

me that the disunion of the united benefice consisting of the rectory of Bradfield Saint George with the rectory of Rushbrooke both in the county of Suffolk within my diocese of Ely by the separation of the said rectory of Bradfield Saint George from the said rectory of Rushbrooke may be made with advantage to the interests of religion."

And whereas on the twentieth day of June one thousand eight hundred and ninety-five the said Archbishop inquired into the circumstances of the case.

And whereas on the fourteenth day of November one thousand eight hundred and ninety-five the said Archbishop certified to Her Majesty in Council as follows, that is to say:—

"We the undersigned Edward White Archbishop of the Province of Canterbury Primate of All England and Metropolitan do hereby certify to Your Majesty in Council:

"That the Right Reverend Alwyne Lord Bishop of Ely as Bishop of the diocese within which is situate the united benefice consisting of the rectory of Bradfield Saint George with the rectory of Rushbrooke both in the county of Suffolk having represented unto us that the disunion of the united benefice by the separation of the said rectory of Bradfield Saint George from the said rectory of Rushbrooke might be made with advantage to the interests of religion we enquired into the circumstances of the case.

"That on such inquiry it appeared to us that such union might be usefully dissolved and that the Right Honourable Frederick William John Marquess of Bristol being the patron or person entitled to present to the said united benefice if the same were now vacant consents to the proposed disunion.

"That six weeks and upwards before certifying such inquiry and consent to Your Majesty in Council we caused a copy in writing of the aforesaid representation of the said Lord Bishop to be affixed on the principal outer door of each of the parish churches of the said united benefice with notice to any person or persons interested that he she or they might within such six weeks show cause in writing under his her or their hand or hands to us the said Archbishop against such disunion and no sufficient cause has been shown.

"The representation of the said Lord Bishop of Ely, our inquiry into the circumstances of the case the reply thereto, the consent in writing of the patron and a copy of the representation and notice before mentioned are hereunto annexed.

"And we do hereby certify the inquiry and consent aforesaid to Your Majesty in Council to the intent that Your Majesty in Council may in case Your Majesty in Council shall think fit so to do make and issue an Order for separating the said rectory of Bradfield Saint George from the rectory of Rushbrooke and in accordance with the terms of the consent of the patron above referred to we recommend that each benefice shall retain its own original endowments and emoluments and bear its own charges and outgoings."

Now therefore Her Majesty in Council by and with the advice of Her said Council is pleased to order, as it is hereby ordered that the said united benefice consisting of the rectory of Bradfield Saint George and the rectory of Rushbrooke shall be disunited by separating the said rectory of Bradfield Saint George from the said rectory of Rushbrooke.

And Her Majesty in Council by and with the advice of Her said Council is further pleased on the recommendation of the said Archbishop with

the consent of the patron of the said benefices to assign and attach to each of such benefices respectively, its own original endowments and emoluments, and to direct that each benefice shall bear its own charges and outgoings.

C. L. Peel.

AT the Court at Windsor, the 12th day of December, 1895.

PRESENT,

The QUEEN'S Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the eighth and ninth years of Her Majesty, chapter seventy; of the Act of the fourteenth and fifteenth years of Her Majesty, chapter ninety-seven; of the Act of the nineteenth and twentieth years of Her Majesty, chapter fifty-five; of the Act of the twenty-ninth and thirtieth years of Her Majesty chapter eighty-six and of the Act of the thirty-fourth and thirty-fifth years of Her Majesty, chapter eighty-two; duly prepared and laid before Her Majesty in Council a representation, bearing date the fifth day of December, in the year one thousand eight hundred and ninety-five, in the words and figures following; that is to say:—

"We the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England in pursuance of the Act of the eighth and ninth years of Your Majesty, chapter seventy, of the Act of the fourteenth and fifteenth years of Your Majesty, chapter ninety-seven, of the Act of the nineteenth and twentieth years of Your Majesty chapter fifty-five, of the Act of the twenty-ninth and thirtieth years of Your Majesty, chapter eighty-six and of the Act of the thirty-fourth and thirty-fifth years of Your Majesty, chapter eighty-two have prepared, and now humbly lay before Your Majesty in Council the following representation as to the assignment of a consolidated chapelry to the consecrated church of Saint Andrew, Dearnley, situate in the new parish (sometime chapelry district) of Saint John, Small Bridge within the original limits of the parish of Rochdale, in the county of Lancaster and in the diocese of Manchester.

"Whereas at certain extremities of the said new parish of Saint John, Small Bridge and of the parochial chapelry of Littleborough also within the original limits of the said parish of Rochdale, and in the county and diocese aforesaid, which said extremities lie contiguous one to another, and are described in the schedule hereunder written, there is collected together a population which is situate at a distance from the several churches of such new parish and of such parochial chapelry respectively.

"And whereas it appears to us to be expedient that certain contiguous portions (being the portions containing the population aforesaid) of the said new parish of Saint John, Small Bridge and of the said parochial chapelry of Littleborough should be formed into a consolidated chapelry for all ecclesiastical purposes, and that the same should be assigned to the said church of Saint Andrew, Dearnley, situate as aforesaid.

"Now therefore, with the consent of the Right Reverend James Bishop of the said diocese of Manchester as such Bishop, and also as the patron, in right of his See, of the vicarage of the said new parish of Saint John, Small Bridge, and with the consent of the Venerable James Maurice Wilson Archdeacon of Manchester, the vicar or incumbent of the vicarage of the said parish of Rochdale, and as such vicar or incumbent, the patron of the vicarage of the said paro-