Ex parte the Saint Katharine Dock Company.

Keen v. Aston. In Master Ord's office. Knox v. Allan. The account of the infant plaintiff, William Knox Allan and Ann Knox Allan.

The account of the rents and profits of the real estate of the testator, Edmund John Birch.

King v. Broughton. The account of the bequest to Thomas Hare under the will of Ann Hare. Knight v. Cox. The equitable assets account.

Kinaston v. Clerke.

Lord Kinnaird v. Christie.

In the matter of Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Betty Hayter and others of the £900 legacy.

In the matter of Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Ambrose Phillips of the £900 legacy.

Kishere v. Fitzgerald, Shipley v. Fitzgerald, and Penvold v. Fitzgerald.

Kirby v. Falkener. The account of the unclaimed legacy of Sebastian Nash de Brissac.

Kemball v. Tyson.

Knowles v. Greenhalgh. The creditors' fund account.

Knight v. Griffith.

Kingsmill v. Hulbert. Moneys arising from surplus dividends.

Kilvington v. Harrison. The defendant Catherine Kettlewell's account.

Ex parte Edward Kirby, the purchaser.

Mary King, a lunatic.

Elizabeth Ann King, a minor.

Kiy v. Kiy. . The account of the proceeds of the sale of the testator's freehold messuage and premises in the parish of St. Mary-the-Less.

Kynaston v. Kynaston.

Knight v. Knight. The account of the produce of the testator's real estate.

The Company of Proprietors of the Saint Katharine Docks in the city of London v. Montygue.

Kirkman v. Mister.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estate or estates of Sir Charles Knightley.

In the matter of the trust estate of Metta Koester, deceased, intestate.

Kennion v. Parke. Knapp v. Pollock.

Knight v. Earl of Plymouth. The general

Kekewich v. Radcliffe. The account of Richard Preston's purchase-money.

Kirkland v. Reid. The account of Alexander McIver.

Kirkland v. Reid. The account of Ann McIver, the legatee.

Kirby v Sissons.

Kennett v. Stubbs. John Bernard Kennett, a lunatic, and the defendant, Elizabeth Kennett, his wife, their account.

King's College v. Spooner.

Bury Railway Act, 1845.

Killick v. Smart, and Smart v. Smart.

Kennett v. Willis. Kings v. Worts.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Langham, late of Southampton, Butcher, deceased. The account of Henry Langham.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Langham, late of Southampton, Butcher, de-The account of George Langham. ceased.

Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. The account of Henry Thackeray. Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Liverpool and Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester and Leeds Railway, 1836, the Liverpool and Bury and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, the Liverpool and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, and the Manchester and Leeds Railway Act, No. 3, 1847.

Leman v. Ash.

Linders v. Anstey. The settlement account. Lushington v. Austen. The account of the defendant, Thomas Lushington.

Lake v. Bartholmew.

Liversedge v. Boothroyd.

Linnett v. Butterfield, and Seabrook v. Gibbon. The account of Christopher Knott Williamson, the widow of Charles Williamson.

Lee v. Bell. The account of the defendants. Lake v. Belk, and Lake v. Forrest. The account of Mary Roberts.

Lawson v. Barton.

Lloyd v. Branton. The account of the defendant, Christopher Alderson Alderson, late Christopher Alderson Lloyd.

Lough v. Clark. The account of James Benjamin

Wood, an infant.

Lawrence v. Cruwys. The account of interest.

Linwood v. Colley.

Lyon v. Duke of Chandos. In Master Spicer's office.

Lucas v. Calcraft, Calcraft v. Calcraft. Unsatisfied creditors of the testator, John Calcraft.

Leyburn v. Cummings.

Lambton v. Davidson, and Lambton v. Fletcher. The account of the late plaintiff, Eliza Lambton. Lyon v. Deane. Ellen Williamson's account. Lorenza v. De Meza.

Loy v. Duckett. The account of the fifteen shares belonging to the estate of Edward West.

Ex parte William Lea's Charity.

William Lee, a person of unsound mind. The surplus income.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Lee, of Old Weston, in the county of Hunting-The account of Theresa Moore, don, deceased. therein named.

Ex parte the Leeds and Bradford Railway Company.

Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the Lincolnshire estates of Francis, Lord Le Despencer. The account of John Lee and Edward Heming-

Lloyd v. Edington.

Lock v. Foote. The account of the personal.

Lintott v. Footner, and Lintott v. Footner.

Littleshales v. Gascoyne. The account of interest. Lucas v. Greenwood. The plaintiff, Susannah Lucas, the infant's account.

Leach v. Hardy.

Lockhart v. Hardy, Thomas v. Hardy, Newman v. Hardy, and Hardy v. Lockhart. The legacy of Emma Blower, the wife of Robert Blower.

Lane v. Hobbs.

Lewis v. Hooper.

Leigh v. Hunter.

Lane and another v. Hardwicke and others. Lane v. Hobbs. The account of Charles Meads. Le Davids v. Horton.

Lane v. Hobbs. The account of the children of Mary Cudmore, Widow, deceased.

Lane v. Hollings and Lane v. Hollings. separate account of Joseph Stonier, administrator of Mary Ann Hardwick, his late wife, subject to duty.

Low v. Halden. The account of the defendants, Richard Halden and Elizabeth, his wife.

Lomax v. Holmden, and Holmden v. Lomax.