Bone v. Cooke. The account of the next-of-kin of Sarah Goodyer, deceased.

Butcher v. Churchill.

Buckley v. Cooke. The account of the children and issue of Richard Buckley, deceased.

Bodens v. Dod.

Baker v. Delaval.

Barry v. Lord Dacre.

Barks v. Denshire. Bowman v. Dobson.

Brooks v. De Burgh.

Boulter v. Viscountess Dungarvon, and Dixon v. Viscountess Dungarvon.

Beaman v. Dod. The account of the defendant, James Grice.

Louisa Mary Bevan, Emily Beckford Bevan, Charles James Bevan, and Henry Close Bevan,

infant legatees.

In the matter of the trusts declared by the will of Lydia Bennett, late of Crutched Friars, in the city of London, Spinster, deceased, for the benefit of the children of her cousin, William Hollins, formerly of Hucknall-under-Huthwaite, in the county of Nottingham, and afterwards of

The Berks and Hants Railway Company. The account of the Berks and Hants Railway Act,

In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Bear. The account of Charles Bear's legacy.

In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made. on the marriage of Mr. and Mrs. Beresford, both deceased.

Berrington v. Evans.

Berrington v. Evans. The account of Elizabeth Watkins.

Brooke v. Elliott. The account of the share of the defendant, Charles Hunter, subject to duty.

Bariff v. Footman. The defendant, Richard Ray, deceased.

Bothomley v. Lord Fairfax.

Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn. The children and widow of the testator's brother, Lewis Moore, their account.

Bendy v. Firth.

Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn.

Brown v. Forbes, and Brown v. Brown.

Bagster v. Fackerell. The schooling and apprenticeship fund.

Badeley v. Garrow.

The legatees' account. Brown v. George.

Becke v. Gibson. Thomas Mawmell's account.

Becke v. Gibson. The schoolmaster of Heighington's account.

Boothby v. Groves. Bond v. Graham.

Brooke v. Gulston. Caroline Colmore's account.

Bowring v. Greenwood.

Bleadon v. Haynes, and Haynes v. Bleadon. plough, furniture, stock, and effects account.

Bourne v. Hartley.

Baker v. Hordley, Baker v. Hordley, and Baker v. Hordley. (3 causes.)
Brandling v. Humble. The creditors' account.

Bolton v. Hopkins.

Binns v. Holroyd, and Binns v. Bould. Bagster v. Hume. The creditors' account. Bicknell v. Hughes.

Butterfield v. Humfrey.

Bailey v. Hamond. Blight v. Hammonds. The executors' account.

Brooks v. Hancock.

Browne v. Hyde. Barlow v. Hellear. Beswick v. Hallam. The account of the debt claimed to be due to John Daniel Burton.

Bilton v. Harland.

Bourne v. Hartley. The indemnity account of the defendants, James Allen and Maurice Hartland Mahon, as the executors of the testator, Richard... Bourne.

Brewer v. Hawys.

Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Birmingham Liverpool Junction Canal Navigation. The account of the trustee under the will of John Spencer, deceased.

Ex parte the Birmingham and Derby Junction Railway Company. The account of James Willson, the tenant for life and others.

Ex parte the Birkenhead, Lancashire, and Cheshire Junction Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Dr. Oldfield's charity, in the city of Chester. in the city of Chester. .

Ex parte the Birkenhead, Lancashire, and Cheshire Junction Railway. Company. The

account of Maria Pratchett, widows. Railway Company. The account of John Fetherston, John Osborn, and James Bradbury, being the committee appointed on behalf of the Commissioners of Horbury Common. w

Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Birmingham. The account of William Richard Whitmore, the Rev. John. Davies, and Edward Tilsley Moore.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of John: m mer er feld Bibby, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Bibwell, deceased. The legacy and share of residue given and bequeathed to John Bibwell by the will of Sarah Bibwell.

In the matter of the trusts of Birch's settlement for the benefit of George Thomas Gray, a person of unsound mind, a son of Mary Gray, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Birch, deceased.

Elizabeth Ann Biggs, an infant. The savings account.

Brown v. Jones. The account of rents of the leasehold in Dunk and Halifax streets.

Brandwood v. Johnson. The account of Solomon

Burke v. Jones. The account of moneys arising from the sale of the English estates of Andrew Robinson Bowes, Esq., deceased.

Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Prosser, Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Boughton and Boughton v. Tilsley. The account of William: Henry Prosser, an infant.

Burgis v. Jackson.

Bolney v. Kealey.

Bruce v. Kinlock. The creditors account. Bourne v. Lord Kilmorrey.

Bourgeois v. Lankshear.

Baron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaird. Back v. Kett. The account of the estate of the testator, Thomas Back.

Ex parte the purchasers of the settled estates of ... Samuel Blunt, Esq.

Ex parte a projected undertaking for authorising the Blackburn Railway Company to make and maintain extensions of their railway, and for regulating the capital of the Company, and forother purposes.

In the matter of the trust of the legacy of one hundred pounds in the will of Susannah Bloss deceased, dated the seventeenth January, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-two, expressed to be given to Eliza Smith.

In the Matter of the estate of George Blake, of Toxteth Park, near Liverpool, in the county of Lancaster, Gentleman, deceased, and Neale versewart. The interest account of George Blaker Oughterson's contingent legacy. ุ มหารที่ใ