

to be separated from such United Benefice, but in all other respects shall remain in full force and effect, and thenceforward such last-mentioned Benefice or Benefices shall be and be deemed and taken to be a separate and distinct Benefice or Benefices to all intents and purposes whatever as if no such Union had taken place, and the Patron or Patrons thereof shall and may according to the terms of such Order present or nominate thereto respectively, and so, from time to time, upon each and every avoidance of the same: Provided always, that no Benefices which have been united for more than sixty years before the passing of this Act shall be disunited without the consent in writing of the Patron or Patrons thereof:—

And whereas by Section 23 of the said Act it is further enacted that “whenever two or more Benefices which have at any time been united into one Benefice shall be disunited and become separate Benefices under the provisions of this Act, whether the Order for Disunion shall extend to the whole number of Benefices of which such United Benefice consisted or to one or more of such Benefices only, it shall be lawful for His Majesty in Council, on the recommendation of the Archbishop of the Province, with the Consent of the Patron or Patrons of such Benefices respectively, (such Consent to be signified in writing under the hands of such Patron or Patrons) to assign and attach such portion of the Glebe Lands, Tithes, Moduses, Rent-Charges, or other Endowments or Emoluments belonging to or arising or accruing within the limits of such United Benefice to each of such Benefices respectively as to His Majesty in Council shall seem fit notwithstanding such proportion of Glebe Lands, Tithes, Rent-Charges, Moduses, or other Endowments or Emoluments, or any part thereof, may not arise or accrue within the limits of the Benefice to which the same shall be so assigned and attached as aforesaid, or may not have belonged thereto, and also to divide and apportion between such Benefices all such charges and outgoings as before the Disunion thereof were imposed upon the whole United Benefice:—

And whereas the Right Reverend Edwyn, Lord Bishop of Southwell, hath made a Representation in writing dated the 5th day of June, 1916, to the Right Honourable and Most Reverend Randall Thomas, Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, as follows:—

“I, Edwyn, Bishop of Southwell, do hereby represent to Your Grace that it appears to me that the Disunion of the United Benefice consisting of the Vicarage of Ault Hucknall and the Vicarage of Heath, both in the County of Derby, and in the Diocese of Southwell, by the separation of the Vicarage of Ault Hucknall from the Vicarage of Heath, may be made with advantage to the interests of religion. “EDWYN, SOUTHWELL.”

And whereas, on the 6th day of June, 1916, the said Archbishop inquired into the circumstances of the case:

And whereas, on the 7th day of October, 1916, the said Archbishop certified to His Majesty in Council, as follows, that is to say:—

“We, the undersigned, Randall Thomas, Archbishop of the Province of Canterbury, Primate of all England and Metropolitan, do hereby certify to Your Majesty in Council:—

“That the Right Reverend Edwyn, Lord

Bishop of Southwell, as Bishop of the Diocese within which is situate the United Benefice consisting of the Vicarage of Ault Hucknall and the Vicarage of Heath, both in the County of Derby, having represented unto us that the Disunion of the said United Benefice by the separation of the Vicarage of Ault Hucknall from the Vicarage of Heath may be made with advantage to the interests of religion, we inquired into the circumstances of the case:

“That on such Inquiry it appeared to us that such Union might be usefully dissolved, and that the Most Noble Victor Christian William, Duke of Devonshire, K.G., being the Patron or Person entitled to present to the said United Benefice if the same was now vacant, consents to the proposed Disunion:

“That six weeks and upwards before certifying such Inquiry and Consent to Your Majesty in Council we caused a Copy in writing of the aforesaid Representation of the said Lord Bishop to be affixed on the principal outer door of each of the Parish Churches of the said United Benefice, with notice to any person or persons interested that he, she, or they might, within such six weeks, show cause in writing under his, her, or their hand or hands to us, the said Archbishop, against such Disunion, and no such cause has been shown:

“The Representation of the said Lord Bishop of Southwell, our Inquiry into the circumstances of the case, the Statement of Circumstances in reply thereto, the Consent in writing of the Patron, and the copies of the Representation and Notice before mentioned, are hereunto annexed:

“And we do hereby certify the Inquiry and Consent aforesaid to Your Majesty in Council, to the intent that Your Majesty in Council may, in case Your Majesty in Council shall think fit so to do, make and issue an Order for separating the said Vicarage of Ault Hucknall from the said Vicarage of Heath, and that each Benefice when disunited shall retain its own original endowments and emoluments, and bear its own charges and outgoings.

“RANDALL, CANTUAR.”

Now, therefore, His Majesty in Council, by and with the advice of His said Council, is pleased to order, as it is hereby ordered, that the said United Benefice consisting of the Vicarage of Ault Hucknall and the Vicarage of Heath, situate in the County of Derby, and Diocese of Southwell shall be disunited by separating the said Vicarage of Ault Hucknall from the said Vicarage of Heath.

And His Majesty in Council, by and with the advice of His said Council, is further pleased, on the recommendation of the Archbishop, with the Consent of the Patron of the said Benefices, to assign and attach to each of such Benefices respectively its own original endowments and emoluments, and to direct that each Benefice shall bear its own charges and outgoings.

*Almeric FitzRoy.*

At the Court at Buckingham Palace, the 6th day of February, 1917.

PRESENT,

The KING's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS by Section 26 of the Pluralities Act, 1838, after reciting that “Whereas in some instances Tithings, Ham-