

No. in Order of Merit.	Name.	Locality of Examination.
7	Keane, Arthur Michael ...	London
8	Pettman, Horace ...	London
9	Campbell, George ...	London
10	Bouts, Clifton ...	London
11	Currie, Harry Charles Watson	London
12	Martin, James William ...	London
13	Maginnis, Charles ...	Dublin
14	Pinder, Bernard Edgar ...	London
15	Gundry, Albert Edward ...	London
16	Jones, Francis Herbert ...	London
17	Phelan, James Joseph ...	Dublin
18	Stonham, Edwin Earle ...	London
19	Beavis, Arthur Beagley ...	London
20	Eves, Alfred John... ..	London
21	Talbot, Alfred Barrie ...	London
22	Smith, Frederick Clifton George	London
23	Blow, Robert Clement ...	London
24	Verdier, James William ...	London
25	Clayton, Ebenezer George	London
26	Dunne, Martin Andrew ...	London
27	Cheatle, John ...	London
28	Hinchley, George Robert... ..	London
29	Hogg, David Alexander ...	London
30	Gilbert, William Richard ...	London
31	Higgin, Charles Stewart Barton	Edinburgh
32	Drakes, Arthur Joseph ...	London
33	Anderson, Frederick William	Dublin
34	Codd, William Herbert ...	London
35	Berridge, Henry Winchester	London
36	Stead, William Eustace ...	London
37	Leckenby, John Percy ...	London
38	Davey, Alfred ...	London
39	Hodgin, Richard Western	London
40	Holloway, Frank James ...	London
41	Bond, Alfred ...	London
42	Barker, Edward Henry ...	London
43	Edwards, Arthur Colson ...	London
44	Grant, Herbert Sidney ...	London
45	Easton, Henry James Ernest	London
46	Califano, Antonia Edward	London
47	Jackson, William Natal ...	London
48	Morris, Isaac Præd ...	London
49	Crampton, Walter Robert	Dublin
50	Lewis, Arthur Edward ...	London
51	Hayden, John William ...	London
52	Denham, Harry Barton ...	London
53	Wilson, William Major ...	London
54	Burley, George Andrew ...	London

WE, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, acting in pursuance of the Act of the twenty-ninth and thirtieth years of Her Majesty, chapter one hundred and eleven, sections five and eleven, do hereby grant and appropriate out of our common fund to the vicarage of Saint Matthew, City-road, in the county of Middlesex, and in the diocese of London, one capital sum of forty-five pounds sterling, to be applicable towards defraying the cost of executing certain works at the parsonage or house of residence belonging to the said vicarage, such capital sum, or the balance thereof unapplied to such purpose, to remain in the meantime in our hands at interest after the rate of three pounds per centum per annum, and such interest to be paid to the Incum-

No. 25251.

B

bent for the time being of the said vicarage of Saint Matthew, City-road.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our common seal, this twelfth day of July, in the year one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three.

(L.S.)

WE, whose names are hereunder written, having been sworn this eleventh day of July, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three, before the Queen's Remembrancer, at Goldsmiths' Hall, in the city of London, have made the Assays and Trials of Her Majesty's Gold and Silver Coins in the Pyx of the Mint, and which, according to accounts produced by the Officers of the Mint, were coined in the said Mint from the first day of July, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two, to the thirtieth day of June, one thousand eight hundred and eighty-three, both days inclusive. We ascertained that the number of coins, both of gold and silver, in each packet produced to us, corresponded with the number which the Officers of the Mint represented it to contain, and we took a coin or coins from each of such packets of Gold coins, making altogether fifteen half-sovereigns or ten shilling pieces, and we weighed each of the said coins separately, so as to ascertain whether they were within the remedy prescribed in the First Schedule of the Coinage Act, 1870. We found that there was no variation from the standard of weight specified in the said First Schedule of the said Act, on the whole of such coins. We then melted the said Gold coins so taken out and weighed, into an ingot, and assayed such ingot, comparing it with the Standard Gold Trial Plate produced by the Board of Trade, so as to ascertain whether the metal was within the remedy as to fineness prescribed in the said First Schedule to the said Act, and we found that the amount of variation thereof from the Standard of Fineness specified in the said First Schedule to the said Act was minus one-tenth thousandth (or .0001) and, therefore, that the said metal was within the prescribed remedy as to fineness. We weighed the residue of the said Gold coins in bulk, and we ascertained that they were within the remedy as to weight. We then took from each residue five half-sovereigns, and weighed and assayed them separately, and we found that such half-sovereigns weighed respectively,—the first 61.653, the second 61.638, the third 61.641, the fourth 61.635, and the fifth 61.633. We then assayed the said five half-sovereigns separately, we found the millesimal fineness of such half-sovereigns to be 916.4, 916.6, 916.4, 916.6, 916.5 respectively. We also took a coin or coins from each of such packets of Silver coins, making altogether eleven half-crowns, ten florins, eighteen shillings, eleven sixpences, one fourpenny piece, ten threepenny pieces, one twopenny piece, and one penny piece, and weighed each of the said Silver coins separately, so as to ascertain whether they were within the remedy of the said First Schedule of the said Coinage Act, 1870. We found that there was no variation from the Standard of Weight specified in the said First Schedule of the said Act on the whole of such coins. We then melted the said Silver coins, so taken out and weighed, into an ingot, and assayed such ingot, comparing it with the Standard Silver Trial Plate produced by the Board of Trade, so as to ascertain whether the metal was within the remedy as to fineness prescribed in the said First Schedule to the said Act, and we found that the amount of variation from the Standard of Fineness