphon.

Berelou v. Sadler.

Butler v. Sharpe.

Butler v. Stratton. The residue of the testatrix's estate.

Brooks v. Snaith. The account of the real estate, lot seven.

Bayley v. Shearwood. The rents and profits of the real estates.

Biedermann v. Seymour. The account of moneys arising from the testator's real estate.

Bellamy v. Stephens.

Bower v. Scott, and Walker v. Watkin.

Bryant v. Story. Account of the legacy bequeathed for relief of the widows and orphans of soldiers killed in war.

Braithwaite v. Shoubridge.

Baldwin v. Taylor, and Spicer v. Taylor. The contingent account of the children of James Baldwin, deceased.

Burton v. Taylor. The legacy account of Robert Samuel Skey.

Barber v. Tatham.

Bain v. Thompson. The separate account of the defendant, Elizabeth Manners.

Bradshaw v. Tusker.

Ex parte the Bury Navigation and Llanely Harbour Act, 1858. The account of Her Majesty's Queen, the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, and David Lewis.

In the matter of the trust estate of William Bush, deceased. The account of Joseph Bush, son of Joseph Bush, deceased.

Arnold Burrowes. An infant legatee.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Maria Burrows, Widow, deceased.

In the matter of Richard Tarrant Bury, Benjamin Wittington, and John Robinson.

Ex parte the Buckinghamshire Railway Company. The account of John Stevens, of the city of Oxford, Glazier.

In the matter of the trusts of three seventh parts or shares of Ann Burt, deceased, William Burt, and Elizabeth Matthews, respectively of and in the estate of John Burt.

Ex parte the Burial Board of the in and out parish of St. Cuthbert, and the liberty of St. Andrew, in Wells, in the county of Somerset.

Ex parte the Burial Board for the parish of Newport, in the Isle of Wight, in the county of Southampton.

Baker v. Vinell.

Bryan v. Wilson. The account of William Burnham Blackwell the younger, subject to the lien, if any, of the said Mr. Richard Hannam, for a sum not exceeding the sum of £242 12s. 5d.

Boulton v. Wilkinson.

Butler v. Wise.

Biddolph v. Waller.

Bibin v. Walker.

Bristow v. Ward. Margaret Girardot de Prefond's legacy account.

Bristow v. Warde.

Bulkeley v. Williams, and Williams v. Montagu. In Master Montagu's office.

Briggs v. Wilson. The account of the legacy of Mary Adlard Showler.

Barlow v. Wogan.

Banfield v. Woollett.

Belasyse v. Wombwell. The general account of the estate of the testator, Henry, Earl Fauconberg.

Bingham v. Woodgate.

Bolton v. Wordsworth. The account of the residue bequeathed to Hannah Fox Toms and her children, and other persons subject to duty.

Ex parte the Carlisle and Silloth Bay Railway and Dock Company. The account of William, Earl of Lonsdale, Faulder Lawson, and William Nixon.

The Carmarthen and Cardigan Railway Act, 1856. The account of the marriage settlement of Charles Banks Davies and Mary Anne, his wife.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of the Archbishop of Canterbury.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the glebe land belonging to the vicarage of Camberwell, in the county of Surrey.

Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Cambridge. The account of the Master, Fellows, and Scholars of Trinity Hall, in the University of Cambridge, in respect of the lease of the 6th day of April, 1836.

Edith Adela Calrow, an infant.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Caudery's estate. The account of the residuary share of Ann Lises, deceased.

Ex parte the Carmarthen and Cardigan Railway Company. The account of John Williams, Morgan Gwynne Hughes, George Morgan, and David Jones.

Ex parte the personal representatives of Thomas Calvert, deceased.

Ex parte the Carmarthen and Cardigan Railway Company. The account of Charles Bankes Davies and Eliza, his wife, George Davies, and Edward Morris Davies.

Ex parte the trustees for executing an Act of Parliament passed in the 50th year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled "An Act for repairing the road from Catterick Bridge, in the county of York, through the towns of Yarm, Hockton, and Sedgewick, to the city of Durham, in the county of Durham, and for repealing an Act passed in the 28th year of His present Majesty for repairing the said road."

In the matter of the Most Reverend Father in God Charles, by Divine Providence Lord Archbishop of Canterbury.

Ex parte the Caledonian Railway Company. The account of James Fawcett and John Fawcett in respect of a parcel of land situate in the parish of Saint Mary, Carlisle, being part of a parcel of land numbered 37 on the map or plan of the branch to Port Carlisle Railway.

- Conell v. Allen. The account of the defendant, William Coles, one of the children of William Coles, deceased.
- Constable v. Adams. Account of Edward Ind and Sarah, his wife.
- Constable v. Adams. Account of David Grantham and Henny, his wife.
- Conell v. Allen. The account of the infant plaintiff, Samuel Richard Coles.
- Conell v. Allen. The account of the plaintiffs, William Rufus Petit Roberts and Diana Matilda, his wife.
- Combe v. Acland.
- Clark v. Addington. The timber account.
- Conell v. Allen. The account of the infant plaintiff, Olivia Coles.
- Constable v. Adams. Account of plaintiffs, Thomas Constable and Mary, his wife.
- Cann v. Barne. The account of George Robert Piercy Bullock, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd. The separate account of Alfred Boyd, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd. The separate account of John Peter Charles Ewart and Harriet Louisa, his wife.
- Cox v. Boyd. The separate account of Edmund John Boyd.
- Cox v. Boyd. The separate account of Amelia Boyd, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd. The separate account of Walter William Boyd, an infant.
- Collis v. Blackburn.
- Cockerell v. Barber.
- Currrie v. Ball.
- Crook v. Bayliffe. The account of Lucy Flowers Bond, defendant.
- Cann v. Barne.
- Clarkson v. Brady.
- Clarke v. Bailey.
- Cathcart v. Briscoe. The account of share of residue of Mary Lyon, formerly Mary Cathcart, deceased.
- Cathcart v. Briscoe. The account of share of the residue of Hugh Cathcart, deceased.
- Clarke v. Bourne. The account of the children of the testator's brother, James Clarke.
- Carver v. Bowles.
- Coombs and others v. Brookes and others.
- Coate v. Boyer.
- Crosthwaite v. Brown.
- Chamberlain v. Burges.
- Cocks v. Bateman.
- Cork v. Basford.
- Chapman v. Burman.
- Coxon v. Coxon.
- Crook v. Crook. The account of the defendant, Edward Gyles Crook, and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Crook v. Crook. The account of the defendant, Alfred Crook, and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Cartwright v. Cartwright.
- Caslon v. Caslon. In Master Leed's office.
- Campbell v. Campbell. In Master Wilmot's office.
- Chamberlain v. Chamberlain.
- Cross v. Cross.
- Conway v. Lord Conway. On account of the personal estate of Francis, Lord Conway, deceased.
- Cholmley v. Colville.
- Cartrell v. Cotterell.
- Corby v. Conyers.
- Coghlan v. Coghlan.
- Coffin v. Cooper.
- Courtney v. Courtney. The Shirehampton Estate account.
- Cuthell v. Cubitt. The account of Isabella Cuthell, as legatee and next of kin of John Cuthell, deceased.
- Crewe v. Crewe. The plaintiff, the infant's account.
- Cousens v. Chiene, and Cousens v. Chiene. The account of Margaret Chiene, Widow, deceased.
- Coventry v. Earl of Coventry. The account of the purchase money paid by the visitors of the Lunatic Asylum of Worcester.
- Colebrooke v. Colebrooke. The account of Robert James and George Colebrooke.
- Camden v. Cooke.
- Cranley v. Dixon. The capital account of the late defendant, James William Casterton, deceased.
- Cole v. Eaton, and Hocknell v. Duke of Sutherland.
- Cooper v. Emery.
- Codrington v. Lord Foley.
- Cobbold v. Fisk.
- Cochran v. Fielder.
- Christian v. Foster, and Bunnett v. Foster. The account of the real estate.
- Christian v. Foster.
- Cooper v. Farrer. The £2,000 bond account.
- Champernowne v. Gulston.
- Charge v. Goodyer.
- Capel v. Girdler.
- Claridge v. Goodeve. The account of the testator's house and furniture in Portland-road.
- Colleton v. Garth. The account of the Right Honourable Reginald Pole Carew and Charlotte Jemima Morrell.
- Craufurd v. Viscount Gage. The account of the fund under the will of Margaret Gage.
- In the matter of the trust estate of Robert Chipchase, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of Augustus John Chapman, deceased. The share of Mary Ann Abbott, deceased.
- Ex parte the Cheltenham and Great Western Union Railway Company. The account of the trustees of William Stacey's Charity.
- Joseph Champion, Esq., a lunatic.
- In the matter of the estate of the Reverend Matthew Chester, late of Great Crosby, in the county of Lancaster, deceased, and Sturgis v. Richmond.
- Ex parte the Governor and Company of Chelsea Waterworks. The account of John Phillips.
- Mary Chetle, a lunatic.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for Building Churches and Robert Henry Clive, and Robert Clive, Esq., his eldest son, the party entitled being tenant for life.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for Building Churches and George Jelf, Esq., of Great George-street, in the city of Westminster.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of John Churchman, deceased. The account of the share of Caroline Churchman, in the petition stated to be Caroline Amelia Rice, the wife of Alfred James Rice, in the one-eighth given by the will of John Churchman to John Churchman.
- Chew v. Hampson.
- Coard v. Holderness.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the trustees of William Hall's assignment, dated 31st January, 1837.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the defendants, Samuel Prout Hill and Louisa, his wife, formerly the plaintiff, Louisa Hall, Spinster.
- Clarke v. Holden. The legacy of ten-pounds to Charlotte O'Fallon.
- Colley v. Harbert.

Carter v. Holford. The trust account of the defendant. Sir William Herne.

Cracroft v. Hawkins.

Clement v. Harris.

Codner v. Hine.

Crowther v. Hodgson, Crowther v. Crowther, and Crowther v. Crowther.

Cheatle v. Jennings. The kindred of Ann Baily, deceased, or their representatives.

Court v. Jeffery. The account of the unclaimed and lapsed legacies of the testator, Alice Short.

Court v. Jeffery. The account of the legatee, Elizabeth Pester.

Court v. Jeffery.

Court v. Jeffery. The account of the legatee, Mary Williams and her children.

Crafer v. Jamison. The account of the children of Mary Howard, deceased.

Cundell v. Knowles.

Collett v. Kirby.

Cox v. King.

Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Clarence Railway.

In the matter of the trust of the annuity of Agatha Clark, otherwise Giacobbi, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Clark, late of the parish of Saint Bartholomew, Hyde, in the city of Winchester, Tailor, deceased, so far as relates to the share of Thomas Clark, one of the children of the testator's son, John Clark, therein named.

In the matter of the Master or Keeper, Fellows, and Scholars of the College or Hall formerly called Clare Hall, in the University of Cambridge.

In the matter of John Luke Clennell, a person of unsound mind, and in the matter of an Act of Parliament passed in the 8th and 9th years of Her present Majesty, chapter 100, intituled "An Act for the regulation of the care and treatment of lunatics."

In the matter of the trusts of the will of the Right Reverend William Bennett, late Lord Bishop of Cloyne. The share of Olivia Reynett, now the wife of Nathaniel Reynett, one of the daughters of the testator's niece, Elizabeth Johnson.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Clapham. The legacy of five hundred pounds to the children of John Clapham and Charles Clapham, subject to legacy duty.

Ex parte the Clay Cross Waterworks Company.

In the matter of the Clay Cross Waterworks Act, 1856.

Cobden v. Lucas. Ann Glover's account.

Clifton v. Lombe, and Lombe v. Clifton.

Cull v. Lloyd.

Charlton v. Leicester. The plaintiff Elizabeth Charlton the elder's account.

Cookson v. Lay.

Cole v. Lyde. The account of the legacy of £100 bequeathed to the children of the late John Bliss and Ann, his wife.

Chalie v. Lucadon. To answer the several claims mentioned in the 3rd schedule to the Master's Report, dated 22nd May, 1806.

Cooper v. Layton, and Cooper v. Layton. The account of Robert Henry Cooper.

Cox v. Longmore.

Chamberlain v. Lee.

Collinwood v. Larkins and others, subject to duty.

Carvalho v. Levy.

Chennell v. Martin. The contingent account of the defendant, Elizabeth Daykin, and her children.

Crabbe v. Moxsy. The account of the proceeds of the sale of the property mortgaged by the testator to the plaintiffs and Anne Rowe.

Crabbe v. Moxsy. The proceeds of the sale of the hereditaments comprised in the indenture of 21st October, 1850.

Crabbe v. Moxsy.

Curtis v. Monkton. The account of Margaret Lloyd's annuity.

Curtis v. Monkton. The account of the defendant George Hatter's annuity.

Constable v. Morgan.

Collins v. Morrell.

Cooper v. Marshall.

Copland v. Martin.

Chase v. Morris.

Carpenter v. Middleton.

Cruse v. Nowell. The account of Sydney Nowell, an infant, subject to duty.

Cockroft v. Nightingale.

Cooke v. Northupp.

Archbishop of Canterbury v. Nicholls.

Ex parte the Commercial Railway Company. The account of John Liddle and Mary, his wife.

Ex parte the Cornwall Railway Acts, 1846, 1847, and 1855. The account of the parties entitled under the will of John Hearle Tremayne, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of George Cowles and William Cowles.

In the matter of an Act of Parliament passed in the 10th year of the reign of Her Majesty, Queen Victoria, intituled "An Act for authorizing the sale of part of the estates settled by the will of William Congreve, Esq., deceased, and for laying out the surplus of the moneys produced by such sale, after payment of his debts, in the purchase of other estates. Ex parte the purchasers of the settled estates of William Congreve, deceased.

Ex parte Cotham's Mine account.

In the matter of the trust of the will of Jane Colmer, deceased. The account of the legacy to the children of Caroline Plumptre, deceased.

In the matter of the trust created by the will of William Cooper, late of Great Bowden, in the county of Leicester, Grazier, deceased.

Charles Joseph Priestley Cooper, an infant legatee.

Charles Harrington Cotton, an infant.

In the matter of the trust of the will of William Collins, late of Witney. The account of Elizabeth Sarah Smith, Spinster, a legatee.

Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. The account of the Lords of the Manor of Barton, in the Isle of Wight.

Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. Thelluson Enfranchisement, Wickham and Byng Manor.

Ex parte the residuary devised estates of Anthony Compton, Esq., deceased.

The account of Phillip Zechariah Cox, of Harwood Hall, in the county of Essex, Esq., and Robert Henry Bartholomew, of New-inn, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, as trustees under the will of Elizabeth Atkinson, late of Guildford-street, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, bearing date the 28th August, 1824, and of a certain indenture of nine parts bearing date 13th March, 1838, and Ellen Atkinson, wife of William Atkinson, of 38, Upper Baker-street, New-road, in the said county of Middlesex, Esq., and the said William Atkinson, or other the person or persons entitled to the residue of a certain term and interest in certain premises described in the order of the London and Croydon Railway Company, dated the 11th March, 1839.

Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. Thelluson Enfranchisement, Wickham and Wickham Manor.

Castle v. Othwaite.

- Clarke v. Oliver.
 Chapman v. Oldner.
 Carter v. Owen.
 Clarke v. the Earl of Ormonds. The account of the bond and simple contract debts.
 Carter v. Peele.
 Crosse v. Price. Thomas Fletcher's account.
 Carter v. Peele. The interest account.
 Collins v. Price. The account of Samuel Price and his children.
 Clay v. Pennington.
 Cottam v. Philipps.
 In the matter of Crossley's Trust.
 In the matter of an Act of Parliament made and passed in the 2nd and 3rd years of the reign of Her Majesty, Queen Victoria, intituled "An Act for dissolving the Croydon, Merstham, and Godstone Iron Railway Company." The unclaimed dividend account of the proprietors of the late Croydon, Merstham, and Godstone Iron Railway.
 In the matter of the trusts of the residue of the moneys arising under the trusts for sale contained in an indenture of appointment and release, dated the 23rd day of May, 1827, and between Thomas Croft and Elizabeth, his wife, of the first part, William Wilson of the second part, Ann Bellwood of the third part, and Charles Bellwood, Frederick Lucas, and James William Parker of the fourth part.
 Clare v. Rebbeck.
 Cochrane v. Robinson. The account of the plaintiffs, James Dunlop and Marion, his wife.
 Campbell v. Earl of Radnor, Richard Hutcheson his wife and children, their account.
 Chauncy v. Rees. The defendant, Charlotte Maria White, and her children, their account.
 Chaffer v. Radcliffe.
 Curtis v. Sheffield and Curtis v. Sheffield. The account of Ann Wenborne, her personal representative.
 Carruthers v. Stockley. The plaintiff, David Carruthers and Letitia, his wife, their account.
 Carruthers v. Stockley. Blackley, and Martha, his wife, their account.
 Clarkson v. Earl of Scarborough.
 Cholmondeley v. Stepney. The annuitants' account.
 Cook v. Smith.
 Casamajor v. Strode.
 Carter v. Taggart, Carter v. Adney, and Carter v. Feaver. The account of the five children of Maria Feaver.
 Cockburn v. Thompson.
 Constable v. Thorndyke.
 Corney v. Tribe.
 Capper v. Terrington, and Capper v. Grace.
 Ex parte the Committee of Visitors of Pauper Lunatics for the united counties of Cumberland and Westmoreland. In the matter of the Lunatics' Asylums Act, 1853, and in the matter of the 8 and 9 Victoria, cap. 126, and in the matter of the settled estates of James Mulcaster, deceased. The share of William Bell, and his issue with remainders over.
 Richard Hancock Currie, an infant.
 Ex parte the Committee of Visitors of Pauper Lunatics for the united counties of Cumberland and Westmoreland. In the matter of the Lunatics' Asylums Act, 1853, and in the matter of the 8 and 9 Victoria, cap. 126, and in the matter of the settled estates of James Mulcaster, deceased. The share of Mary Dalston, now Hibbert, and her issue with remainder over.
 Ex parte the Committee of Visitors of Pauper Lunatics for the united counties of Cumberland and Westmoreland. In the matter of the Lunatics' Asylums Act, 1853, and in the matter of the 8 and 9 Victoria, cap. 126, and in the matter of the settled estates of James Mulcaster, deceased. The share of James Bell and his issue with remainders over.
 Chester v. Urwick. The account of William Kipping, an infant.
 Clarke v. Vernon. The account of the personal representative of Harriet Jones, deceased.
 Crosthwaite v. Wood.
 Clegg v. Whitley.
 Clark v. Walpole. The account of George Ward Clark.
 Crow v. Ward.
 Chambers v. Whiteside. The separate account of the defendant, Frederica Clavering Lefevre, Widow of the late defendant, George William Lefevre.
 Clutterbuck v. Wilkins.
 Curtis v. Wilson, Ottley v. Morris, Ottley v. Gerrard, and Ottley v. Follett.
 Cotgreave v. Walmsley.
 Ex parte the undertaking of the Darenth Railway Company for making a railway from the North Kent line of the South Eastern Railway at Dartford, in the county of Kent, to Farningham, in the said county.
 Ex parte the Dartmouth and Torbay Railway Company. The account of Catherine Elliott.
 Dixon v. Alexander. The account of the annuitant, Sarah Dixon.
 Dering v. Bentham. Ann Alley and Mary Turfrey, the annuitant's, account.
 Dunboyne (Baron of) v. Brander. The account of George Frederick Bloxam or his assigns.
 Dowding v. Bartley. William Barnes' legacy account.
 Daniel v. Brown.
 Day v. Barnard. Eliza Scudamore, the annuitant's, account.
 Downing against Bell, and Lord Montford against Downing.
 Durnford v. Butler.
 Davies v. Byron.
 Duncan v. Blakeney.
 Denyer v. Bettesworth.
 Davies v. Cracroft. The defendant, Charles Watkins Cracroft, copyhold estate account.
 Drummond v. Cook.
 Dines v. Champion. Wilson v. Revett, and Wilson v. Revett.
 Daniel v. Cross and Daniel v. Edye.
 Davies v. Cracroft. Debts of the testator, Walter Watkins, remaining unpaid.
 Dawson v. Dawson, and Dawson v. Dawson.
 Docter v. Docter. The account of Anne Susannah Docter.
 Downes v. Downes.
 Dyer v. Dyer. The defendant, Margaret Broadway, the annuitant's, account.
 Drapers' Company and others v. Davies and others.
 Mary Ann Douglas, Spinster, and others v. Ann Douglas and others, and William Smith, Public Officer of the Bank of Manchester v. Edmund Weatherby, since deceased, and others. The share of John Douglas in the assets of the firm of William Douglas and Company.
 Delgado v. Da Costa.
 Dawkins v. Doveton. Owen Bonnell's account
 Dupuis v. Dupuis. The account of the insurance mentioned in the Master's Report.
 Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the Irish estate of William, late Earl of Devon, deceased.

- In the matter of the proceeds of derelict property brought into the port of Nassau, in New Providence, and sold for the benefit of the rightful owner when appearing, according to the Act 12th Anne, cap. 18, sec. 2.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for inclosing the Forest of Delamere, in the county of Chester.
- De Perrin v. Eastland. The account of the plaintiff, Thomas Matheson.
- Duffield v. Elwes. The legacy of John Morehen.
- Denning v. Elderton. The account of Catherine Suter.
- De Perrin v. Eastland.
- Dare v. Edwards.
- De Beaupin v. Edlyn.
- Deconnick v. Francia.
- Anna Maria Daykeyne v. Charles Flint and others.
- Downing v. Graves Beaupre Bell.
- Doughty v. Greenhill.
- Doody v. Higgins. The legacy account of the representatives of the next of kin of John Stevenson.
- Denison v. Holmes. The personal estate account subject to duty.
- D'Aranda v. Head. The account of the personal representative of Alleyne David Carter, deceased.
- D'Aranda v. Head. The account of the defendant, Henry Harridge Carter, a bankrupt.
- Docker v. Homer.
- Dantze v. Halliday.
- Dolland v. Johnson. The account of costs.
- Duesbury v. Kean.
- Dick v. Lushington. The account of the servants of the testator, James Ellis, in India.
- Dowle v. Lucy. The account of John Philip Jenkins.
- Dowle v. Lucy. The account of John Ireland Jenkins.
- Dowle v. Lucy. The account of Elizabeth Jowatt, daughter of the testator's daughter, Charlotte Nind, deceased.
- Durnford v. Lane.
- Dashwood v. Latter.
- Derecourt v. Mann. The separate account of the plaintiff, Elizabeth Anderson Clay.
- Downes v. Moore.
- Drever v. Mawdesley. The timber account.
- Drever v. Mawdesley. The one hundred years term account.
- Daniel v. Manning.
- Devaynes v. Noble, Baring v. Noble, Devaynes v. Noble, and Baring v. Noble.
- James Donnithorne, late of the city of Hereford, Esq.
- Davies v. Orr. Subject to duty.
- Duncan v. Payne.
- Dickinson v. Pickering. Frances Byrd's personal estate.
- Dallas v. Powell. The settlement account of Susannah Powell.
- Daubuz v. Peel, Daubuz v. Crosbie.
- Richard Edward Erle Drax, Esq., a lunatic.
- Drummond v. Ridge.
- Downing v. Richardson.
- Dickinson v. Rustringer.
- Downes v. Smith.
- Davidson v. Tuthill. The contingent legacy account of Davidson McFarlan.
- Dickinson v. Todd.
- Douce v. Viscountess Torrington. The personal estate of the testator, Lord Viscount Torrington.
- Ex parte the Durham Markets Company, and in the matter of the Durham Markets Company's Act, 1851.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Dunkley.
- In the matter of the estate of John Dunn, late of the parish of Lambourn, in the county of Berks, deceased. Bailey v. Davis.
- Delmedico v. Valle.
- Dodd v. Wynne.
- Dodd v. Webber. The account of John Imray or his representatives.
- Dowley v. Winfield. Executors' costs indemnity account.
- Dowley v. Winfield.
- Down v. Wright.
- Down v. Worrall. Jane Sanders, Widow, her account.
- Dunderdale v. Wells.
- Davies v. Williams.
- Dickie v. Walker.
- Ducomick v. Ward.
- Dudley v. Warner. The personal estate.
- Ex parte the Right Honourable George Talbot Rice, Baron Dynevor, as tenant for life, and the Honourable George Rice Trevor (son of the said Baron), as tenant in tail of and in one undivided moiety or half part or other share of and in the lands hereinafter mentioned, and of John Matthew Richards, Esq., late of Cardiff, in the county of Glamorgan, but at present residing in Germany or elsewhere out of England, or other the parties interested in certain land, in the parish of Merthyr Tydfil, in the said county of Glamorgan, abutting on the river Talf, and referred to by the No. 132, in the plan and book of reference deposited with the Clerk of the Peace of the said county.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties and London and Blackwall Railway Companies, the London, Tilbury, and Southend Extension Railway Act, 1852. The account of Hall Dare's purchase money.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. In the matter of the Cambridge and Huntingdon Railway Act, 1845. The account of the President, Master, and Fellows of Queen's College, in the University of Cambridge.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. The account of the trustees of the will of Henry Headly, deceased.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties and London and Blackwall Railway Companies. In the matter of the London, Tilbury, and Southend Extension Railway Act, 1852, and the London, Tilbury, and Southend Railway Deviation Amendment Act, 1854. The account of the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods and Forests and Land Revenues. Lady Olivier Bernard Sparrow and William Hilton.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. In the matter of the Eastern Counties Railway (Woodford and Loughton Branch) Act, 1853. The account of Thomas Sidney, William Delano, Ebenezer Clarke, Harry Martin Harvey, and Thomas Quester Finnis.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties and London and Blackwall Railway Companies. The account of James Clift, of 30, Bloomsbury-square, Middlesex, Esq., as the person in possession and of other the persons interested in a freehold cottage garden and outbuildings in Barking, Essex, containing together by admeasurement one rood, and described in the Parliamentary plan and book of reference, deposited with the Clerk of the Peace for the county of Essex, in relation to the said Act, by the No. 16, in Barking aforesaid, being the purchase money and compensation agreed to be paid in respect thereof.

- Ex parte the East Lancashire Railway Company. The account of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Preston, in the county of Lancaster, John Whiteside, of Martin, near Blackpool, in the said county of Lancaster, Farmer, and John Wise, of Preston aforesaid, Nurseryman.
- Ex parte the East Lancashire Railway Company. In the matter of the East Lancashire Deviation and Branch Railways Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. The account of the trustees of the will of Henry Headly, deceased.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. In the matter of the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. The account of John Ashwood Hubbard, of Bath-place, Dalston, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. The account of Edmund Sexton Pery Calvert, of Thames-street, in the city of London, Esq.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. The estate of Walter Gray, deceased.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. The account of William Dennis, of Church-street, Hackney, in the county of Middlesex, Grocer and Oilman.
- Ex parte the East Kent Railway Company. The account of James Temple, of St. Margarets at Oliffe, in the county of Kent, Schoolmaster, and Henry Temple, of the same place, a Commander in the Royal Navy, the trustees of the late John Whitehead, Esq., deceased, and his Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury.
- Ex parte the East Kent Railway Company. The account of Susannah Spilsbury and others, the parties interested.
- Ex parte the East Kent Railway Company. The account of Edwin Staines and other, the parties whose estate and interest by the Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845, he is entitled to sell and convey.
- English v. Bludworth.
- Edmonds v. Bree.
- Elnslie v. Dunlop, and Wife. (late Ogilvie). The personal estate of John Ogilvie.
- Evans v. Goode. The account of George William Houghton.
- Elderfield v. Gooddall. The account of Richard Symons Gooddall the younger.
- Edwards v. Geeve.
- Elton v. Glover.
- Eden v. Gelston. The plaintiff, Robert Eden, the infant's account.
- English v. Hendrick.
- Emerton v. Halfpenny.
- Eyre v. Jenkins, and Eyre v. Jones. The account of the share of the defendant, Martha Dunsell.
- Eyre v. Jenkins, and Eyre v. Jones. The account of the share of the said Margaret Avis, deceased, payable to her personal representative.
- Evans v. Kyffin.
- John White Elliott, the infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Elliss, as to one moiety of her residuary personal estate and her Navy £5 Per Cent. Annuities.
- Ellington v. Learmouth. The account of Jessey, otherwise Janet Livingston, deceased.
- Enticknapp v. Lee.
- Eversden v. Lepla.
- Ellis v. Nicholas and Nicholas v. Southwell. In Master Burrough's office.
- Edes v. Rose. The account of ——— Brooks, son of Jane Brooks.
- Edwards v. Raynor.
- Edridge v. Slatter. The account of the Treasurers and Directors or Governors of the School of Industry, Tottenham.
- Ellerton v. Stockdale.
- Eyre v. Turtón.
- Everett v. Thurlow.
- Everett v. Thurlow. Ex parte the purchasers of the estate, late of the Honourable Mary Lyon, deceased.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estate of Sir Henry Every, Bart.
- Eyre v. Wake. The account of Clementina Eyre, deceased.
- Evans v. Warner.
- Elliott v. Williams.
- Ellis v. Weare.
- Everidge v. Wood.
- Everett v. Williams.
- In the matter of the trusts of an Indenture dated the 23rd November, 1847, and made between John Harper Evanson, of the first part, Thomas Barlow, of the second part, and William Lee Brookes, of the third part.
- Fenwick v. Annesley.
- Fereday v. Adam.
- Farrimond v. Baron.
- Finley v. Basden. The account of the infant plaintiff, Mary Ann Finley.
- Ferrow v. Bowman. The plaintiff, Walter Macowat, and Agnes, his wife, their account.
- Farrar v. Bennett.
- Friend v. Bishop.
- Franks v. Barber.
- Fairburn v. Bluit. William Tipping, his wife, and five children, their account.
- Freston v. Clayton.
- Fortnum v. Corral. The account of Richard Corral.
- Fradgley v. Campbell. The account of the defendant, Jeremiah Read.
- Fournier v. Edwards.
- Fenn v. Emerson.
- Faulkner v. Fletcher. The annuitant's account.
- Franklin v. Firth.
- Fletcher v. Fletcher.
- Hay v. Fullarton.
- Fowler v. Foot.
- Frankland v. Frankland.
- Forster v. Fossick.
- Fourdrin v. Gowdey. The account of the legacy of Mary Vollum.
- Forsyth v. Grant. The account of William Grant, of Demerara.
- Frackleton v. Grubb.
- Felix v. Gwynne, and Felix v. Arden.
- Fosberry v. Garner.
- Fownes v. Hunt.
- Flower v. Haydon.
- Fraser v. Hartwell.
- Ann Fidler, Spinster, a lunatic.
- In the matter of the trusts of a settlement dated 29th March, 1847, made between Frederick William Field, Gentleman, of the first part, Harriet Harmon Field, of the second part, and Walter Charles Urquhart and John Day, of the third part.
- In the matter of the trusts of the last will and testament and codicil of James Fitzpatrick, deceased.

- Fellow v. Jermyn, and Fellow v. Cruwys. In Master Spicer's office.
- Foulkes v. Jones.
- Flockton v. Lee.
- Fox v. Lloyd.
- Fowler v. Miall.
- Fowler v. Miall. The Duke of Richmond's rent account.
- Farrar v. Minshull. Farrar v. Birch, and Farrar v. Edwards.
- Forth v. Morland.
- Faldes v. Moody. Rents and profits.
- Farnell v. Nicholls. The annuitant's account.
- Fletcher v. Northcote.
- Fielding v. Nutting.
- Ex parte an undertaking to extend the line for the completion of the Forest of Dean Central Railway, and for other purposes.
- Eliza Amey Folgham, Spinster, a person of unsound mind.
- Fryer v. Parnell. The account of Honel Alman and her children, in respect of the testator's bond to Moss Hain Botibol and Esther, his wife, late Esther Alman, Spinster.
- Foone v. Pinckard.
- Sarah Frankland, a person of unsound mind under the Act of 8th and 9th Victoria, cap. 100.
- Freer v. Rimmer.
- French v. Slade.
- Fabing v. Stanger.
- Farmer v. Sleigh.
- Ferrier v. Sprott, the account of the plaintiff, Robert Hunter.
- The Furness Railway Company, the account of George Shaw Petty, of Ulverstone, in the county Palatine of Lancaster, Esq., George Mason, of Ashlark Hall, in the said county, Gentleman, and John Slater, of Hawkshead, in the county of Westmoreland.
- Friday v. Walker, the account of the personal representatives of the late defendant, Benjamin Walker.
- Friday v. Walker, the account of the personal estate.
- Farr v. Watts, Farr v. Watts, Farr v. Watts, and Farr v. Farr, the account of the plaintiff Amelia Keziah Farr, and the assignees of George Farr.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of £600 given by the will of Anselmo Gamboa, deceased, to the heirs of his late partner, Henry Brooke, the account of the children of Mary Ann Cave, deceased, living at the time of the decease of the said testator or their legal personal representatives.
- Ex parte John Gardner or other the persons interested in a certain piece of land or ground situate on the west side of the Regent's Canal, in the county of Middlesex.
- Gillespie v. Alexander. The plaintiff, the annuitant's, account.
- Gillespie v. Alexander. Four and Leary's account.
- Goren v. Atkinson the elder and others.
- Gwynne v. Adams.
- Gaselee v. Barnes. The account of the defendant Sarah Harben, Widow, and her children and others.
- Gliddon v. Baltus. The account of a legacy of £400 to all the children of Christiana Gliddon who should be living at her decease, equally as tenants, in common with benefit of survivorship.
- Gillott v. Beakley. The account of Samuel Harrison's legacy.
- Going v. Burton. The settlement account of the plaintiff, Gilbert Maturin, and his wife.
- Graham v. Buddle.
- Gwennap v. Burns.
- Green v. Birkett. In Master Godfrey's office.
- Gray v. Boyes.
- Gurden v. Badcock. The creditors under the indenture of the 22nd day of September, 1791.
- Gurden v. Badcock. The £1000 charge account.
- Gurden v. Badcock. The compensation creditors.
- Grantham v. Chesshyre.
- Gale v. Crofts.
- Gwaves v. Cooke.
- Grosvenor v. Cooke, and Pargiter v. Cooke.
- Gabbit v. Croasdaile.
- Garrich v. Earl Camden, Eva Maria Garricks the annuitant's account.
- Gilbanks v. Cox.
- Gough v. Davies. The account of the will of the late defendant, Caroline Amelia Davies, subject to duty.
- Garland v. Ellis.
- Guyver v. Drew.
- Garland v. Ellis. William Atkinson's trust account.
- Gwynne v. Edwards.
- Gray v. Edwards.
- Griffith v. Fynmore. The account of John Morse and William Campbell and the executor of the testator.
- Greenwell v. Greenwell. The account of George Corton.
- Gallini v. Gallini. The account of the plaintiff, John Andrew Gallini.
- Gregor v. Gregor. Sarah Price's account.
- Gregor v. Gregor. Elizabeth Whitford's account.
- Gregor v. Gregor. Jane William's account.
- Greenslade v. Greenslade.
- Gayer v. Gayer.
- Giles v. Giles. The Prince Style Estates' account.
- Gorges v. Gorges.
- Georges v. Georges, Georges v. Elliott, Georges v. McLachlan, and Georges v. Johnstone. The account of the representative of Thomas Trelove, a deceased creditor.
- Gough v. Gough.
- Garnett v. Haselar.
- Gibson v. Hale. The account of the infants, John Hall and Edward Winterbotton Hall.
- Goodwin v. Hadley.
- Gray v. Hulbert.
- Gaskell v. Holmes, Gaskell v. Brain, Gaskell v. Medley, Gaskell v. Rogerson, Gaskell v. Smyth, and Gaskell v. Holmes. The account of the daughters of the late defendant, Ellen Smallshaw, and their children.
- Gibbins v. Howell.
- Gibbins v. Howell. Unclaimed apportionments.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture dated the 27th day of April, 1836, made between William Gibson and David Aitken, and of an indenture of the 1st November, 1838; made between William Gibson and John Richard Cook, and Robert Cook. The share of William Gibson.
- Samuel Gist, Esq., a person of unsound mind.
- Gill v. Jones.
- Gibbons v. Jones. The account of John Leighton, deceased.
- Griffiths v. Jay.
- Gray v. Lubbock, and Gray v. Nash.
- Gregory v. Lockyer. The account of Charles Gregory, Merope Gregory, and Mary Bishop.
- Gregory v. Lockyer. The account of John and Susan Farley, and John Farley, their son.
- Gladwell v. Little.
- Godkin v. Murphy, and Godkin v. Macdonald.
- Galloway v. Mackintosh.
- Gregory v. Neale.
- Grant v. Novosielski.

- Greene v. Norton. The account of the defendant, Georgiana Spencer Seaman, and her incumbancers.
- Garratt v. Niblock. The account of the defendant, Richard Garratt.
- Garratt v. Niblock. The account of the defendant, Samuel Garratt.
- Gandy v. Nicholls.
- Greenwood v. Penny, and Boyle v. Penny.
- Gaches v. Palmer. The account of the real and leasehold estates.
- Gregory v. Pilkington, Gregory v. Pilkington, Forge v. Wilson, and Forge v. Smith.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of the Midland Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Jeffrey White's Charity, at Maidenhead, Berks.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. In the matter of the Great Western Railway, Slough, and Windsor Act, 1848.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. The account of the Vicar of the vicarage and parish church of Bray, in the county of Berks.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. The account of Mary Worlton, Elizabeth Bond, and Ann Heiron.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. In the matter of the Great Western Railway Branches Act; 1853.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Margaret Ann Griffith, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Foster Groom, deceased. The legacy account of the children of his son, Francis Groom, and Matilda, his wife, who were living at the time of the decease of the testator.
- The account of Thomas Grundy, Gentleman, the person interested in two pieces of land situate and being in the township of Swannington, in the county of Leicester, lying in a close called the Rye Head Close, containing, respectively, about 17 perches and about 1 rood 34 perches.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Margaret Ann Griffith, deceased. The contingent account of George Macklin Helsham, an infant.
- Ex parte George Graves, a lunatic.
- The Right Honourable Earl Grosvenor and others.
- Ex parte Charles Grinstead, John Lanham, and Richard Grinstead.
- Gray v. Ross. The maintenance account of the infant plaintiffs, Margaret Ann Gray and John Gray.
- Gray v. Ross. The residuary estate account.
- Green v. Robinson.
- Garforth v. Robinson.
- Guthrie v. Selby. John Young's legacy account.
- Govey v. Story. Account of the personal estate of Richard Govey the elder.
- Goslett v. Sweet.
- Goldie v. Strachan.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of Francis Newton and Thomas Gordon.
- Gordon v. Smith. The estate of Thomas King, deceased.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of John Cunningham.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of Robert Brown.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of the estate of James Buchanan.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of William Dunlop, assignee of William Brown.
- Green v. Twyford.
- Gordon v. Trail.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Anne Gurney, Widow, deceased: Ex parte the share of residue of George Lidiard.
- Garrod v. Whiting.
- Galland v. Watson.
- Gait v. Wainwright.
- In the matter of the trust Hallett's real settlement account. The share of George Wyndham Hughes Hallett.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Joseph Harrington, in the parish of St. George, Bloomsbury, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, deceased.
- The account of the person or persons entitled to certain lands, being a certain messuage and premises situate, lying, and being in St. John's-court, Backchurch-lane, in the parish of St. George-in-the-East, in the county of Middlesex, and agreed to be sold to the Commercial Railway Company by Mr. William Hay.
- Ex parte Thomas Hale, or other the heir-at-law of Henry Long Hale.
- In the matter of the trusts of the codicil to the will of Eleanor Hamond, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts under the will of Robert Harrison, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Charles Harman, deceased. The account of Harriet Augusta Harman, the wife of the said Charles Harman, and her appointees, or other the person or persons interested under her marriage settlement, in default of appointment.
- Hunter v. Andrews. Seraphina Douclere, the annuitant's account.
- Harris v. Barnes. William Watson's account.
- Harris v. Barnes. Thomas Davis's account, in Master Montague's office.
- Hawker v. Baker.
- Hudson v. Baker.
- Harnage v. Bellingham.
- Harvest v. Bicknell.
- Haines v. Boucher. In Master Eld's office.
- Harford v. Browning. In Master Pechell's office.
- Harding v. Britten.
- Holford v. Barber.
- Hammond v. Birnie.
- Haydon v. Bonsey. The account of the personal estate.
- Harbin v. Barker. Account of money paid into Court subsequent to report of 20th July, 1813.
- Hounsfeld v. Brown.
- Harris v. Colling. The account of the defendant, Graham Palliser, an infant.
- Hodgson v. Crook.
- Hull v. Cage.
- Hall v. Crawford.
- Hornie v. Clarke. In Master Ord's office.
- Hamby v. Crowe. Thomas Hamby's account.
- Hayes v. Collins.
- Horsnail v. Cowper.
- Henderson v. Constable.
- Holmes v. Crispe. The account of John Crispe, son of William Crispe, of Loose.
- Howarth v. Cudworth. The account of John Hardman, convicted of felony, son of James Hardman, deceased.
- Hulkes v. Day.
- Humphrey v. Davidson, Page v. Humphrey, and Page v. Skinner. The account of the legacy of Charlotte Greenway.
- Heaton v. Drybutter.
- Hookes v. Dyer. In Master Eld's office.
- Hunt v. Dickenson.
- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of John Manning.
- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of Charles Manning.

- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas.
The account of Jane, the wife of George Manning, for her separate use.
In the matter of the trusts of Herdman's settlements.
- Hewitt v. Ellis.
Hinton v. Eddowes. In Master Allen's office.
Hall v. Ellins.
Hance v. Esdaile.
Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy of John Owtram.
Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy to Francis Heartley.
Horsley v. Fawcett.
Hunt v. Franke.
Hall v. Grey.
Hawksley v. Gowan.
Haly v. Goodson.
Hughes v. Goulburn.
Hutchison v. Go'orth.
Hudson v. Garstin.
Hatton v. Gardner. The timber account.
Hooper v. Goodwin. The general account of the personal estate of the testator.
Haye v. Haye.
Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office.
Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office. The account of Mary Collier or her children.
Harrison v. Harrison. In Master Cross's office.
Harrison v. Harrison, and Lovell v. Harrison. The account of the petitioners.
Hibbert v. Hibbert. The legacy account of the testator's children.
Hill v. Hill. The account of the real estate.
Hawkins v. Hards.
Harvey v. Harvey. The real estate.
Harding v. Harding. The account of the defendant, Samuel Harding, the infant.
Harmer v. Harris. The account of Elizabeth Woodhouse.
Hayes v. Hare.
Hill v. Hanbury.
Hunt v. Hunt. The encumbered estates.
Horton v. Horton. The account of the testator's personal estate.
Hutton v. Hutton.
Hirst v. Hutchinson.
Hawkins v. Hamerton. The account of the share of Charles Hamerton Killick, deceased, in the residuary estate of Charles Hamerton, the testator.
Hall v. Hall. Mrs. Brandon's costs account.
Hancox v. Hancox, Hancox v. Harrison, Hancox v. Fisher, and Hancox v. Poole. The account of the shares of Thomas Hancox and Mary Ann Hancox, subject to costs.
Horrocks v. Horrocks.
The account of Mary Hill, formerly Mary Still, Spinster, subject to duty.
Humphreys v. Jones. Aaron Bywater the annuitant's account.
Hooper v. Jewell. In Master Pratt's office.
Haggitt v. Iniff. The account of George Potts and Margaret, his wife.
Heritage v. Key. The account of the defendant, William Longman.
Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Holland, Hughes v. Finch, Holland v. Lipscombe, Holland v. Lipscombe, Holland v. Garland, and Overton v. Garland.
Hatch v. Lee, and Hatch v. Lee. The account of the legal assets.
Hunt v. Lacey. In Master Eld's office.
Horner v. Leckie.
Hayward v. Lewis.
Hurd v. Law.
Howell v. Morshhead.
- Hole v. Mallett. The account of the testator Francis Hole's personal estate.
Hole v. Mallet. The account of the defendant, the infant Alfred Robert Hole.
Holt v. Murray. The subsequent account.
Homewood v. Mayhew. The plaintiff, Ann Homewood, and her children, their account.
Hall v. Maude, and Hall v. Maude.
Harrison v. Mansel. The account of George Cooch.
Hopkins v. Marsh. The defendant Berrington Marsh's account.
Harrison v. Mansel. The account of Margaret Phillips.
Hewitt v. May.
Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of the defendant, Frederick Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of Edwin Thomas Handley, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of Alfred Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of the plaintiff, Edward Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
Hicks v. Nott. The account of John Mott.
In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of £13,000 sterling, bequeathed by the will of Ann Hodges, late of No. 16, Bedford-place, Russell-square, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, deceased. The account of the share of Arthur Richard Oliver, son of George Oliver and Elizabeth Sarah Oliver, deceased, in the £3000 bequeathed by the codicil of the will of the testatrix, Ann Hodges, dated the 8th day of May, 1856, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Hoskins, Widow, deceased, Sarah Ann Desormeaux's share.
In the matter of the trusts of Phœby Hodgskin, or other party or parties interested in or entitled to a legacy of £50 bequeathed by the will of John Burgess Meecham.
In the matter of the trust of James Holmes and George Lowth.
In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Holland, formerly of Whitchurch, in the county of Salop, deceased.
In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Howland, otherwise Thomas Holden, one of the next-of-kin of Charles Lace, late of Heswell, in the county of Chester, Gentleman, deceased.
Hughes v. Owens. In Master Pepy's office.
Hardy v. Oyston.
Heyden v. Owen. The account of the seamen belonging to His Majesty's ships Decade and Argonaut.
Higgins v. Pettman.
Hodder v. Pickman. The account of Thomas Cazeneuve Troy, deceased.
Hayton v. Price.
Hayton v. Price, and McCullum v. Hayton.
Hulme v. Poore. The defendant, Sarah Holloway, late Sarah Leeke, her account.
Hall v. Penton. The defendant's, the infants, account.
Hill v. Price. The account of the intestate, George Hill's, personal estate.
Horton v. Pulley. Matthew Pugh's legacy account.
Harding v. Quin.
Hounsum v. Roebuck.
Hall v. the Company of Proprietors of the Regent's Canal.

- Hardwick v. Richardson, Hardwick v. Richardson, and Hardwick v. Jones. The account of the legacy given to Charles Hardwick, with a contingent remainder to his issue.
- Hardwick v. Richardson, Hardwick v. Richardson, and Hardwick v. Jones. The account of the legacy given to Ann Sandiford, with a contingent remainder to her issue.
- Helm v. Read, and Helm v. Sturgis.
- Harrison v. Read.
- Hodgson v. Rigby. The defendant Thomas Hudson's account.
- Hogg v. Read.
- Hanman v. Riley.
- Harvey v. Stanley. In Master John Bennett's office.
- Hubert v. Shillings. In Master Lightboun's office.
- Harding v. Schutz. In Master Ord's office.
- Hawkins v. Shewen, and Hawkins v. Shewen.
- Hawkins v. Schutz. The account of the plaintiffs, John Hawkins and Frances, his wife.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of Dawson Stephen Humble and his incumbrancers.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of Joseph Wright Humble and his incumbrancers.
- Humphrey v. Stevens, and Stevens v. Young. In the matter of the estate of Heathfield Young the elder, late of Dorking, in the county of Surrey, Brewer, deceased, and Napper v. Stevens. The real estate account.
- Halstead v. Slater, and Halstead v. Hartley. The account of the infant children of the defendant, Sophia Hartley.
- Hughes v. Skelton.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of the residuary legatees of the testatrix, Lydia Shore.
- Horne v. Shepherd.
- Hillier v. Tarrant.
- Hardy v. Truelove. Money arising from tythes and premises in Ipswich.
- Hill v. Toogood. The Clare Court Estate sale account.
- The Huddersfield and Sheffield Junction Railway Company. The account of the petitioner, William, Earl of Dartmouth.
- The Huddersfield and Sheffield Junction Railway Company. The account of Joseph Gartside and William Gartside, of Ossett, in the county of York, Dyers.
- Hanson v. Walker.
- Hood v. Wilson.
- Henvill v. Whitaker, Seagram v. Whitaker, Seagram v. Whitaker, and Seagram v. Bower.
- Hughes v. Wynne. The unpaid creditors fund.
- Hearne v. Wigginton.
- Holmes v. Whillock.
- Hardwick v. Wase, and Hardwick v. Morris.
- Huggins v. York Buildings Company. In Master Burroughes' office.
- An account of income tax duty, reserved pursuant to two General Orders, dated 8th November, 1803, and 6th August, 1805, and 2nd December, 1805.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the personal representative of Elizabeth Jelleff, Spinster.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the trustees of the indenture, dated 15th September, 1838.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the personal representative of Harriett Blunden, deceased.
- Johnson v. Atkinson.
- Jackson v. Baylies, and Baylies v. Bouchier. In Master Holford's office.
- Iliffe v. Belgrave. In Master Lovebon's office.
- Jobson v. Beville. The account of the testator's personal estate.
- Jones v. Bowen.
- Johnson v. Bennett.
- Jones v. Chamberlayne.
- Jegon v. Cotterell. The account of Ann Harriott Barker, the infant.
- James v. Canning. In Master Lane's office.
- Ireland v. Coventry.
- Jenkins v. Cox.
- Jones v. Cadbury, and Jones v. Cadbury.
- Jolly v. De Tastet.
- Jennings v. Elster.
- Joyce v. Fagg.
- Johnson v. Foot.
- Johnson v. Green.
- Jones v. Griffiths.
- Isaac v. Gompertz.
- Ingram v. Gardiner.
- Jenner v. Hills.
- Jones v. Hockley, and Jones v. Hockley. The personal estate of the testatrix, Letitia Jones.
- Jones v. Hutcheson.
- Johnston v. Johnston. The account to answer costs.
- Jones v. Jones, and Jones v. Jones. The account of the income of the residuary personal estate.
- Jones and others, infants, by their next friends v. Jones.
- David Jones v. Isaac Jones.
- Johnson v. Jasper.
- Jones v. Lord Langdale. The account of William Denham.
- Jones v. Lowe.
- Jones v. Lloyd. In Master Thomas Bennett's office.
- Jackson v. Lyon.
- Jones v. Lakey.
- Jackson v. Milfield, and Jackson v. Milfield.
- James v. Murray.
- Jackson v. Maule.
- Jones v. Morgan.
- Jackson v. Nimes.
- Jennings v. Newman. The plaintiffs, David Jennings, Thomas Peake the younger, and Samuel Newman, their account.
- Jackson v. Naden. The real leasehold estates of the testator, John Alcock.
- Jackson v. Pichi. The defendant Frederick William Jackson's account.
- Jones v. Rew.
- Johnson v. Roche.
- Jones v. Rogers. The account of Ann Jones, the annuitant.
- Jackson v. Smith.
- Jameson v. Stein.
- Jones v. Stratton. The account of the Southmead Estate.
- Jevers v. Thompson.
- Jones v. Thomas.
- Jones v. Thomas, and Jones v. Williams. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Elizabeth James and her children by Stephen James, her husband, subject to duty.
- Jennings v. Vincent.
- Jennings v. Vincent. The creditors' account.
- Jay v. Ward. The account of the settled estates of Lady Leigh, deceased.
- Jenner v. Earl of Winchelsea.
- Isdell v. Wynn. The account of the personal estate of Ann Isdell.
- Jones v. Williams, Thomas v. Williams, Williams v. Williams, and Williams v. Williams.
- Jones v. Watkins.
- Johnson v. Ward.

Ex parte the Saint Katharine Dock Company.
 Keen v. Aston. In Master Ord's office.
 Knox v. Allan. The account of the infant plaintiff, William Knox Allan and Ann Knox Allan.
 Keen v. Birch. The account of the rents and profits of the real estate of the testator, Edmund John Birch.
 King v. Broughton. The account of the bequest to Thomas Hare under the will of Ann Hare.
 Knight v. Cox. The equitable assets account.
 Kinaston v. Clerke.
 Lord Kinnaird v. Christie.
 In the matter of Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Betty Hayter and others of the £900 legacy.
 In the matter of Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Ambrose Phillips of the £900 legacy.
 Kishere v. Fitzgerald, Shipley v. Fitzgerald, and Penvold v. Fitzgerald.
 Kirby v. Falkener. The account of the unclaimed legacy of Sebastian Nash de Brissac.
 Keball v. Tyson.
 Knowles v. Greenhalgh. The creditors' fund account.
 Knight v. Griffith.
 Kingsmill v. Hulbert. Moneys arising from surplus dividends.
 Kilvington v. Harrison. The defendant Catherine Kettlewell's account.
 Ex parte Edward Kirby, the purchaser.
 Mary King, a lunatic.
 Elizabeth Ann King, a minor.
 Kiy v. Kiy. The account of the proceeds of the sale of the testator's freehold messuage and premises in the parish of St. Mary-the-Less.
 Kynaston v. Kynaston.
 Knight v. Knight. The account of the produce of the testator's real estate.
 The Company of Proprietors of the Saint Katharine Docks in the city of London v. Montygue.
 Kirkman v. Mister.
 Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estate or estates of Sir Charles Knightley.
 In the matter of the trust estate of Metta Koester, deceased, intestate.
 Kennion v. Parke.
 Knapp v. Pollock.
 Knight v. Earl of Plymouth. The general account.
 Kekewich v. Radcliffe. The account of Richard Preston's purchase-money.
 Kirkland v. Reid. The account of Alexander McIver.
 Kirkland v. Reid. The account of Ann McIver, the legatee.
 Kirby v. Sissons.
 Kennett v. Stubbs. John Bernard Kennett, a lunatic, and the defendant, Elizabeth Kennett, his wife, their account.
 King's College v. Spooner.
 Killick v. Smart, and Smart v. Smart.
 Kennett v. Willis.
 Kings v. Worts.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Langham, late of Southampton, Butcher, deceased. The account of Henry Langham.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Langham, late of Southampton, Butcher, deceased. The account of George Langham.
 Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. The account of Henry Thackeray.
 Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Liverpool and Bury Railway Act, 1845.

Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester and Leeds Railway, 1836, the Liverpool and Bury and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, the Liverpool and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, and the Manchester and Leeds Railway Act, No. 3, 1847.

Leman v. Ash.
 Linders v. Anstey. The settlement account.
 Lushington v. Austen. The account of the defendant, Thomas Lushington.
 Lake v. Bartholmew.
 Liversedge v. Boothroyd.
 Linnett v. Butterfield, and Seabrook v. Gibbon. The account of Christopher Knott Williamson, the widow of Charles Williamson.
 Lee v. Bell. The account of the defendants.
 Lake v. Belk, and Lake v. Forrest. The account of Mary Roberts.
 Lawson v. Barton.
 Lloyd v. Branton. The account of the defendant, Christopher Alderson Alderson, late Christopher Alderson Lloyd.
 Lough v. Clark. The account of James Benjamin Wood, an infant.
 Lawrence v. Cruwys. The account of interest.
 Linwood v. Culley.
 Lyon v. Duke of Chandos. In Master Spicer's office.
 Lucas v. Calcraft, Calcraft v. Calcraft. Unsatisfied creditors of the testator, John Calcraft.
 Leyburn v. Cummings.
 Lambton v. Davidson, and Lambton v. Fletcher. The account of the late plaintiff, Eliza Lambton.
 Lyon v. Deane. Ellen Williamson's account.
 Lorenza v. De Meza.
 Loy v. Duckett. The account of the fifteen shares belonging to the estate of Edward West.
 Ex parte William Lea's Charity.
 William Lee, a person of unsound mind. The surplus income.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Lee, of Old Weston, in the county of Huntingdon, deceased. The account of Theresa Moore, therein named.
 Ex parte the Leeds and Bradford Railway Company.
 Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the Lincolnshire estates of Francis, Lord Le Despencer. The account of John Lee and Edward Hemingway.
 Lloyd v. Edington.
 Lock v. Foote. The account of the personal estate.
 Lintott v. Footner, and Lintott v. Footner.
 Littleshales v. Gascoyne. The account of interest.
 Lucas v. Greenwood. The plaintiff, Susannah Lucas, the infant's account.
 Leach v. Hardy.
 Lockhart v. Hardy, Thomas v. Hardy, Newman v. Hardy, and Hardy v. Lockhart. The legacy of Emma Blower; the wife of Robert Blower.
 Lane v. Hobbs.
 Lewis v. Hooper.
 Leigh v. Hunter.
 Lane and another v. Hardwicke and others.
 Lane v. Hobbs. The account of Charles Meads.
 Le Davids v. Horton.
 Lane v. Hobbs. The account of the children of Mary Cudmore, Widow, deceased.
 Lane v. Hollings and Lane v. Hollings. The separate account of Joseph Stonier, administrator of Mary Ann Hardwick, his late wife, subject to duty.
 Low v. Halden. The account of the defendants, Richard Halden and Elizabeth, his wife.
 Lomax v. Holmden, and Holmden v. Lomax.

- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Liverpool. The account of William Haigh and Henry Heyes.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Lilley, deceased.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway.
- George Engelberts Liebenrood, a person of unsound mind. The timber account.
- Lacy v. Jones, and Lacy v. Shackel. The legacies of Sarah Jones and Mary Jones.
- Lawton v. King. The account of the infant children of Ann Taylor Holmes, deceased.
- Leigh v. Longworth.
- Loader v. Loader.
- Levy v. Levy.
- Little v. Little.
- Lownds v. Lownds. The account of William Lownds.
- Langmead v. Lopes.
- Lewis v. Lloyd, and Boehm v. Lloyd.
- Lombe v. Lombe.
- Lloyd v. Lloyd.
- Lara v. Lara. The defendant Phineas Lara's account.
- Livesey v. Leicester. The account of the legacy of Edward Hall.
- Leech v. Leech. The account of the real estate.
- Lewis v. Lewis. The annuitants' account.
- Ellen Lewis v. James Lewis and others. The real estate account.
- Ledward v. Ledward. Income account.
- Lambie v. Lambie.
- Ex parte the Llynvi Valley Railway Company. The account of John Wick Bennett.
- Lowe v. Moore.
- Leslie v. Morley.
- Leith v. Mant. The account of the defendant, Henry Squire Shrapnell and Elizabeth Igguden, his wife, and their incumbancers.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company. In the matter of an Act for making a railway from the London and Birmingham Railway to or near to Navigation-street, within the borough of Birmingham. The account of Ellen Maria Staveley, Rosamond Susanna Staveley, and Arkyl John Arthur Staveley, the infant children of Susanna Staveley, formerly Susanna Dearden, deceased.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company and Alice Mitchell and William Mitchell.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company and Hugh Bethume and Joseph Cooper.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company. The account of Thomas Smith and Thomas Smith, both formerly of the city of Dublin.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company. The account of the Duke of Norfolk, and of Hugh Wyatt and Henry Penfold Wyatt, Esqrs.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company. The account of William Mosson Kearns, of No. 3, Bloomsbury-place, Bloomsbury-square, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, — Powell, Widow, Emma Sophia Powell, Spinster, and Charles James, formerly of Euston-square, in the county of Middlesex, Esq., or his representatives, and other the parties interested, under the will of James Powell, late of High-street, Kensington, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, or otherwise, in the messuage or tenement, land, and premises, being No. 46 on the east side of Shakespeare's-walk, in the parish of Saint Paul, Shadwell, in the county of Middlesex.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sir Manasseh Masseh Lopes, of Mariston, in the county of Devon, Bart. The legacy account of Charlotte Elizabeth Green, formerly Charlotte Elizabeth Albert, Spinster, deceased.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company. The account of the Great Western Railway Company. The Shropshire Union Railways and Canal Company. The Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company and Thomas Brassey, Esq.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company. The account of George Attwood, Isaac Spooner, Thomas Attwood, and Richard Spooner, all of Birmingham, Bankers.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company. The account of John Lees and James Lees, Joseph Lees, William Lees, David Lees, Hannah Lees, Sarah Ann Lees, and Esther Lees, John Whitaker, and Charles Harrop, as trustees for Jane Little, Thomas Norris, and Joseph Jorrocks, as trustees under the will of John Booth, deceased, John Booth and George Edward Booth, trustees of the estates of George Booth, deceased, and Joseph Jones and William Jones.
- Ex parte the London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company. The account of the South-Eastern Railway Company.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.
- Ex parte the London and Blackwall Railway Company. The account of Messrs. William Bridges Adams, Samuel Adams, and Gerald Ralston, of the Fairfield Works, Bow, Coach Builders.
- The account of Rebecca Loveday, Widow, and others.
- Ex parte James Lockhart, Esq., the purchaser.
- Ex parte Edward Loveden Loveden, in respect of lands sold by him to the proprietors of the Oakham Canal.
- Ex parte the London and Birmingham Railway Company. Account of the parties interested under the will of David Halliburton, deceased.
- Ex parte the London and South-Western Railway Company. Ex parte the Lambeth Glebe Accumulation Fund.
- Ex parte the London and Birmingham Railway Company. The account of the Rector of Titchmarsh.
- Ex parte the London and Blackwall Railway Company. In the matter of the London and Blackwall Railway Widening Act, 1846. The estate of Robert Cleghorn, deceased.
- Thomas Lord, a person of unsound mind.
- Lucas v. Peacock. The mortgage account of Christopher Lucas.
- Duke of Leeds v. Puge.
- Long v. Phipps. The defendant, Catherine Tylney Long, the infant's account.
- Long v. Phillips.
- Ladbroke v. Prior.
- Leverton v. Pollen. The account of the personal estate of the testator, George Augustus Pollen.
- Leverton v. Pollen. The account of the second apportionment amongst the creditors of George Augustus Pollen.
- Lee v. Park.
- Lucas v. Peacock. The account of James Pullid Hinton, the assignee of John Morgan Davison Lucas.
- Leather v. Pennington.
- Lee v. Pain. William Moore's legacy account.
- Livesey v. Redfearn. The account of the general estate of Elizabeth Goolad, deceased.
- The Governors of the London Hospital v. Slade.
- Letch v. Stevens.
- Levy v. Serra.

Long v. Steward. The account of the defendants claiming under the defendant, Burges Ball the elder.

Long v. Steward. The personal estate of John Chichester to answer the dower of Ellen, the widow of the testator, Richard Chichester.

Long v. Steward. The personal estate of the testator, John Chichester.

Long v. Steward. The personal estate of the testator, Richard Chichester.

Lovegrove v. Smith. The defendants, Elizabeth Waine and Mary Dale. The annuitants' account.

Lidbetter v. Smith.

Lingen v. Sowray.

Lichfield v. Smith.

Lee v. Stone. The account of Mr. Angell's share of the testator's real estates.

Low v. Smith. The indemnity account in respect of Robert Taylor's estate, subject to duty.

Lechmere v. Stubbs, and Lechmere v. Astbury. The legacy account of the grandchildren of William Bedford, subject to legacy duty.

Lassence v. Tierney. The leasehold indemnity account.

Lane v. Thomas.

Lingard v. Tomkinson. The real estate.

Lloyd v. Thompson.

Lassieur v. Tyrconnel. The account of the outstanding personal estate of the Right Honourable Lady Almeria Carpenter, deceased.

Lyne v. Thompson, and Sowton v. Hathorn.

Lyddon v. Woolcock.

Latter v. Willard.

Lloyd v. Williams. In Master Spicer's office.

Lucas v. Worthington.

Lay v. Winsor.

Ex parte the Lynn and Ely Railway Company. In the matter of the Lynn and Ely Railway Act, 1845.

Ex parte the Manchester and Leeds Railway Company.

Ex parte the Committee appointed for the parishes St. Margaret and St. John the Evangelist, under or by virtue of an Act of Parliament of the eleventh year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled an Act to amend and render more effectual several Acts made relating to paving, cleansing, and lighting the squares, streets, lanes, and other places within the city and liberty of Westminster and parts adjacent, and Simon Stephenson, of Great Queen-street, Westminster, Gentleman.

Ex parte the parties interested in the unexpired term of thirty-four years from Christmas Day last, in and to all that piece or parcel of land or ground, situate, lying, and being on the south side of Maid-lane, within the Manor of Southwark, otherwise called the Clink or Bishop of Winchester's Liberty, in the parish of St Saviour, Southwark, in the county of Surrey, containing by admeasurement in front next Maid-lane aforesaid, forty-seven feet four inches, or thereabouts, and in depth at east end thereof sixteen feet, and at the west end seventeen feet, or thereabouts, and also all those three timber tenements or premises, numbered respectively 49, 50, and 51, and standing and being on the same piece or parcel of land or ground, and fronting Maid-lane aforesaid.

In the matter of the trust of Meredith Mawn, deceased.

Isabella Mansfield, a person of unsound mind.

In the matter of the trust for the creditors of William McInerheney, deceased, under the memorandum of the 6th day of May, 1837.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Benjamin Mallam, deceased, so far as they relate to the legacy of £60 given to Eliza Webb.

In the matter of the trusts of the persons interested in the moneys produced by the sale of the late Donald McRae's mortgaged estates.

In the matter of the trusts declared by the will of Hannah Master, deceased, respecting the sum of £2,986 11s. 1d. £3 per cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities. The account of the legacy of William Hinckley.

In the matter of the trusts of Frederick Charles Mais, share of trust moneys under Ann Rebecca Mais' trust deed.

Ex parte the Manchester and Birmingham Railway Company. Residue of moneys produced by sale of estates devised in trust for Thomas Berry.

In the matter of the Manchester and Leeds Railway Company. The account of the devised estate of James Dearden, deceased.

Ex parte the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Amalgamation Act, 1846.

In the matter of the trusts of Mrs. Mary Ann Marriott's settlement, dated the 10th May, 1837. The account of Constance Marriott.

In the matter of the trusts of Mrs. Mary Ann Marriott's settlement, dated the 10th May, 1837. The account of Frederick Charles Marriott.

In the matter of the trusts of Mrs. Mary Ann Marriott's settlement, dated the 10th of May, 1837. The account of Albert Arthur Marriott.

Ex parte the Commissioners for executing an Act of Parliament of the first and second George the Fourth, intituled an Act to improve Market-street, in the town of Manchester, in the county palatine of Lancaster, and the approaches thereto, and to amend an Act passed in the 57th year of his late Majesty, for building a bridge across the River Irwell from Water-street, in the township of Salford, to St. Mary's Gate, in the township of Manchester. The account of John Fletcher Wardle, or his assignees in bankruptcy, or his incumbrancers.

Ex parte the Maryport and Carlisle Railway Company. The account of the Bishop of Carlisle.

Ex parte John Margaron.

Ex parte the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Act, 1849. The settled estates of Lady Frances Ingram Gordon, deceased.

Milne v. Allen, George Balgowan, and Hannah Cox's account. In Master Montagu's office.

Milne v. Allen. Hannah Cox's account.

Merritt v. Arkell.

Morris v. Barrett.

Milward v. Bardgett. The legacy of Thomas Fothergill, the infant.

Maddison v. Bird.

Matthew v. Brown. The account of Ann, servant to Jozé Maria Picherro, captain of a frigate, a legatee.

Mander v. Buller.

Maccartney v. Brapple.

Manning v. Blackall.

Mounott v. Black.

Mangle v. Barry.

McDowall v. Box.

Lord Montjoy v. Duchess of Buckinghamshire.

Murgesson v. Carter.

Morgan v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, and Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon. The interest

- account of the specialty creditors of the testatrix Emilia Gwinnett.
- Morgan v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, and Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon. The account of the specialty creditors of the testatrix, Emilia Gwinnett. Principal money.
- Metcalf v. Comyn.
- Matchwick v. Cock.
- Morris v. Colclough.
- Mackham v. Collins, and Collins v. Mackham.
- Martin v. Croome.
- Monk v. Druce.
- Martindale v. Deane.
- Ex parte Meyer.
- On behalf of the Earl of Mexborough.
- Margaret Metcalfe, a person of unsound mind.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estates of Edward Read Mesban, late of Adelaide-place, Snell's Park, Edmonton, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, since deceased. The account of Emma Mesban, a person of unsound mind.
- The account of Andre Mermillod the younger, an infant.
- Ex parte the purchasers of the Melton Mowbray town lands.
- The account of Henry Gaspard Mermillod, an infant.
- Lord Monson v. Earl of Essex. The account of the personal estate.
- Meredith v. Farr, and Meredith v. Farr. The life account of Catherine Phillips.
- Moore v. Frowd.
- Milne v. Gilbert. The foreign securities and shares account.
- Moore v. Greenhill.
- Montague v. Garrett. The account of John Garrett Bussell, Mary Yates Bussell, Francis Louisa Bussell, William Marchant Bussell, Lenox Bussell, and Charles Bussell, the children of William Marchant Bussell.
- Montague v. Garrett. The account of Elizabeth Mallock, Mary Fletcher, Harriett Fletcher, Jane Fletcher, Richard John Fletcher, and Charles Orlando Fletcher, the children of Elizabeth Fletcher.
- Montague v. Garrett. The account of Louisa Junine Bussell, William Bussell, Mary Bussell, Ellen Bussell, Agnes Bussell, and John Garrett Bussell, the children of John Garrett Bussell.
- Martin v. Gregory, and Michell v. Walton.
- Mason v. Gee. The descended estate.
- Mason v. Gee. The estate which passed by the will of the testator, William Gee.
- Marrifill v. Glascott.
- Manesty v. Gooch.
- Macleane v. Greville.
- Maughan v. Harrison.
- Milward v. Herbert.
- Maddison v. Hill.
- Moor v. Haistwell.
- Monk v. Hawkins.
- McFarland v. Hastie. The account of the testator, James Hastie.
- Matheson v. Hardwicke. The personal estate of James Dunbar.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Lucas' Charity.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. In the matter of the Midland Railway, Leicester and Hichin Act, 1853. The account of the trust estate of Robert Haynes, deceased.
- Ex parte the Mid Kent Railway Company.
- In the matter of Abraham Mills, Esq., and Mary, his wife, and Richard Edmonds, Gentleman, and Martha, his wife.
- In the matter of Charles Minter, late of the city of Canterbury, Butcher, deceased. The account of Mary Minter and sons.
- Ex parte the Midland Counties Railway Company. The account of Mary Tate, Spinster, the tenant for life.
- Ex parte the Mid Sussex Railway Company. The account of William Greenfield, of Itchingfield, in the county of Sussex, Farmer.
- Ex parte the Milford Railway Company. The account of the real estates in the county of Pembroke, devised by the will of Thomas Hughes, deceased.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-on-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of John Wright, as assignee of the estate of William Gibson, of Littleover, Derby, Cheese Factor, or Hannah Gibson, wife of William Gibson, of Littleover, Cheese Factor.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-on-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Thomas Bradley Paget, of Tamworth, Warwickshire, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-on-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Thomas Saxeby, of Derby, Merchant.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Samuel Turner, of Nottingham, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Joseph Brookes, of Woodstock, Oxfordshire, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Thomas Nixon, of Leicester.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled "An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal." The account of Thomas Wildbore, of Dishley, Leicestershire, Gentleman.
- Morean v. Ives.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Susannah Dalrymple, formerly Coningham, her account.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Catherine Searle's account.
- McAdam v. King.
- McAdam v. King. A fund to answer any claims of Martha Kilby, deceased.
- Murray v. Knight. The account of the defendant, Lady Love Knight, and the grandchildren of the testator, Peter Frye.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Susannah Dalrymple's account.
- Matthews v. Lees.
- Mangles v. Lubbock. The account of the defendant, Love Middleditch.

Mason v. Lawrence. The account of Mary Ann Rogers, the wife of Henry Blankly Harrington Rogers, or the trustees of their settlement, if any, subject to duty.

Meshor v. Lane.

Maddy v. Lake and others.

Morgan v. Lewis.

Mason v. Lamb.

Macdonald v. Macfarlane, and Makenzie v. Macfarlane.

Morris v. Morris. The account of Maynard Morris and his incumbrancer.

Mellory v. Mellory.

Moore v. Mawley. The annuitant's account.

Macdonald v. Macdonald.

Mitchell v. Mitchell.

Macpherson v. Money.

Morgans v. Morgans.

Morrice v. Morrice, and Morrice v. Morrice.

Manning v. Manning. The account of Ann Manning, the legatee.

Mackenzie v. Musgrave.

James McMahon v. William McMahon. The passage money account of Julia Franks.

Milsintown v. Nutting.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Nicholas Monk. The account of the daughter of Rachel Monk, formerly Gibbons, deceased.

Finetta Mowbray, Widow, a person of unsound mind.

Philip Moysey, who is absent beyond seas.

In the matter of the trusts of the residuary account of Elizabeth Morley, Widow.

In the matter of John Moore, a person of unsound mind, not so found by inquisition.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Joseph Moss, deceased. The account of Elizabeth Vaillant, formerly Balchins, one-third share of residue.

Jane Willsher Moss, an infant.

Mason v. O'Toole.

Munday v. Padwick, and Knight v. Padwick.

Mountain v. Parry, and Mountain v. Benet. Moneys arising from the real estates of the testator, William Benet.

Mackinnon v. Palmer.

Mumford v. Pennykid, and Skeen v. Pennykid.

Moore v. Pyke.

McLachlan v. Quennell.

Moxon v. Reeve. The account of the legacy of Augusta Edgar, an infant.

Mostyn v. Roberts. In Master Godfrey's office.

Maltby v. Russell.

Madge v. Riley, and Madge v. Riley. The account of the defendant, Mary Ann Riley.

Moss v. Raine.

Mitchell v. Reynolds. The real estate account.

Middleton v. Spicer, and the Society for Propagating the Gospel in Foreign Parts against Middleton. In Master Harris's office.

Matteson v. Scotchburn.

Merry v. Smart, and Thomson v. Smart. The defendant, Benjamin Smart's, account.

Merry v. Smart, and Thomson v. Smart. The account of the defendants, James Yerrall and Alexander Yerrall, as the representatives of Ann Smart, deceased.

Miller v. Smith. The account of Jane Bayleys-anny.

Miller v. Smith. The account of the defendant, William Smith, or the person entitled in case he was not living at the death of Martha Jenny, the tenant for life.

Maund v. Turner. The account of Richard Heming.

Maw v. Thorpe.

In the matter of Richard Charles Mullett's trusts.

In the matter of the trusts of Sarah Murray's settlement so far as respects Elizabeth Taylor, deceased, and her children. The account of Ann Taylor, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of Sarah Murray's settlement so far as respects Elizabeth Taylor, deceased, and her children. The account of George Martin Taylor.

Marlbrough v. Vanbrugh. In Master Trevor's office.

Micklethwaite v. Vavassour, and Swanson v. Vavassour.

Meredith v. Vick. The account of Elizabeth Anthony, deceased, one of the residuary legatees under the will of Thomas Sueter.

Marsh v. Whitfield.

Mawley v. Wakefield. The account of Joseph Wakefield, the annuitant.

Mitchell v. Watts. In Master Halford's office.

Maltby v. Winter.

Mills v. White.

Mawson v. Wainwright. The account of the real estate of William Henry Wainwright, an infant, subject to duty.

Middleton v. Youden. The account of the defendant, Richard Monks.

Ex parte John Nash.

Nicholson v. Aunett.

Newman v. Bennett, and Newman v. Wickham.

Nicholson v. Boulton.

Norton v. Bettis.

Newton v. Bradshaw.

Nunn v. Barlow.

Newen v. Beare.

Norris v. Dodd.

In the matter of the trust of the estate of John Neal, Farmer, deceased. The share of Henry Lindfield, or the parties interested therein.

In the matter of the trust of the legacy to Caroline Nepton, otherwise Chaplin, otherwise Radstock.

Ex parte Newdigate.

Ex parte the Newport, Abergavenny, and Hereford Railway Company. The account of William Steward Cartwright.

Ex parte the Newport, Abergavenny, and Hereford Railway Company. The account of Lewis Lewis.

Re Ann Newton Hairs v. Newton, and re Henry Newton Hairs v. Newton. Vol. 4, folios 94 and 95.

Ex parte the Nene Valley Drainage and Navigation Improvement Commissioners, in the matter of the Nene Valley Drainage and Navigation Improvement Amendment Act, 1854.

Ex parte the Newport, Abergavenny, and Hereford Railway Company. The account of John Arthur Herbert.

New v. Farman. The account of John Farman the younger.

Nowell v. Griffin. The account of the defendant, William Parry.

Newell v. Griffin. The account of the defendant, Hugh Vance.

Newell v. Griffin. The account of the defendant, Richard Parry.

Nee v. Hardman. The account of the plaintiff, Joseph Nee, the infant.

Nee v. Hardman.

Norbury v. Hill.

Nannock v. Horton.

Nannock v. Horton. The clear residue of the testator, Thomas Norman's personal estate.

Nickolls v. Jones. The creditors' account under the indenture, bearing date the 2nd day of March, 1805.

Newnham v. Kemp. Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers.

- Nicholson v. Knight, and Impey v. Knight. The unappointed fund account.
- Nairn v. Marjoribanks. The account of the estate of Fasham Nairn the younger, the tenant for life, deceased.
- Nedby v. Nedby. The legacy given to Sophia, the wife of Joseph West.
- Nettleship v. Nettleship.
- Napier v. Napier. The unpaid creditors' account.
- Nicholson v. Nicholson. The moneys arising from the sale of the real estates of the testator, Samuel Nicholson.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company. The account of Henry Smith and John Smith.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company. In the matter of the North Western Railway Acts, 1846. The account of William Hardacre, of Colne, in the county palatine of Lancaster, Gentleman.
- Ex parte the Duke of Northumberland.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company. In the matter of the North Western Railway Act, 1846. The account of Mary Hill, formerly Mary Still, Spinster, subject to duty.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company. The account of John Warburton Moseley.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company. The account of Edward Pedley.
- In the matter of the trust of Shadrach Noyes, Henry Noyes, Simon Noyes, and Jane Noyes.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company. In the matter of the North Staffordshire Railway Pottery Line Act, 1846. The account of the Reverend Robert Ellis Aitkins, Curate of Hanley, and his successors, curates of the curacy of Hanley.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company. The account of ex parte the person or persons purchasing part of the estates belonging to the Hospital and Free School of Sir John Port, Knight, in Etwell and Repton, alias Reppington, of the foundation of the said Sir John Port.
- Ex parte the Norfolk Railway Company. In the matter of the Lowestoft Railway and Harbour Act, 1845. The account of Richard Henry Reese, tenant for life.
- Ex parte the North-Western Railway Company. The account of Catherine Hardacre, of Helli-field, in the county of York, Spinster.
- Ex parte the North-Western Railway Company. The account of William Watson Greenwood, of Bradford, Miller, William Greenwood, of Ad- dingham, Gentleman, and George Oates Green- wood, of Bradford, Gentleman.
- Newby v. Robinson. The receiver's account.
- Nelson v. Sanderson. In Master Halford's office.
- Nolder v. Severs. The account of the claims of the Goldsmiths' Company.
- Newton v. Samuel. The account of Hyem Cohen.
- Newsome v. Shearman, and Newsome v. Shear- man.
- Newton v. Treffrey.
- Ottewill v. Cheverton.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Oddy. The account of Hannah Oddy and others.
- Osborne v. Ellis. In Master Eame's office.
- Osborne v. Foreman. The account of the legacy of John Baker Stapley and his incumbrancers.
- In the matter of the trust created by the will of Mary Offen, Widow, deceased, for the benefit of Susan Clarke, otherwise Shed,
- In the matter of the trust created by the will of Thomas Offen, for the children of Hannah Collins.
- Owens v. Jennings, and Chidloe v. Jennings.
- Owens v. Jennings, and Chidloe v. Jennings. The personal estate of Roger Jennings the elder.
- Oldaker v. Lavender, and Oldaker v. Farrell.
- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Oldham. The account of William Travis and John Travis, and of all other persons, if any, interested in certain lands in the parish of Rochdale, described in a plan annexed to a notice to treat on 26th November, 1857, served by the said Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses upon the said William Travis and John Travis.
- Oakly v. Norton.
- Ormond v. Pollexfen. In Master Eld's office.
- Ordnance for year 1804.
- Ex parte Ralph Ord, Esq.
- Orton v. Richdale.
- Ex parte the Oswestry and Newtown Railway Company. In the matter of the Oswestry, Welchpool, and Newtown Railway Act, 1855. The account of Philip Jennings, Esq., now residing in Paris.
- Oakes v. Strachey. The account of the infant de- fendant, Catherine Matilda Oakes.
- Owen v. Soame. In Master Pepys' office.
- The estate of Robert Owen and Sibley v. Owen, and Dunning v. Owen. The account of in- demnity against the claim of Strachan v. Strachan.
- Owen Owens, a minor.
- Marianne Owens, a minor.
- Elizabeth Owens, a minor.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the two shares standing in the name of the Reverend James Calley, of Wootton Wawen, in the county of Warwick, in the books of the Company of Pro- prietors of the Stratford-upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the two shares standing in the name of William Colquhoun, of Saint Andrew's-square, Edinburgh, Esq., in the books of the Company of Proprietors of Strat- ford-upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Ox- ford Canal Navigation. Charles, Lord Bishop of Oxford, and William Holbeck, their account.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the three shares standing in the name of John Palmer, of Mack- stoke Castle, Coleshill, Gentleman, in the books of the Company of Proprietors of Stratford- upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of mortgage, dated the 15th day of October, 1852, made between John Palmer and George Green.
- In the matter of the trust of Thomas Parr, the legacy account of Harriet Dilke and her issue.
- The account of Elizabeth, the sister of Robert Parkinson, the testator, and her children, if any, living at the time of the death of the testator.
- Pearce v. Adams.
- Packer v. Amhurst.
- Pellatt v. Burlton. The account of Dowsett's mortgage.
- Phillips v. Ball.
- Perry v. Beauclerk. The account of the represen- tatives of Mary, Countess Jenison, Walworth and Mary Jenison and Charlotte Jenison.

Perry v. Beauclerk.
 Perry v. Beauclerk. Subject to duty.
 Petty v. Barker.
 Perrott v. Barbor.
 Preston v. Barker.
 Petty v. Baring. The account of the debts of the testator.
 Pemberton v. Lord Berwick. The account of the creditors remaining unpaid.
 Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey.
 Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey. Lewis Alexander Goblet, the annuitant's, account.
 Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey. Ann Oliver, the annuitant's, account.
 Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey. The separate contingent account of the unestablished next of kin of the testator.
 Parker v. Earl of Bristol.
 Pocklington v. Bouner.
 Parkhurst v. Boyd. The account of the personal representatives of Robert Hall.
 Prince v. Bourjot. The ten hogsheads account.
 Pope v. Burton.
 Pole v. Buller, and Buller v. Pole.
 Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey. Mary Holt, the annuitant's, account.
 Parker v. Bendle. The account of John Parker, an infant.
 Pratt v. Burgess, and Pratt v. Pratt.
 Price v. Bangham. The account of James William Tuck.
 Parker v. Bendle. The account of Charles - Edward Parker, an infant.
 Parker v. Bendle. The account of James Parker, an infant.
 Parker v. Bendle. The account of Thomas Parker, an infant.
 Pomeroy v. Brewer.
 Palmer v. Bonington.
 Plant v. Boucher.
 Parker v. Bolton. Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. In the matter of the estates of Thomas Parker, Esq., deceased.
 Peate v. Crane.
 Pugh and other v. Cambridge.
 Page v. Catley.
 Porter v. Clarke.
 Pelham v. Compton.
 Pinkerton v. Cradock.
 Paul v. Compton. The account of damages done to the testator's leasehold estate.
 Powell v. Davison. Ann Dobson and her children, their account. In Master Pepys' office.
 Pulteney v. Douglas.
 Powell v. Davies.
 Phillips v. Baron Dacre.
 Phillips v. Baron Dacre. The account of the creditors of the Honourable Edward Bouyerie, named in the first schedule to the Master's report, dated the 4th August, 1829.
 Phillips v. Baron Dacre. The account of the creditors of the Honourable Edward Bouyerie, named in the first schedule to the Master's report, dated 23rd day of November, 1833.
 In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of mortgage dated the 8th day of May, 1841, and of the devisees under the will of Henry Peters. The account of Phœbe Peters, Widow, Joseph Peters, and his children and his brothers, Thomas Peters, Henry Peters, and George Peters.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Bathsheba Penny, formerly of Kensington-square, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, deceased, Sibylla Sally Pasmore's legacy, in the will called Sybella Pasmore.
 Ex parte Isaac Pelham.

Lucy Petter, who is beyond seas.
 George Petter, who is beyond seas.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Catherine Perrin, deceased. The account of the share of William Spann, in the residuary estate of Catherine Perrin.
 In the matter of the trusts of Alfred Pearce, deceased.
 In the matter of the trusts of one moiety of the sum of four hundred pounds specifically bequeathed by the will of Mary Pettinger, Widow, deceased.
 Henry Arnold Perry, an infant.
 Parker v. Edge. The account of George Christopher Smyth Goodday.
 Pemberton v. Flower.
 Povey v. Gregory. The account of the defendant, John Webb.
 Duke of Portland v. Griffiths.
 Peters v. Grote. Elizabeth Read's legacy account.
 Piggott v. Garraway.
 Powell v. Griffiths. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Joan Parry, afterwards Joan Powell, deceased.
 Powell v. Griffiths. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Jane Parry, afterwards Jane Griffiths, deceased.
 Prince v. Hine. The account of the infant plaintiff, Catherine Prince, deceased.
 Paynter v. Houstoun.
 Polhill v. the Earl of Hyndford and others.
 Phippard v. Hoppe.
 Pulsford v. Hunter, and Jennings v. Hunter.
 Paxton v. Humble.
 Phipps v. Henderson.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Stephen Piggins the elder, deceased. The share of Elizabeth Punchard, and the parties entitled in remainder.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Phillips in respect of the legacy bequeathed for the repairs of Edward Tickner's Monument, and the poor of the parish of St. Lawrence, Thanet, Kent.
 Pulsford v. Inglis.
 Paul v. Jennings. Sarah White, the mother's estate.
 Parry v. Jones.
 Powell v. Jenkin. The plaintiff's account.
 Powles v. Jopling. The account of William Wright.
 Pulteney v. Jones.
 Paul v. Jarritt. The account of costs.
 Payne v. Kinaston, Puleston v. Kinaston, and Puleston v. Hill, Baronet.
 Parker v. Lake. Ex parte Heaton Clark's indemnity account.
 Potts v. Layton.
 Potts v. Layton. The subsequent account.
 Page v. Leapingwell.
 Powell v. Lloyd.
 Polhill v. Morgan. The account of the legacy duty upon the legacy of £1,000, given to the defendant, Charlotte Clara Morgan Payler, and her children.
 Payne v. Mortimer.
 Pee v. Marsh.
 Prentice v. Mensal.
 Plaxton v. Milner.
 Primrose v. Lord Mountford.
 Parkins v. Moore, Moore v. Helps.
 Pearce v. Milner, Pearce v. Jones, Pearce v. Capper, and Pearce v. Downes.
 Potter v. Moore.
 Phillips v. Newland. The separate account of the incumbrancers of Samuel Phillips.

- Parsons v. Nevill. Jacob Hern, the son's account.
 Price v. North.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Pool. The account of Washington Boxer Nichols, a person of unsound mind, not so found by inquisition.
 In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Poole, of 11, Lower Seymour-street, Portman-square, in the county of Middlesex, and Mary Ann, his wife, and John Henry Poole, their son.
 In the matter of the trusts of the estate of Samuel Powell, deceased.
 Ex parte Richard Powell, of Saint John's Wood, in the parish of Paddington, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman.
 The Right Honourable John Charles, Earl of Portsmouth, of unsound mind. The creditor's account.
 Palmer v. Potter.
 Phillips v. Phillips.
 Prosser v. Prosser, and Prosser v. Prosser.
 Pearce v. Pearce. The account of the plaintiff, Mary Pearce, and her children.
 Price v. Price. In Master Courtenay's office. Separate account of Thomas Hicken, of Birmingham, in the county of Warwick, Distiller, a surviving partner of Samuel Lechigary, Dunsford, late of the same place, deceased, as assignees of John Bennett, late of the town of Brecon, in the county of Brecon.
 Price v. Price. In Master Courtenay's office. The separate account of Thomas Davis, of the town of Builth, in the county of Brecon, Tiler and Plasterer.
 Pettingall v. Pettingall. The infant, Julia Maria Pettingall's legacy.
 Pochin v. Pochin. The produce of sale of land to Midland Counties Railway Company.
 Ex parte Sir William Beauchamp Proctor, or other the persons interested in two roods of ground in Springfield, in the county of Essex.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Pritchett, of Sekforde-street, Clerkenwell, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, deceased. The account of the residuary share of Joseph Pritchett, one of the five children of Joseph Pritchett.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Martha Protheroe, Spinster, deceased. The residue account.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of John Prowett.
 Margaret Price, Widow, a person of unsound mind.
 Perry v. Rumsey. Rents and profits of mortgaged estates.
 Pollard v. Revoult, and Pollard v. Hosegood. John Duplan Lloyd, the annuitant's, account.
 Prideaux v. St. Aubyn.
 Pringle v. Stevenson.
 Phillips v. Spencer.
 Palmer v. Stephens. The account of the personal estate.
 Paton v. Sheppard. The legacy account of the children of James Paton.
 Patten v. Smith.
 Porrall v. Sutton.
 Prosser v. Scarlock. The defendant, John Scarlocks', account.
 Patterson v. Stewart.
 Parkhurst v. Saxton. The account of the legacy intended for John Bosher.
 Purdue v. Sharp.
 Pecke v. Smith. The annuity account of John Pecke, the grandson.
 Parker v. Sayle. The unclaimed share of Richard Heathfield, John Green, Charles Picksley, Jonathan Marshall, and Robert Jobson respectively.
 Payne v. Trentam.
 Patten v. Taylor.
 Pratt v. Wilson. The legatee's account.
 Phillips v. Watkins.
 Parker v. Watts.
 Perry v. Wilder.
 Purr v. Wicks. The legacy account of Frederick Oliver.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Patrick Quin, deceased. The contingent account of William Quin Kennedy.
 Elizabeth Rainier, an infant.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Richard Raymond, deceased, for the benefit of Sarah Warner, one of the children of Edward Raymond, and Rose, his wife.
 Ragget v. Arkininstall.
 Rice v. Abraham.
 Roberts v. Ballard.
 Reeves v. Biggar.
 Roff v. Caffrey.
 Roberts v. Collier.
 Rawson v. Cheyne.
 Ridding v. Collier, and Emery v. Collier.
 Rose v. Cunynghame and Cunynghame v. Rose.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Reynolds, late of Lammas, in the county of Norfolk, Farmer, deceased, in favour of Leonora Allen and her children.
 The account of Mr. Henry Reed.
 Frances Elizabeth Reeve, of Bath, Widow.
 Thomas Vincent Reynolds, Esq., a lunatic. The creditors' account.
 Ridge v. Edwards.
 Rugg v. Farmer.
 Ross v. Franklin. The account of the plaintiff, Mary Wood, deceased.
 Robinson v. Fletcher, and Robinson v. Fletcher.
 Raison v. Floyd.
 Roffey v. Greenhill.
 Rice v. Griffith.
 Robertson v. the Great Western Railway Company.
 Rowland v. Garnett.
 Ruffley v. Hall.
 Ramsden v. Hylton, Hylton v. Briscoe, and Briscoe v. Hylton. In Master Allen's office.
 Ramsden v. Hodgkin, Hodgkin v. Musgrave, and Brisco v. Musgrave.
 Richardson v. Hubbersty.
 Rawlings v. Jennings.
 Rochester v. Kirsopp, and Rochester v. Gibson. The annuitant Dorothy Charlton's account.
 Reid v. Keith. The account of the defendant Angelique Black.
 Rogers v. Keen.
 Radcliffe v. King. The £200 legacy account.
 Radcliffe v. King. The legacy account of Jane St. Leger.
 Rochester v. Kirsopp, and Rochester v. Gibson.
 Robinson v. Longden.
 Reynolds v. Lang. The plaintiff's account.
 Richards v. Morgan.
 Royal Exchange Assurance Company v. Morrice.
 Rogers v. Mills. The account of Elizabeth Kingdon, deceased, Ann Bond, Nelme Rogers Bond, deceased, and William Bond.
 Rawlings v. Nash.
 Rawson v. Neville.
 Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Citizens of the city of Rochester, in the county of Kent.
 Ex parte the Rotherham Gas Light and Coke Company. In the matter of the Rotherham Gas Act, 1846. The trustees of the will of Richard, Earl of Effingham.

In the matter of Rowse's Trusts. The share of Rebecca Bartlett, deceased.
 Edmund Elphinstone Forbes Royle, an infant.
 In the matter of the trusts of the mortgage security made by Benson Rowley, deceased, dated the 28th of March, 1850.
 In the matter of the trusts of Ann Rowland's residuary share under the will of Anselm Brown, of James-street, Westminster, 26th February, 1817.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Robertson, late of Richmond, Surrey, Auctioneer, deceased. The separate account of William Robertson, in the surplus moneys under the deed of the 9th May, 1828.
 Margaret More Price Roberts the younger v. Margaret More Price Roberts the elder. The account of the plaintiff, an infant.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Rothwell, late of Manchester, in the county of Lancashire, Merchant, deceased.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Robinson, of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Widow, deceased. The legacy of Phillis Broomfield.
 In the matter of the trusts of the real estate devised by the will of James Robinson, deceased. The account of the share of Joseph Wilson and James Wilson, infants.
 The account of the representatives of Elizabeth Rogers, the unknown parties interested in the pieces or parcels of ground, messuages, or tenements, hereditaments, and premises known and distinguished in the schedule annexed to the Act of Parliament of 10 Geo. 4, cap. 136, by the No. 7, in York-street, and Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, in Green Dragon-court, in the borough of Southwark, and also of and in all that other piece or parcel of ground on which lately stood two houses and buildings, distinguished in the schedule by letters B and M.
 Ex parte the purchasers of the estates devised by the will of Christopher Rolleston, Esq.
 Margaret Robinson and another v. Joseph Robinson and others. The rent account of the infant plaintiffs.
 Rawlings v. Pearson, Rawlings v. Rawlings, Rawlings v. Bluett, Rawlings v. Temple, and Rawlings v. Green.
 Rainford v. Parke and Chaffers. The account of Olive Hall, Thomas Hannah, Thomas Hall, George Hall, Elizabeth Humming, and Bella Hall.
 Rawsthorne v. Parr.
 Rumsey v. Perry. The account of the real and personal estate of William Perry.
 Richards v. Patteson.
 Raby v. Ridehalgh.
 Richardson v. Richardson and others. The separate account of Cabel Roope.
 Rogers v. Rogers. William Rogers and Mary Shrieve, the legatee's account.
 Rivett v. Ravenscroft.
 Rayner v. Rayner.
 Rowles v. Rowles.
 Rose v. Rogers.
 Rowe v. Sharp.
 Read v. Strangways.
 Raymond v. Skelton.
 Reeve v. Storks, and Reeve v. Storks.
 Rolph v. Tidswell.
 Rowland v. Tawney, and Rowland v. Taylor. The account of Mary Lock and her children.
 Rowlls v. Thomas Timmiss. Legacy account.
 Russell v. Thurston.
 Reynolds v. Throsby.

Rogers v. Towsey. The account of the fifth share of Dame Augusta Louisa Lyons, the wife of Sir Edmund Lyons, Bart.
 In the matter of the trusts under Elizabeth Runnington's will for the Watford Girls' Sunday Schools, and for the poor of Watford.
 Ex parte the purchasers of estates in Cornwall of the late Matthew Russell, Esq.
 Rogers v. Whiskin. The leasehold estate account subject to duty.
 Radcliffe v. Witham. The account of the balance found due to the late Cornelius Radcliffe, deceased, up to the 5th day of May, 1850.
 Rushton v. Waddilove. The account of the Vicar of Aldborough.
 Ryder v. Webb, and Selwyn v. Webb.
 Raynes v. White.
 Raikes v. Williams.
 Ex parte the Commissioners for Improving the Town of Ryde, in the Isle of Wight. The account of Sarah Ann Dennis, Widow.
 In the matter of the late Thomas Sargant's trust account.
 In the matter of the trusts of the five hundred pounds cash and other sums received by Richard Saunders in right of Charlotte, his wife, comprised in their marriage settlement. The account of George Lycott Engledue May, an infant, subject to duty.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, Widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of James Geere Healy, a convict, subject to duty.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, Widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of Jane Elizabeth Healy, subject to duty.
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, Widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of Mary Ann Healy, subject to duty.
 The Reverend John Sargent, Rector of Woolavington, in the county of Sussex.
 Touching certain salvages.
 Smees v. Aldis, and Smees v. Aldis. The plaintiffs' indemnity account against liability under the leases held by the testator.
 Seney v. Allen. The interest account.
 Shaip v. Barker. The account of Caroline Mordaunt Easton, deceased, one of the children of the defendant, Alexander Shaip.
 Smart v. Bradley. The account of Anne Wilmot, Widow, deceased.
 Sharpe v. Bracher, and Sharpe v. Troutbeck.
 Lord Sinclair v. Ballantyne.
 Stewart v. Bullock.
 Sabine and others v. Butler and others.
 Ex parte the Scottswood Bridge Company.
 Sweetland v. Coplestone.
 Stockley v. Crockett. The account of the plaintiff, Ann Stockley.
 Symmer v. Chapman, in Master Wilmot's office.
 Snell v. Chauncy.
 Stuart v. Cook, and Stuart v. Cook. The account of Mary Stuart, the infant.
 Smith v. Cook. In the office of Mr. Martin.
 Sewell v. Crossweller.

- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representatives of Michael Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representative of Ruth Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representatives of Sarah Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representative of Abraham Scholefield, deceased.
- Stephens v. Dixon.** In Master John Bennett's office.
- Silk v. Dimsdale.** The account of the unsatisfied creditors of Christopher Thomson.
- Smith v. Dyer.**
- Sylvester v. Delisser.** The separate account of Elias Joseph Sylvester, a plaintiff in the residue.
- Saunderson v. Dickons.**
- Stocks v. Dodsley.**
- In the matter of the trusts of a sum of South Sea Stock bequeathed by the will of Amy Seal to her niece, Ann Seal, for life, with remainder to the children of Robert Hall and Moses Seal.
- The account of John Ford Sevier, Nathaniel Stonard, James Henry Owen Hall, claiming to be interested in two sixteenth parts of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, and the meeting-house or chapel and dwelling-house thereupon erected, situate and being in Meeting-house-court, Miles's-lane, in the city of London.
- Ex parte the Severn Valley Railway Company.** The account of William Russell.
- Sutton v. Edmonstone.**
- Style v. Ellis.**
- Lord Southampton v. Earl of Euston.** The plaintiff's account under the deed of July, 1790.
- Strutt v. Finch.** The account of John James Warren and Elizabeth Jane, his wife.
- Shirley v. Earl Ferrers, and Earl Ferrers v. Ward.** In Master Holford's office.
- Strutt v. Finch.** The purchase-money of lot three.
- Sidden v. Forster, and Sidden v. Lediard.** The account of the creditors of Robert Woolley.
- Shaw v. Grey.** The account of Martha Daulby, deceased.
- Shaw v. Grey.** The account of the defendant, Selina Daulby.
- Staines v. Gifford.** The life-interest account of the plaintiff, Richard Sutton Staines the elder.
- Spencer v. Gilpin.** The account of John Simpson Spencer.
- Slade v. Griffiths, and Clarke v. Slade.** In Master Grave's office.
- Speakman v. Gould.**
- Shuttleworth v. Greaves.**
- Stock v. Greenaway.**
- Simpson v. Gutteridge.** The life account of the plaintiff, James Simpson.
- Arthur O'Ferrell Shaen, an infant.**
- Agnes Elizabeth Shaen, an infant.**
- William Godfrey Shaen, an infant.**
- Ex parte the Sheffield Town Trustees.** The account of the devisees and legatees of John Bennett, Esq., deceased.
- In the matter of the Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company. The account of the Governors of the Free School in Luxton, founded by John Pierrepond.
- Ex parte the Shropshire Union Railways and Canal Company.** **Ex parte the Forton School Fund.**
- Frances Maria Sherratt, a lunatic.**
- Ex parte the Sheffield, Ashton-under-Lyne, and Manchester Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the Shrewsbury and Chester Railway Company.** The account of Robert Myddelton Biddulph.
- Ex parte the Shrewsbury and Birmingham Railway Company.** In the matter of the Shrewsbury and Birmingham Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the Shropshire Union Railway and Canal Company.** The account of Hester Crump and Robert Crump, in respect of certain lands in the parish of Wellington, in the county of Salop.
- Ex parte the Shropshire Union Railways and Canal Company.** In the matter of the Shropshire Union Railways and Canal (Shrewsbury and Stafford) Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company.** The account of Charles Price, Gentleman.
- Spurrell v. Hulse.**
- Scales v. Hayes.**
- Sherard v. Earl of Harborough.** In Master Edward's office.
- Smith v. Hatch.**
- Stephenson v. Heathcote, and Heathcote v. Stephenson.** In Mr. Grave's office.
- Stonehouse v. Harrison.**
- Steedman v. Haynes.**
- Sloane v. Lord Hawke.**
- Scott v. Harwood.** The account of the real estate.
- Sleman v. Hamlyn.**
- Stagg v. Hendy.** In Master Spicer's office.
- Stukely v. Hewatson.**
- Earl of Shipbrooke v. Lord Viscount Hinchinbroke.** In Master Eames's office.
- Stanley v. Hitchon.**
- Strangeways v. Holderness.** In Master Conway's office.
- Skinner v. Hole.**
- Still v. Hoste.**
- Shepherd v. Houghton.** The unpaid legacy account.
- Scaratt v. Hume.**
- Shuttleworth v. Howarth.** The account of the defendants of John Kay.
- Sadler v. Halse.**
- Smithson v. Heygate.**
- St. Aubyn v. Humphreys.** The personal estate of the settlor, Edmund Francis St. Aubyn.
- Ann Silk, an infant legatee.**
- Clare Silk, an infant legatee.**
- Skerratt v. Ingmire.**
- Slapp v. Jowett, Slapp v. Jowett, and Slapp v. Kindon.** The contingent account of the defendant, Frederick Jowett.
- Spicer v. James.**
- Stone v. Kemp.**
- Stowey v. Kekewick.** James Stowey, the annuitant's account.
- Small v. Lucas.** The account of the representative of Martha Elizabeth Ann, the late wife of Richard Lucas, both deceased, subject to legacy duty.
- Shelley v. Lloyd.** The account of the rents and profits of Tynygrigg tenement.
- Skillman v. Lade.**
- Stone v. Love.** In Master Holford's office.
- Searle v. Lethieullier.** In Master Burrough's office.
- In the matter of the trust of the shares of Elizabeth Smith, formerly Elizabeth Clayton. Spinster, and of James Currie Wood, in right of Mary, his wife, formerly Mary Clayton, Spinster, now deceased, of and in the trust moneys subject to Clayton's trusts.
- In the matter of the trust estate of Elizabeth Smither, deceased.
- Margaret Smith's Estate, Vol. I, fol. 88.** The account of Thomas Smith, the eldest son of Timothy Smith.

In the matter of Smithers's Trust.

In the matter of the trusts of the residuary personal estate of Ann Smith, under her will, dated 20th May, 1794.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the settled estates of Sir Thomas Smyth, Bart.

Spencer v. Murray.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Smith, Spinster, deceased. The account of the next of kin of the said Ann Smith as to the proceeds of the sale of her leasehold dwelling-house.

Stenhouse v. Mitchell. The infant's general interest account.

Scruton v. Middleton.

Saunders v. Marten. Ann, the wife of John Browne, her account.

Shirley v. Lord Manners. The plaintiff, James Shirley, the infant's account.

Skeffington v. Mercer.

Earl of Shaftesbury v. Duke of Marlborough.

Earl of Shaftesbury v. Duke of Marlborough. The account of moneys produced by the sale of the testator's freehold, copyhold, and leasehold estates, and of his canal shares.

Shellaber v. Maud.

Stephens v. Lord Newborough. The policy of assurance account.

Saunders v. Norman.

Godfrey Allan Solly, an infant.

Arthur John Solly, an infant.

Agatha Mead Solly, an infant.

Herbert Legay Solly, an infant.

George Edward Solly, an infant.

Alice Margaret Solly, an infant.

Jane Mary Solly, an infant.

Ellen Gertrude Solly, an infant.

Florence Augusta Solly, an infant.

Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.

The account of the persons entitled under the settlement of the Reverend Thomas Fry.

Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.

In the matter of the Guardians of the Poor of the city of Canterbury.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.

The account of Sir Thomas Digby Aubrey's settled estates.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.

In the matter of the South Wales Railway Act, 1852. In the matter of the estate of John Macdonald, deceased. The account of John Thomas.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.

The account of the settlement made on the marriage of the late Reverend James Henry Scudamore Burr, Clerk, and Jane, his wife.

Ex parte the South Yorkshire Railway and River

Dun Company. The account of the Perpetual Curate and Overseers of Wentworth, in the county of York.

Ex parte the Council of the borough of South-

ampton. The account of the purchase money paid for lands of the Provost and Scholars of Queen's College, Oxford.

Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.

The account of Irving Clark, the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, Her Majesty's Attorney-General, and the Embankment Company, the parties interested in certain lands situate near to the borough of Plymouth, in the county of Devon.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.

The account of Sir Thomas Digby Aubrey, Bart.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.

The account of William Child Webb.

Ex parte the Southampton and Dorchester Railway Company. The account of the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, and of the Mayor and Corporation of Southampton, and Sir John Barker Mill, Bart., and Arthur Atherley, Esq.

Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.

Ex parte the Local Board of Health for the Borough of Southampton. In the matter of the Public Health Act, 1848, and the Public Health Supplemental Act, 1850 (No. 3).

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company. In the matter of the South Wales Railway Amendment Act, 1847.

Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company. In the matter of the South Wales Railway Act, 1845. The account of the settled estates of Lucy Bowen, deceased.

Salmon v. Osborn, Colmer v. Osborn, and Barringer v. Osborn. The account of the personal representative of Amelia Grove, the annuitant, deceased.

Soame v. Owen. In Master Pepy's office.

In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of settlement, bearing date the 15th day of May, 1854, made on the marriage of the Reverend Isaac Spencer and Harriet, his wife, deceased.

In the matter of the trust of the estate of William Spencer, deceased. The account of the share of residue bequeathed to the testator's nephews, Samuel Smith and James Smith, and his niece, Jane Simms, or to their children.

Gratiana Spence, a lunatic. The timber account.

Stevens v. Pointer.

Seaman v. Rackham.

Stanford v. Roberts.

Sherwin v. Reynell.

Swanwick v. Ridge.

Soames v. Robinson. Account of equitable assets.

Spires v. Spires. The account of the tenant in tail immediately succeeding Robert Thatcher, deceased.

Spires v. Spires. The account of the tenant in tail of Robert Thatcher, deceased.

Stevens v. Stevens. The account of the issue, if any, of Elizabeth Thorn.

Spires v. Spires. The account of the shares of the parties found by the Master's report not parties to these causes.

St. Quintin v. St. Quintin. The account of Joseph Dunn.

Scott v. Spashett. The annuitant's account.

Shewell v. Shewell, Shewell v. Bateman, Shewell v. Shewell, and Shewell v. Whitaker.

Stubbs v. Silver. The account of Ann Elizabeth Pound.

Sillitoe v. Sillitoe. The account of Richard Marygold Nonely Masefield, an infant.

Sharp v. Earl of Scarborough. An account of real assets.

Sherwood v. Sanderson.

Sayer v. Sayer. The legatee's account.

Sharples v. Sharples. The account of Mary Sharples and others, infants.

Sheppard v. Sheafe. Ann Higginson's account. In Master Lane's office.

Shape v. Sermon.

Smith v. Smith. Thomas Smith's account.

Stiff v. Simmonds.

Spire v. Smith.

Scott v. Scott. In Master Greaves's office.

Spofforth v. Stovin. The account of unsatisfied legacies.

Strong v. Strong, Strong v. Roberts, and Strong v. Pitfield.

Smith v. Slark.

- Saumarez v. Saumarez. The residuary account.
- Storey v. Scottney. The account of Isabella Bainbridge, the legatee.
- Storey v. Scottney. The account of Mr. Henry Smith, the late Solicitor of the plaintiffs in the suit of Storey v. Scottney.
- Scott v. Sewell.
- Selby v. Selby.
- Spode v. Smith, Johnes v. Smith, Carter v. Smith, and Carter v. Bond.
- Sykes v. Sykes.
- Staples v. Sumner.
- Steele v. Steele.
- Smith v. Smith, and Smith v. Smith. The stock account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Tasseli Stanley, deceased. The representatives of Francis Wilks, deceased.
- Ex parte an undertaking for making a railway from the Stockport, Disley, and Whaley Bridge Railway, in the parish of Stockport, and county of Chester, to Hayfield, in the county of Derby, and for other purposes.
- James Banks Stanhope, Esq., a minor.
- John Charles Stapleton, Clerk, a person of unsound mind. And in the matter of the Act of Parliament passed in the 8th and 9th years of the reign of Her present Majesty, chapter 100, intituled an Act for the regulation of the care and treatment of lunatics.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Stonhouse.
- Robert Richard Thomas, John William James, Agnes Margaret Janet, and Anne Strachan, infant legatees.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Stockton and Darlington Railway.
- Robert Stockdale, as assignee of Moreton, Voyce, and Watts.
- Ex parte the trustees for executing an Act for repealing an Act passed in the twenty-first year of the reign of His Majesty King George the Third, for repairing the roads leading from the Stones-end in Kent-street, in the parish of Saint George, Southwark, to Dartford, and other roads therein mentioned in the counties of Kent and Surrey.
- Ex parte the Staines, Wokingham, and Woking Railway Company. The account of the Master, Fellows, and Scholars of Saint John's College, Cambridge.
- Strickland v. Thomas. The share of Mary Thomas.
- Strickland v. Thomas. The share of Margaret Thomas.
- Strickland v. Thomas. The share of Ann Richards, deceased.
- Strickland v. Thomas. The share of Morris Thomas.
- Strafford v. Tilley. In Master Conway's office.
- Spooner v. Tovey.
- Syng v. Thompson.
- Sparrow v. Turton.
- The unclaimed dividend account of the Proprietors of the late Surrey Iron Railway.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of Emma Summerfield, deceased. The share of Mary Ann Abbott, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicils of William Sutcliffe, late of Bath, in the county of Somerset, deceased, so far as the same affect the Higher Farm.
- Graham, Edward Henry Manners Sutton, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Sutcliffe, deceased.
- Ex parte the Surrey Iron Railway Company, and John Harrison, Esq.
- Ex parte the Sunderland Dock Company. The account of Her Most Excellent Majesty the Queen in right of her Crown, and the Right Honourable the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, for and on behalf of Her Majesty, the Freemen and Stallingers of the ancient borough of Sunderland, the Lord Bishop of Durham, the Right Honourable William Keppell, Viscount Barrington, and the Honourable Augustus Barrington, and the Honourable Charles Grey, and the Right Honourable John George Brabazan, Earl of Besborough, and William Robinson, Christopher Bramwell, and Mary Ann Pemberton, Charles Richard Robinson, and Elizabeth Lawrence, his wife, Richard Lawrence Pemberton, an infant, John Herbert Kae, and the Reverend Albany Wade, Clerk, and Elizabeth Orde, his wife, or some or one of them, in respect of the sea-shore and the bed or soil of the sea, and certain lands recovered from the sea, situate in the parish of Bishop Wearmouth, in the county of Durham, and extending from the parish of Sunderland, near the sea, to the southern extremity of the rocks at Henden, in the said parish of Bishop Wearmouth.
- Storer v. Osborne.
- Staunton v. Vavasour. The account of the legacy of £100 bequeathed to Mary Bethia Tyson, subject to duty.
- Staunton v. Vavasour. The account of the legacies of £100, and £100 bequeathed to Ellen Carter, subject to duty.
- Smith v. Veasey, and Smith v. Blencowe.
- Smith v. Vaux.
- Stoughton v. Walker. The account of William Walker.
- Sharrod v. Wingfield.
- Smith v. Walthew.
- Sutill v. Watson.
- Savery v. Williams. In Master Lane's office.
- Sutton v. Wynne, and Trevor v. Gibson. In Master Lane's office.
- Schutz v. Earl Winterton. In Master Thompson's office.
- Sanford v. Wright. The account of the infant, Thomas Porter Baxter, the only child of Elizabeth Baxter.
- Sanford v. Wright. Ann Thompson, the annuitant's account.
- Smyth v. Windham.
- Slade v. Webb. The account of the descended estates.
- Stapleton v. Lord Winterton, and Stapleton v. Pearson.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of William Wyndham Lewis.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of John Jenkins and Lewis Jenkins.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of William Mark Wood.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of Thomas Jenkins.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of William Morgan and Thomas Morgan.
- In the matter of the trusts of Catherine Taylor's will and William Crawford's will. The account of the £400 Consols.
- The estates of William Taylor, late of the city of Oxford, Bell Founder, deceased, and Taylor v. Taylor.
- Ex parte the Taff Vale Railway Company. In the matter of an Act to empower the Taff Vale

Railway Company to construct certain branch railways and extensions, and to make arrangements for the use of certain wharfs adjoining to the Bute Ship Canal.

Tooker v. Annesley. Rents and profits of leasehold estates' account.

Timmis v. Brassey.

Tunstall v. Brayfield. The account of the estates devised to the defendant, John Greatorex, the testator's brother.

Tully v. Bradford.

Thorp v. Brooks. The one-fifth share of Mary, one of the daughters of Elizabeth Price, of Brecknock.

Trimmer v. Bayne. The personal estate of John Bayne.

Tomlinson v. Brown, Tomlinson v. Knox, and Tomlinson v. Knox.

Tamlyn v. Brown.

Turner v. Brook. In Master Cuddon's office.

Tate v. Bolton.

Thomas v. Bloomer.

Tookerman v. Chamberlaine. In Master Trevor's office.

Tennyson v. Clayton. The annuitants' account in Master Pechell's office.

Townsend v. Champernowne.

Trefusis v. Baron Clinton.

Trigg v. Cotes.

Turner v. Dorgan.

Todd v. Darell. The interest account.

Treachner v. Dixon, and Treacher v. Heather.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Tesh, late of Caister, in the county of Lincoln, Spinster, deceased.

Tomlinson v. Edwards, and Edwards v. Lord Archibald Hamilton.

Turner v. Ford.

Tarbuck v. Greenall. The account of John Richard Bell, the assignees of John Croudson, a bankrupt, and Joshua Jullien Allen and Palgrave Simpson.

Tugwell v. Goizin. In Master Browning's office.

Thomas v. Glover and Thomason and others. The account of the purchase-money of the Abercarne Estate.

Taylor v. Gaskell.

The account of Mr. Richard Thacker for 937 square yards of land, with the buildings thereon, in the township of Ardwick, and parish of Manchester, in the county of Lancaster.

Ex parte the Thames Haven Dock and Railway Company. The account of the Queen's Most Excellent Majesty in respect of certain land, part of the foreshore or bed of the River Thames, situate in the parishes of Stanford-le-Hope and Fobbing, in the county of Essex.

Harry Francis Lane Thorp, an infant, contingent on his attaining the age of 21.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Thorp, late of Overseal.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made on the marriage of Marmaduke Thompson and Elizabeth Maria, his wife respectively, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of Mary Ann Thornton, Spinster, deceased, legacy account of Florence Mary Ann Beresford, an infant.

In the matter of the trusts of the share of Thomas Thornton, in the personal estate of Ann Dawes, deceased.

Richard Thompson, of Grosvenor-street, Esq.

Ex parte Ellen Threlfall, the widow, and Elizabeth Threlfall, an infant, the surviving daughter and heiress-at-law of James Threlfall, late of Broughton, near Preston, in the county of Lancaster, Farmer.

Ex parte the purchasers of the devised estates of the late Richard Thompson, Esq.

Trench v. Harrison.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Annie Rogers.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant Arthur Bertram Taylor.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Edith Harriett Nugent.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Ellinor Frances Lloyd.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Alexander Kenneth Stewart.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Charles Montague Duncan Stewart.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Mary Maria Hay Stewart.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, George Markham Davison.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Kenneth Stewart Davison.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Emma Mackenzie Rogers.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Stewart Alexander Rogers.

Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigg, and Taylor v. Bainbrigg. The account of the infant, Georgina Jane Rogers.

Turner v. Howell. The account of the representatives of Mary Buckley, deceased.

Tomlin v. Hadfield. In the matter of Thomas Back, a person of unsound mind.

Taylor v. Hall.

Tipton v. Heaton.

Taylor v. Hickers.

Turner v. Howell.

Threlkeld v. Holmes.

Thomas v. Hurst.

In the matter of the trusts of the marriage settlement of Charles William Francis Tinling and Maria, his wife.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Timmis. The general residuary estate.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of William Jonathan Tippins and Martha, his late wife. The account of William Jonathan Tippins the younger.

Tyler v. Lake. The account of the purchase moneys of the Reverend George Moore.

Towse v. Lakeland. In Master Montagu's office.

Terrell v. Mathews. The account of the legal personal representative of Henry Bartholomew, the infant son of the testator's son, William Bartholomew, deceased.

Townshend v. Martin. The account of the ground-rents of the houses in the King's-parade, Chelsea.

Thomas v. Morris

Treffry v. Meredith.

Townshend v. Martin. Fund to answer the legacy given to Mary Brown by the will of Lucy Ann Sinclair Sutherland, Widow.

Townshend v. Martin. Fund to answer the legacy given to Mr. Field by the will of Lucy Ann Sinclair Sutherland, Widow.

- Thomas v. Miles, and Waysmith v. Thomas. The account of the personal representatives of William Miles the son.
- Thomas v. Montgomery. The subsisting annuitant's account.
- Tyrell v. Myers. The account of the unsatisfied creditors of Sir John Tyrell, Bart.
- Tait v. Mackenzie.
- Tennant v. Mosley.
- Thomas v. Montgomery.
- Townshend v. Martin. The account of the defendant, John Townshend.
- Townshend v. Martin.
- Taylor v. Millard.
- Tait v. Lord Northwick.
- Tempest v. the North Western Railway Company.
- John Hastings Touchet and Frances Mary Touchet, infant legatees.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Torin, Widow, deceased. The legacy bequeathed to Charles Henry West.
- Taylor v. Oldham. The account of the personal estate.
- Tilliar v. Onley. In Master Montagu's office.
- Thomas v. Parry.
- Thorne v. Palmer.
- Thomas v. Perrye. In Master Bennett's office.
- Thomas v. Powell.
- Thompson v. Perrott. The annuitant's account.
- Twigg v. Prater. The defendant, Mary Matchett, the annuitant's account.
- Thomas v. Prosser. The account of the next of kin of Alice Prosser.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Trotman, deceased. Ex parte the one-third share of residue bequeathed to Edmund Thomas Browne, deceased.
- Tothill v. Rhodes. General account.
- Tonkin v. Roberts. In Master Halford's office.
- Taylor v. Raester. The account of the defendant George Raester, in respect of the produce of 4855 dollars 48 centimes.
- Tuffnell v. Stoe. The account of William Tuffnell, Thomas Samuel Jolliffe, and William Northey.
- Thomas v. Selby.
- Turner v. Solly, and Mules v. Jennings.
- Tuffnell v. Stoe. The account of the defendant Mary Secker.
- Turner v. Simms.
- Thompson v. Sprigg.
- Trefusis v. Lady St. John. The devised estate.
- Thickey v. Shefford. In Master Simeon's office.
- Tootal v. Spicer.
- Tuffnell v. Stoe. The amount of the defendants, Harry Stoe and William Evans.
- Toner v. Thompson. The account of Sarah Ellen Thompson, the remaining child of William Thompson, deceased.
- Tunstall v. Trappes. The residue of the personal estate and effects of Francis Trappes the younger, deceased.
- Thompson v. Teulon, and Teulon v. Teulon. The contingent legacy account of Clara Elizabeth, the wife of Albert Julius Mott, and Clarence Mason Dobell, infants.
- Thomas (of Tydraw) v. Thomas (of St. Hilary).
- Taylor v. Taylor. The account of the property devised to Thomas Howell.
- Tilt v. Tilt, Tilt v. Vernon, and Fox v. Tilt.
- Tomlins v. Tomlins. The separate account of the Reverend William Falconer and Isabella Jane, his wife.
- Thomson v. Tournay.
- Tomlin v. Tomlin, Tomlin v. Tomlin, and Tomlin v. Tomlin.
- Tarback v. Tarback. The account of Robert Tarback's mortgagees, the assignees of John Croudson, a bankrupt, and Joshua Jullian Allen and Palgrave Simpson.
- Thornhill v. Trash. The real estate.
- Taylor v. Tabrum. The account of the defendant, Mary Ann Birch.
- Trevor v. Trevor. The legatee's account.
- Lord John Townshend v. Marquis Townshend, and Smith v. Mundy.
- Lord John Townshend v. Marquis Townshend, and Smith v. Mundy. The account of the simple contract creditors.
- Thomas v. Thomas, and Davis v. Thomas
- Harriet Maria Turner, Emily Frances Turrier, and Helen Rosina Turner, infants.
- Francis Mathew Hampden Turner, an infant.
- Catherine Harriet Turner, an infant.
- Harriet Maria Turner, an infant legatee.
- Helen Rosina Turner, an infant legatee.
- Sir Gregory Osborne Page Turner, Bart., a lunatic. The account of the unsatisfied creditors of the lunatic under the order of 8th December, 1829, and the Master's report, 13th March, 1830.
- Samuel Jolliffe Tufnell, a lunatic. The personal estate of the testator, John Jolliffe Tufnell.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Twemlow. The general residuary account.
- Thornton v. Watken.
- Templeman v. Warrington. The account of payments.
- Trevelyan and others v. Witham and others.
- Trotter v. Wilkinson. In Master Lovibond's office.
- Tew v. Earl Winterton.
- Turner v. Whittaker.
- Earl of Tyrconnell v. Young. In Master Cud-don's office.
- Upton v. Butterfield. The contingent account of the infant plaintiff, James Driver Upton.
- Unett v. Cotton. The account of the defendant, William Cotton, the grandson.
- Letitia Unett, Spinster, a lunatic. The real estate account.
- Ex parte the unknown person or persons interested in the freehold estate and inheritance of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, with the messuage or tenement thereon erected, and its appurtenances, situate and being No. 8, in Great Swan-alley, near Coleman-street, in the city of London.
- Ex parte the unknown person or persons interested in the freehold estate and inheritance of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, with the four messuages and other buildings thereon erected, with their appurtenances, situate and being and known as Nos. 19, 20, and 21, in Great Bell-alley, and No. 14, in White's-alley, in the city of London.
- Uzuld v. Purches et c. con.
- Upcher v. Swinburne. In Master Eld's office.
- The account of the trustees of the enclosed commons at Uttoxeter.
- Unwin v. Wodley. In Master Harris's office.
- Vallance v. Burt.
- Vernon v. Crewe. The real estate. In Master Montagu's office.
- Vince v. Cooth. In Master Eld's office.
- Volans v. Carr.
- Vander Gucht v. De Blaquire.
- Veitch v. Edye. James Borthwick's account. In Master Grave's office.
- Vives v. Levison. Security for costs account.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Rachael Coen Potts.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Maria Levy.

Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Mandolin Levi.

Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Antonio Corbato.

Vaughan v. Parry.

Vere v. Routh.

Vazey v. Reynolds. The account of the Petitioners John Dixon Piper, Robert Daniells, and William Moye.

Vernon v. Sandford. The charity account. In Master Ord's office.

Vernon v. Thellusson.

Verney v. Webster. The account of the legal personal representative of Elizabeth Parker Sanderson, deceased.

Valence v. Weldon. In Master Montagu's office.

Ex parte the Wakefield Borough Market Company. In the matter of the Wakefield Borough Market Act, 1847, and the Wakefield Borough Market Amendment Act, 1850. The account of the vicar of Wakefield.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Watkins. The legacy of £40 bequeathed to William Maria and John Cozens.

Ann Walker, Spinster, a person of unsound mind. Moneys arising from real estate.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Waymouth, deceased, and of a certain indenture dated 20th October, 1829. The account of Henry Waymouth, the younger.

May Walpole, an infant.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Walter, deceased. The account of the legacy of William Walter, and his children.

Ward v. Alsager.

Weldon v. Aldridge. The account of Emma Jane Clayworth, deceased, late the wife of Joseph Clayworth, subject to duty.

White v. Barton. The separate account of Jane Bancks and Mary Bancks, two of the children of Gerrard Bancks, late of Manchester, Stationer and Painter, deceased, and their respective issue, and the children and issue of John Bancks, late of Manchester, Physician, deceased, and the children and issue of Isabella Wigan, of Manchester, Widow.

Wright v. Beacall.

Wotton v. Brydges, Elizabeth Coleman, late Scott.

Weatherall v. Browne.

Wilson v. Bott. The separate account of the defendants, Thomas Bott and Eliza, his wife.

Whitehurst v. Bonest. The account of the infant defendant, Rachael Bonest.

Whitehurst v. Bonest. The account of the infant defendant, Elizabeth Bonest.

Wood v. Blackman. John Rice's account.

Wall v. Bayley.

Wallis v. Bell.

Williams v. Bigg. In Master Holford's office.

Wafd v. Biddles. The contingent account of the defendant, Frederick Biddles.

Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown.

Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown. In Master Harris's office.

Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown. The account of the creditors of Charles, Duke of Bolton, mentioned in the 7th Schedule to a Report, dated 27th January, 1781, made in these causes.

Wray v. Brown.

Wilding v. Bolden. The Dundas Legacy Account.

Wrightson v. Blundell. In Master John Bennett's office.

White v. Bloxam.

Walker v. Clarke.

Webb v. Chambre. The interest account.

Walmsley v. Cardwell.

Walmsley v. Cardwell. The testator's personal estate.

Walker v. Clark.

Woods v. Crowfoot.

Williams v. Cannon.

Wingfield v. Coates. In Master Borrett's office.

Wentworth v. Chevell.

Wood v. Dulamee.

Wood v. Denison.

Wharton v. Denton, Styles v. Attorney-General, and Bedford v. Young. In Master Holford's office.

Whitehead v. Dyer, Henckell v. Dyer, and Whitehead v. Dyer. In Master Lane's office.

Wetherby v. Dixon.

Williams v. Dowbiggen.

White v. Duane. The account of the creditors of Edmund, otherwise Edward, Lynch.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Stephen Wedge, deceased. The account of the children of Rebecca Peters, deceased, and Hannah Farrell, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Marsh Weldon, late of Brickden, in the county of Huntingdon, Gentleman, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of Webber's Trusts under the will of John Deane, deceased. The share of Edward Sutton.

In the matter of the trusts of Webber's Trusts under the will of John Deane, deceased. The share of Henry Charles Sloanes Stanley.

Ex parte the Wear Valley Railway Company.

Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Wey and Arun Junction Canal.

Ex parte the West End of London and Crystal Palace Railway Company. The account of Joshua Alexander and William Bradshaw.

Ex parte the West Cornwall Railway Company. The account of John Allen, Esq.

Ex parte the West End of London and Crystal Palace Railway Company. The account of Robert Henry Ashley and Ann Ashley, Executors of Elizabeth Ashley, deceased.

Ex parte the undertaking proposed by the West Somerset Railway Bill.

Wallen v. Eastleak, Elizabeth, the wife of Samuel Slade, and the defendant, Elizabeth Talmadge. The annuitant's account.

Wagstaffe v. Everett. The defendant, Elizabeth Raim's account.

Wilson v. Evans.

Wallen v. Eastleak.

Wilson v. Edmondson, and Holgate v. Edmondson.

Walker v. Fisher. In Master Burrow's office.

Wilson v. Fogg. The separate account of the plaintiff, Alfred Biddlecombe.

Whittaker v. Finney.

Wake v. Foster. In Master Bonner's office.

Woodward v. Grainge.

Wells v. Gendron.

Woolley v. Gordon.

Webb v. Grace, Webb v. Wilshin, and Grace v. Webb.

West v. Greenway. In Master Lane's office.

Witham v. Gilshanan, otherwise Rafferty. The account of Lawrence Gilsons.

Worrall v. Guest. The account of the estate of the testator, Thomas Morgan, purchased by Susannah Adams.

Wickham v. Gatrill.

Ex parte the Whitby and Pickering Railway Company. The account of George Cholmely, Esq.

In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of two thousand five hundred pounds Bank Annuities, bequeathed by the will of John White, deceased, in trust for Richard Hamond White, with remainders over. The account of Florence Augusta Catherine Elizabeth Bernal, an infant.

In the matter of 'the trusts of White's assignment to Sedgwick and others.

The account of Amelia Sarah White, Spinster, Charlotte Edmonds, Widow, James Holbrooke the younger, an infant, Mark Cann and Harriett Charlotte, his wife, in her right, Charles Chauncey White and George Nathaniel White, claiming to be interested in one-sixteenth part of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, and the meeting-house or chapel and dwelling-house thereupon erected, situate and being in Meetinghouse-court, Miles-lane, city of London.

Watkins v. Hall.

Williams v. Hilton. The legacy account of Emma Henrietta Parsons, in the will called Emma Payne, free of legacy duty, under the testator's will.

Wilkie v. Huddart. George Fordyce and Isabel, his wife, their account.

Woodroffe v. Heamp.

White v. How.

Winbolt v. Hood.

Ex parte the Wilts, Somerset, and Weymouth Railway Company. The account of the estates of Robert Pattison, settled by the indenture of the 30th day of March, 1842.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Wilkin, late of Appleby, in the county of Westmoreland, Esq., deceased, and the children of the body of Mary Baillie, lawfully begotten and their legal representative or representatives.

In the matter of the trusts of one-fourth part of the legacy of £750, being the amount of sterling money realized by the sale of the dwelling house, grounds and hereditaments with the appurtenances, situate in Bowl Alley-lane, in the town of Kingston-upon-Hull, by the will of Thomas Wilson, deceased, and accumulations.

In the matter of the trust of the estate of Mary Wills, deceased. Ex parte Elizabeth Street.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Winckworth, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made by William Willis the elder, dated 2nd August, 1816, in favour of Jane Rose and Frances Alexander and their issue. The share of George Alexander under the said settlement.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of John Wilson and Elizabeth his wife, deceased, and also of the trusts of the settlement of John Wilson, deceased.

Effy Wilson, a minor.

Ex parte the Bishop of Winchester.

Ex parte the Windsor, Staines, and South Western Richmond to Windsor Railway Company. The account of John Taylor, or other the owner or owners of one acre and one rood of land, in the parish of Wraysbury, in the county of Buckingham.

In the matter of Elizabeth William's trust.

In the matter of the estate of Harriot Wilson, and Wilson v. Leyburn. The account of the settlement of Clara Julia West and her children.

The estate of John Willoby, deceased, and Willoby v. Shirriff.

Webb v. English. The Reverend Samuel Harrison's legacy account.

Watters v. Jones. The purchaser Beriah Botfield's indemnity account under the eighth condition of sale.

Williams v. Jones. The account of the estates devised to Edward Theophilus Morgan.

Waters v. Jefferis.

Wynch v. James.

Webb v. Joner. In Master Holford's office.

Webb v. English.

Whitised v. Jackson.

Winter v. Innes, and Winter v. Edwards.

Wollaston v. Jones.

Wrench v. Jutting.

Winter v. Kent. A fund to answer the unclaimed legacies given by the will of the testator, James Underhill.

Williams v. Knight.

Wright v. Lamb. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Mrs. Hewitson, the wife of Joshua Hewitson, subject to duty.

Williams v. Llewellyn.

White v. Countess Dowager of Lincoln, Duke of Newcastle v. Brudenell, and Duke of Newcastle v. Kinderley.

White v. Lupton.

Westbrook v. McKie, and Westbrook v. Chauntler. The Rendezvous Bay Estate account.

Ward v. Morris.

Wilson v. Moore. The account of the representatives of Jean Tucker Crawford, deceased.

Wheelwright v. Massey.

Whittal v. Morgan.

Williams v. Marsden.

Wickliffe v. Mose. In Master Eld's office.

Willes v. Morgan. In Master Wilmot's office.

Wilkinson v. Moline.

Wilkin v. Nainby.

Wagstaff v. Nicholls. In Master Thomas Bennett's office.

Williamson v. Naylor.

In the Matter of the trusts of the Woking Commoners' Act, 1854, so far as relates to the sum of £20 3s. 6d., awarded thereunder in respect of lands and hereditaments.

Ex parte the petitioners, Mary Wood, William Martin Carter, Joseph Wood, and Philip Pearce. The account of the infant George Wordsworth.

In the matter of the trusts of the legacies to Eleanor Woodward, Philip Coultman, and Francis Nicholson, under the will of Dennet Milton Woodward.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Woodyatt, deceased. The account of Cornelius John Jones, a Seaman.

Andrew Mackason Woolhouse, a person of unsound mind. The real estate account.

Ex parte the Worcester and Hereford Railway Company. The account of Ann Williams.

Whitcomb v. Onslow.

Wood v. Ordish.

Wright v. Parkinson. The devised estates of Edward Wright, deceased.

Wynne v. Price. The account of Hester Wainman, the annuitant.

Wynne v. Price. The account of Elizabeth Wynne, the annuitant.

Wynne v. Price. The account of Elizabeth Williams, the annuitant.

Wynne v. Price. The account of Mary Williams.

Winter v. Pulteney.

Wigan v. Purnell.

William v. Price.

Woodforde v. Partridge, and Woodforde v. Moore.

Whitcher v. Penley. The account of the infant plaintiffs, Elizabeth Catherine Astor, Sarah Astor, Katherine Astor, Esther Astor, Mary Astor, and John Jacob Astor.

Ward v. Purvis.

Charles Wright, an infant legatee.

In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of the 8th day of July, 1836, as regards the share of Charles Edward Wright in the proceeds arising from a policy of assurance on the life of Beeston Wright.

Edward Ommaney Wrench, of Chester, Esq.

Wake v. Ridge.

Willis v. Routledge.

Warwick v. Richardson, Clark v. Sewell and others, Clarke and another v. Sewell and others, and Clarke and another v. Sewell and others.

Westfield v. Skipworth, Jones v. Skipworth and Jones v. Skipworth.

Waldo v. Secker.

Wrentmore v. Scudamore.

Wright v. Sandford.

Wright v. Samuda.

Walkins v. Schneider.

Wilson v. Squire.

White v. Scoffold.

Woodhouse v. Smith. The account of the plaintiffs.

Woodhouse v. Smith. The account of the plaintiff, Fanny Marion Woodhouse, and those contingently entitled in the event of her dying under 21, and unmarried, subject to legacy duty.

Woodcock v. Tarbuck. Funds reserved to meet the defendants costs (if any) of this suit.

Watson v. Thomson.

Waters v. Taylor. The general creditor's account.

Wood v. Taylor and Wood v. Lord.

Woodcock v. Tarbuck.

Warburton v. Vaughan.

Watts v. Vacher.

Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Fosberry, Walcott v. Euraght, Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Walcott, and Walcott v. Bridges. The Emmerson legacy duty account.

Williams v. Williams. The timber account.

Wade v. Wade. Thomas Troughton, the infants' account.

Ward v. Walker.

Joseph Septimus Ward v. John Ward and others.

Warner v. Warner. The account of the life interest descended to the plaintiff.

Webster v. Webster. The account of the legacy given to James David Webster Greenhill.

Weyland v. Weyland. The defendant Ann Penny's annuity account.

Stephen White and others v. Betty White and others. The account of the defendant, Elizabeth Seymour.

Wyatt v. Wilkins.

Winter v. Winter.

Warren v. Whitworth.

Ward v. Whitchurch, on account of the debts and legacies which are contingent. In Master Kinaston's office.

Whitley v. Watson.

Wren v. Wren.

Webster v. Webster.

Webster v. Webster. Thomas Webster account.

Walker v. Wright.

Warburton v. Wych. In Master Lane's office.

Western v. Williams.

Wynch v. Wynch. In Master Wilmot's office.

Whytel v. Whytel.

Walker v. Wingfield.

Ward v. Ward, and Ward v. Ward.

Williams v. Wace.

Wickens v. Wickens.

Woodward v. Woodward.

Walcott v. Walcott.

Wintle v. Wemyss. The real estate account.

Wroughton v. Wroughton, and Wroughton v. Anderson. The plate and picture account.

William Lister Wymond, an infant.

In the matter of the vicar of Wymering.

Ex parte the Yarmouth and Haddiscoe Railway Company. In the matter of the Yarmouth and Haddiscoe Railway Act, 1856.

Yellowley v. Burgh.

Yea v. Trere, and Bowerbank v. Pickering. Rents and profits and produce of the trust estate.

Yerbury v. Head. Thomas Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Jemima Elizabeth Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Elizabeth Sarah Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Rachael Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Sarah Goldsborough's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Eleanor Yerbury's annuity account.

Youde v. Jones.

Young v. Murray.

Yule v. Morrison.

The Duke of York v. Duke of Newcastle.

Ex parte the York and Newcastle Railway Company. The account of Samuel Chapman.

Ex parte the York, Newcastle, and Berwick Railway Company. The account of William Smith.

Ex parte the York, Newcastle, and Berwick Railway Company.

Ex parte the York, Newcastle, and Berwick Railway Company. In the matter of the York, Newcastle, and Berwick Railways Act, 1847.

Yates v. Rawlins. The account of shareholders who have not come in to substantiate their claims.

