

First Schedule to the said Act amended as aforesaid, and we found that the amount of variation thereof from the standard of fineness specified in the said First Schedule to the said Act as so amended was plus five hundred thousandths (or +.00005) and that, therefore, the said metal was within the prescribed remedy as to fineness. We weighed the residue of the said gold coins in bulk, and we ascertained that they were within the remedy as to weight. We then took from such residue five sovereigns and one half sovereign and weighed and assayed them separately, and we found that such sovereigns weighed respectively, the first 123.314 grains, the second 123.252 grains, the third 123.295 grains, the fourth 123.369 grains, the fifth 123.311 grains, and that such half-sovereign weighed 61.686 grains. We then assayed the said five sovereigns and one half-sovereign separately, and we found the millesimal fineness of such sovereigns to be, the first 916.69, the second 916.83, the third 916.65, the fourth 916.75, and the fifth 916.66 respectively, and the millesimal fineness of such half-sovereign to be 916.79.

Dated the 5th day of May, 1920.

*E. Williams (Foreman).*  
*Alfred Dent.*  
*John B. Carrington.*  
*Henry F. Bowles.*  
*Aldenham.*  
*Chas. H. Townley.*  
*H. C. Lambert.*  
*J. F. W. Deacon.*  
*R. Alston.*  
*W. H. N. Goschen.*  
*H. C. Trotter.*  
*Henry C. Pearson.*  
*Charles T. Heycock.*  
*H. W. Robinson.*  
*Sydney C. Robinson.*

*T. Willes Chitty, King's Remembrancer.*

#### TRIAL OF THE PYX OF THE OTTAWA BRANCH MINT.

##### VERDICT.

We, whose names are hereunder written, having been sworn on the ninth day of March, One thousand nine hundred and twenty, before the King's Remembrancer, at Goldsmiths' Hall, in the City of London, have made the assays and trials of His Majesty's gold coins in the Pyx of the Branch Mint at Ottawa, in the Dominion of Canada, which, according to accounts produced by the Officers of the Mint, were coined in the said Branch Mint from the first day of January, One thousand nine hundred and nineteen, to the thirty-first day of December, One thousand nine hundred and nineteen, both days inclusive. We ascertained that the number of coins in the only packet produced to us corresponded with the number which the Officers of the Mint represented it to contain, and we took sixty-six coins from such packet of gold coins, amounting altogether to sixty-six sovereigns or twenty-shilling pieces, and we weighed each of the said coins separately, and ascertained that they were within the remedy as to weight prescribed in the First Schedule to the Coinage Act, 1870, as amended by the Coinage Act, 1891. We found that the amount of variation from the standard of weight specified in the said First Schedule to the said Act as so amended was nil of an ounce (nil oz.) on the whole of such coins. We then

melted the said gold coins so taken out and weighed into an ingot, and assayed such ingot, comparing it with the standard gold Trial Plate produced by the Board of Trade, so as to ascertain whether the metal was within the remedy as to fineness prescribed in the said First Schedule to the said Act amended as aforesaid, and we found that the amount of variation thereof from the standard of fineness specified in the said First Schedule to the said Act as so amended was minus eight hundred thousandths (or -.00008), and that, therefore, the said metal was within the prescribed remedy as to fineness. We weighed the residue of the said gold coins in bulk, and we ascertained that they were within the remedy as to weight. We then took from such residue two sovereigns and weighed and assayed them separately, and we found that such sovereigns weighed respectively—the first 123.290 grains, the second 123.311 grains. We then assayed the said two sovereigns and we found the millesimal fineness of such sovereigns to be, the first 916.74, the second 916.71 respectively.

Dated the 5th day of May, 1920.

*E. Williams (Foreman).*  
*Alfred Dent.*  
*John B. Carrington.*  
*Henry F. Bowles.*  
*Aldenham.*  
*Chas. H. Townley.*  
*H. C. Lambert.*  
*J. F. W. Deacon.*  
*H. Alston.*  
*W. H. N. Goschen.*  
*H. C. Trotter.*  
*Henry C. Pearson.*  
*Charles T. Heycock.*  
*H. W. Robinson.*  
*Sydney C. Robinson.*

*T. Willes Chitty (King's Remembrancer).*

#### TRIAL OF THE PYX OF THE MELBOURNE BRANCH MINT.

##### VERDICT.

We, whose names are hereunder written, having been sworn on the ninth day of March, One thousand nine hundred and twenty, before the King's Remembrancer, at Goldsmiths' Hall, in the City of London, have made the assays and trials of His Majesty's gold coins in the Pyx of the Branch Mint at Melbourne in the State of Victoria, which, according to accounts produced by the Officers of the Mint, were coined in the said Branch Mint from the first day of January, One thousand nine hundred and nineteen, to the thirty-first day of December, One thousand nine hundred and nineteen, both days inclusive. We ascertained that the number of coins in each packet produced to us corresponded with the number which the Officers of the Mint represented it to contain, and we took nineteen coins from each of such packets of gold coins, amounting altogether to seventy-six sovereigns or twenty-shilling pieces, and we weighed each of the said coins separately, and ascertained that they were within the remedy as to weight prescribed in the First Schedule to the Coinage Act, 1870, as amended by the Coinage Act, 1891. We found that the amount of variation from the standard of weight specified in the said First Schedule to the said Act as so amended was minus three thousandths of an ounce (-.003 oz.) on the whole of such coins. We