```
Allen v. Liveing.
```

Aquilar v. Lousada. The account of the fund under the will of Sarah Lopes Terres.

Attorney-General v. Martin. The annuitant's account.

Ashton v. Mompesson.

Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Gray, John Gray, James

Gray, and Isabel Gray, or their representatives.

Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Alexander the younger, Bethia Alexander, Mary Anne Alexander, Christiana Alexander, Jane Alexander, Robert Alexander, Isabel Alexander, and Joanna Alexander, Isabel Alexander, and Joanna Alexander (in the bill called John Alexander), or their representatives.

Astley v. Mawdesley. Adams v. Massey.

Ashe v. Montague. The account of the personal estate of the testator, James Montague.

Ex parte the purchasers of part of the settled estates of Thomas William, Viscount Anson.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Anthony, deceased. The account of Thomas Impleton.

Andrews v. Newdigate The personal estate. Attorney-General v. Newsom.

Applegath v. Pelly.

Adams v. Pinnell.

Attorney-General v. Pleydell.

Alker v. Pendlebury, and Alker v. Yates.

Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of Nancy
Fackson, deceased, subject to legacy duty.

Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of the defend-

ant, Isaac Haley, subject to legacy duty.

Arnsby v. Parsons, Feversham v. Parsons, and Feversham v. Loweth. The account of the leaschold estates. Amhurst v. Roberts.

Attorney-General v. Reese.

Catherine Josephine Armstrong, a person of unsound mind.

Attorney-General v. Speed.

Attorney-General v. Solicitor-General...

Attorney-General (at the relation of the Revd. Thomas Lancaster and others) v. Smith and others.

In the matter of the trusts of the persons entitled as the personal representatives of Elizabeth Audibert, widow, deceased, intestate, to a sum Lof ninety-two pounds ten shillings and ten pence.
Ashwin v. Williams and others.

. Arrowsmith v. Wetherell. The account of John Robson, a person of unsound mind, subject to

Attorney-General v. the Governors of the Free . Grammar School of Edward Wilson, Clerk, in Camberwell, otherwise Camerwell, in the county - 300° of Surrey.

.. Alsop v., Wood. .. Thomas Bowley the younger. Attorney-General v. Watkins.

Alexander George Buchanan Bax, an infant. James Bavin, a person of unsound mind. The , real estate account.

MJoseph Barlow, absent beyond seas.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Susannah Ballard, deceased.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of Thomas Barrett, late of Lee Priory, in the parish of Ickham, in the county of Kent, Esq., e deceased. Miller Stranger &

Catherine Battaglia, Widow, a person of unsound

mind.

An the matter of the trusts of the will of John mgBafber deceased s as a second second

In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Barton, deceased, so far as regards the shares of James Barton and John Barton, sons of the testator's son, Joshua Barton, deceased, in the clear proceeds of the investments the interest whereof was directed to be paid to the testator's daughter, Sarah Webster, for her life.

Booth v. Alington.

Brewin v. Austin, and Brewin v. Scott. The legatees' account. Blaney v. Arnold.

Boulter v. Allen.

Bourdillon v. Allaire.

Butter v. Basnett. Sarah Wallen, her account.

Bishop v. Baker.

Barker v. Barker. The infant children of Peter Henry Barker.

Boys v. Barker.

Bayley v. Bayley. The entailed estate of the plaintiff, James Bayley.

Basan v. Brandon, and Basan v. Brandon. account of the Mulatta Betsy.

Brett v. Beckwith. The personal estate of the testator, George Wooler Beckwith, deceased. Bown v. Bown.

Bowater v. Burdett, and Rigge v. Bowater.

Barker v. Barker. Rents and profits of the testator's real estates.

Bayning v. Bayning, and Bayning v. Bayning.

Bowden v. Bayly.

Bowden v. Bayly. The account of unpaid claimants entitled to £100 each.

Jane Maria Baker and others v. Sir George Baker, Bart., and another. The contingent account of the plaintiff, Henry Cooper Baker.

Brocas v. Barker.

Betus v. Berionde.

Bennett v. Bennett.

Bowman v. Bell. The account of the personal estate of the testator, John Bowman, deceased.

Bickley v. Brice, and Bickley v. Olond. Birch v. Birch.

Bell v. Bishop.

Bowman v. Bowman. The separate account of the infant plaintiff.

Bozon v. Bolland, and Husband v. Bolland.

Brown v. Brown. 1857, B., 181.

Bosenburg v. Burk. Burgoyne v. Burgoyne. In Master Groves' office.

Burrell v. Burrell.

Bowles v. Bruce. The separate legacy account of Elizabeth McBean.

Buxton v. Buxton, and Buxton and others v. Buxton and others.

Bishop v. Burton.

Bellamy v. Brydges.

Billingham v. Baseley.

Benn v. Benn.

Butts v. Binks.

Bennett v. Biddles, and Bennett v. Clarke. account of the annuitants.

Butler v. Butler.

Bentley v. Craven. . Contingent claims against the partnership.

Bedell v. Crank.

Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the defendant, John Crosland, and his children.

Bryan v. Collins. The accumulated account.

Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the plaintiff, Sarah Birch, and her children.

and the control of the control of the

Bassett v. Clapham.

Burton v. Clarke.

Booker v. Clarke.

Light of the second of the second August of the second of Bolas v. Corbett. Brass v. Cook. Attornay-Conern v. Jemoy.