

order, and may give such directions as to the costs of the appeal and the security entered into by the appellant as the Court shall think fit, or make such further or other order in the premises as, in the opinion of the Court, the justice of the case requires.

RULE 25.—Where an appellant having obtained final leave to appeal, fails to show due diligence in taking all necessary steps for the purpose of procuring the despatch of the record to England, the Court may, on an application in that behalf made by the respondent, or of its own motion, on such notice to the parties as it shall think reasonable in the circumstances, declare the appeal to stand dismissed for non-prosecution without express order of His Majesty in Council and the costs of the appeal and the security entered into by the appellant shall be dealt with in such manner as the Court may think fit to direct.

And it is hereby further ordered that this Order in Council shall take effect in the Colony of Ceylon on such day as shall be named for that purpose by any Proclamation issued by the Officer administering the Government of that Colony.

Almeric FitzRoy.

At the Court at *Buckingham Palace*, the 22nd day of *March*, 1918.

PRESENT,

The KING's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of His Majesty King William the Fourth, Chapter 77, of the Act of the 3rd and 4th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 113, and of the Act of the 37th and 38th years of Her said Majesty, Chapter 63, duly prepared, and laid before His Majesty in Council, a Scheme bearing date the 28th day of February, 1918, in the words and figures following, that is to say:—

“We, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of His late Majesty King William the Fourth, Chapter 77, of the Act of the 3rd and 4th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 113, and of the Act of the 37th and 38th years of Her said late Majesty, Chapter 63, have prepared, and now humbly lay before Your Majesty in Council, the following Scheme for making certain new arrangements with respect to the Archdeaconries of Barnstaple and Totnes, in the Diocese of Exeter, and for constituting a new Archdeaconry within the said Diocese of Exeter, and for endowing such new Archdeaconry:

“Whereas the said Diocese of Exeter now comprises three Archdeaconries, and no more, namely, the said Archdeaconry of Barnstaple, the Archdeaconry of Exeter, and the said Archdeaconry of Totnes:

“And whereas the Right Reverend William, Bishop of Exeter, has represented to us, and it appears to us to be expedient, that the arrangements which are hereinafter recommended and proposed with respect to the said Archdeaconries of Barnstaple and Totnes, and with respect to some of the Rural Deaneries within such Archdeaconries, should be made, and that a new Archdeaconry should be constituted within the said Diocese of Exeter, and should comprise the Rural Deaneries which are hereinafter

recommended and proposed to be comprised therein, and which are at present within the said Archdeaconry of Totnes, and that such new Archdeaconry should be endowed in the manner which is hereinafter recommended and proposed:

“And whereas it appears to us to be undesirable that procurations, synodals, visitation fees, and induction fees, should be received by the Archdeacon of the said proposed new Archdeaconry:

“And whereas it has been made to appear to us that by reason of the discontinuance of the collection of the said fees there will be no annual income available for the said proposed Archdeaconry from fees, dues, and emoluments, and that the grant hereinafter recommended and proposed will not be such as to raise the annual income of the said proposed Archdeaconry to an amount exceeding £200:

“Now, therefore, we, the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, with the consent of the said William, Bishop of Exeter, (testified by his having signed and sealed this Scheme), humbly recommend and propose that upon and from the day upon which any Order of Your Majesty in Council ratifying this Scheme shall be published in the London Gazette, and without any assurance in the law other than such duly gazetted Order, *first*, the Rural Deanery of Holsworthy shall be transferred from the said Archdeaconry of Barnstaple to the said Archdeaconry of Totnes, and, *secondly*, one new Archdeaconry shall be created within the said Diocese of Exeter, and such Archdeaconry shall be named ‘the Archdeaconry of Plymouth,’ and shall comprise and consist of the Rural Deaneries of Three Towns, Plympton, and Tavistock, all at present in the said Archdeaconry of Totnes.

“And we further recommend and propose that the Rural Deans and other the Clergy and the Inhabitants generally of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Plymouth shall be under and subject to the archidiaconal jurisdiction, authority, and control, of the Archdeacon of such proposed Archdeaconry for the time being, and that such Archdeacon shall have and exercise all the rights, powers, and duties of an Archdeacon within the limits of his Archdeaconry.

“And we further recommend and propose that we be authorized to pay by half-yearly instalments, on the first day of January and the first day of July in every year, out of the Common Fund created by the secondly hereinbefore mentioned Act, to the Archdeacon of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Plymouth, and to his successors in the same Archdeaconry, the yearly sum of £200, the instalment so first becoming due being an apportioned part only of the said sum of £200 in respect of the period between the date of the collation of the first Archdeacon of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Plymouth and the first half-yearly day of payment next ensuing: Provided always that every payment in respect of the said yearly sum of £200 shall be made by us only after we shall have been satisfied that the Archdeacon who applies for the same has during the previous twelve calendar months complied with the conditions as to residence which for the time being are required by law, and that he has not collected procurations, synodals, visitation fees, or induction fees, during the same period.

“And we further recommend and propose