## ORDERS MADE ON APPLICATIONS FOR DISCHARGE.

Debtor's Name.	Address.	Description.	Court,	No.	Date of Order.	Nature of Order made.	Grounds named in Order for refusing an Ausolute Order of Discharge.
Arrobus, Sidney	32, Beech-street, Barbican, in the county of London, lately trading at 10A, Bridgewater-square, in the city of London, and residing at 9, Hungerford-road, Holloway, in the county of London	Fancy Stove Ornament Maker	High Court of Justice in Bankruptey	1356 of 1892	Mar. 21, 1900	Discharge suspended for two years. Bankrupt to be discharged as from 21st March, 1902	Bankrupt's assets are not of a value equal to 10s. in the pound on the amount of his unsecured liabilities; and that he had omitted to keep such books of account as are usual and proper in the business carried on by him, and as sufficiently disclose his business transactions and financial position within the three years immediately preceding his bankruptcy
Mayall, Derbyshire	Trading at 85 and 87, Dickinson - street, Man- chester, and residing at Beechfield, 59, Harriett- street, Stretford, in the county of Lancaster	Chemical Merchant and Drysalter	Manchester	57 of 1897	Mar. 9, 1900	Discharge refused	Bankrupt within four months next before the presentation of a bankruptcy petition by him (be being a trader) pawned, pledged, or disposed of otherwise than in the ordinary way of his trade, property which he obtained on credit, and had not paid for; that his assets were not of a value equal to 10s, in the pound on the amount of his unsecured liabilities, in fact, were little more than nominal, and that this arose from circumstances for which he must be held responsible; his books of account did not sufficiently disclose his business transactions and financial position within
	D						the three years immediately preceding his his bankruptcy; had continued to trade after knowing himself to be insolvent, namely, from the 31st December, 1895, if not from some earlier date; had contracted the whole of the debts provable in his bankruptcy without having, at the respective times of contracting them, reasonable or probable ground of expectation of being able to pay them; had failed to account
							satisfactorily for deficiency of assets to meet his liabilities; had brought on or contributed to his bankruptcy by rash and hazardous speculations and by unjustifiable extravagance in living; and had been guilty of general misconduct in relation to his property and affairs, and particularly in that he carried on business and contracted fresh liabilities in wilful ignorance of his true financial position, and that his conduct during the proceedingsunder his bankruptcy has not been satisfactory