- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of Jane, the wife of George Manning, for her separate use. In the matter of the trusts of Herdman's settlements. Hewitt v. Ellis. Hinton v. Eddowes. In Master Allen's office. Hall v. Ellins. Hance v. Esdaile. Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy of **John Owtram.** Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy to Francis Heartley. Horsley v. Fawcett. Hunt v. Franke. Hall v. Grey. Hawksley v. Gowan. Haly v. Goodson. Hughes v. Goulburn. Hutchison v. Goforth. Hudson v. Garstin. Hatton v. Gardner. The timber account. Hooper v. Goodwin. The general account of the personal estate of the testator. Haye v. Haye. Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office. Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office. The account of Mary Collier or her children. Harrison v. Harrison. In Master Cross's office. Harrison v. Harrison, and Lovell v. Harrison. The account of the petitioners. Hibbert v. Hibbert. The legacy account of the testator's children. Hill v. Hill. The account of the real estate. Hawkins v. Hards. Harvey v. Harvey. The real estate. Harding v. Harding. The account of the defendant, Samuel Harding, the infant. Harmer v. Harris. The account of Elizabeth Woodhouse. Hayes v. Hare. Hill v. Hanbury. Hunt v. Hunt. The encumbered estates. Horton v. Horton. The account of the testator's personal estate. Hutton v. Hutton. Hirst v. Hutchinson. Hawkins v. Hamerton. The account of the share of Charles Hamerton Killick, deceased, in the residuary estate of Charles Hamerton, the testator. Hall v. Hall. Mrs. Brandon's costs account. Hancox v. Hancox, Hancox v. Harrison, Hancox v. Fisher, and Hancox v. Poole. The account of the shares of Thomas Hancox and Mary Ann Hancox, subject to costs. Horrocks v. Horrocks. The account of Mary Hill, formerly Mary Still, Spinster, subject to duty. Humphreys v. Jones. Aaron Bywater the annuitant's account. Hooper v. Jewell. In Master Pratt's office. Haggitt v. Iniff. The account of George Potts and Margaret, his wife. Heritage v. Key. The account of the defendant, William Longman. Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Holland, Hughes v. Finch, Holland v. Lipscombe, Holland v. Lipscombe, Holland v. Garland, and Overton v. Garland. Hatch v. Lee, and Hatch v. Lee. The account of
- the legal assets. Hunt v. Lacey. In Master Eld's office.
- Horner v. Leckie.
- Hayward v. Lewis.
- Hurd v. Law. Howell v. Morshead.

- Hole v. Mallett. The account of the testator Francis Hole's personal estate.
- Hole v. Mallet. The account of the defendant, the infant Alfred Robert Hole.
- Holt v. Murray. The subsequent account.
- Homewood v. Mayhew. The plaintiff, Ann Homewood, and her children, their account.

Hall v. Maude, and Hall v. Maude.

- Harrison v. Mansel. The account of George Cooch.
- Hopkins v. Marsh. The defendant Berrington Marsh's account.
- Harrison v. Mansel. The account of Margaret Phillips.

Hewitt v. May.

- The account of the de-Handley v. Metcalfe. fendant, Frederick Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
- The account of Edwin Handley v. Metcalfe. Thomas Handley, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
- Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of Alfred Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
- Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of the plaintiff, Edward Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.

Hicks v. Nott. The account of John Mott.

- In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of  $\pounds 13,000$ sterling, bequeathed by the will of Ann Hodges, late of No. 16, Bedford-place, Russell-square, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, deceased. The account of the share of Arthur Richard Oliver, son of George Oliver and Elizabeth Sarah Oliver, deceased, in the £3000 bequeathed by the codicil of the will of the testatrix, Ann Hodges, dated the 8th day of May, 1856, con-tingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Hoskins, Widow, deceased, Sarah Ann Desormeaux's share.
- In the matter of the trusts of Phœby Hodgskin, or other party or parties interested in or entitled to a legacy of  $\pounds 50$  bequeathed by the will of John Burgess Meecham.
- In the matter of the trust of James Holmes and George Lowth.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Holland, formerly of Whitchurch, in the county of Salop, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Howland, otherwise Thomas Holden, one of the next-ofkin of Charles Lace, late of Heswell, in the county of Chester, Gentleman, deceased.

Hughes v. Owens. In Master Pepy's office.

Hardy v. Oyston.

Heyden v. Owen. The account of the seamen belonging to His Majesty's ships Decade and Argonaut.

Higgins v. Pettman.

Hodder v. Pickman. The account of Thomas Cazeneuve Troy, deceased.

Hayton v. Price.

Hayton v. Price, and McCullum v. Hayton.

- Hulme v. Poore. The defendant, Sarah Holloway, late Sarah Leeke, her account.
- Hall v. Penton. The defendant's, the infants, account.
- Hill v. Price. The account of the intestate, George Hill's, personal estate.
- v. Pulley. Matthew Pugh's legacy Horton account.

Harding v. Quin.

Hounsum v. Roebuck.

Hall v. the Company of Proprietors of the Regent's Canal.