And upon hearing counsel for the petitioners and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said petition the affidavit of Maurice William Kingston filed the 22nd January 1975 the Affidavit of Victor George Brabham filed the 10th February 1975 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said petition as appears from the said affidavit of Victor George Brabham and the exhibit thereto

And the petitioners by their counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the above-mentioned Act, a copy of the annual return of the company for each of the years 1967 to 1974 inclusive together with the documents annexed thereto as required by section 127 of the said Act to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 107 of the said Act notice of any change in the situation of the registered office of the company and to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the said Act a notification of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary or in any of the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries, specifying the date of the change

This court doth order that the name of the above named Property Fencing (Erection) Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the above mentioned Act the said Property Fencing (Erection) Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the petitioners the said Property Fencing (Erection) Limited and Maurice William Kingston do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said petition such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund Basis

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division).—
No. 00416 of 1975

In the Matter of M. WINEGARTEN (JEWELLERS) LIMITED and in the Matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an Order made on Monday, the 3rd day of March 1975 upon the petition of the above-named M. Winegarten (Jewellers) Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Maurice Winegarten of 12 Brockley Close Stanmore Middlesex a member of the company on the 5th February 1975 preferred unto this Court.

And upon hearing counsel for the petitioners and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said petition the affidavit of Maurice Winegarten filed the 5th February 1975 the affidavit of Susan Jennifer Carmichael filed the 20th February 1975 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said petition as appears from the said affidavit of Susan Jennifer Carmichael and the exhibit thereto

And the petitioners by their counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the above-mentioned Act, a copy of the annual return of the company for the year 1974 together with the documents annexed thereto as required by section 127 of the said Act, to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by Section 107 of the said Act notice of the situation of the registered office of the Company at the date of incorporation and any change therein since that date to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the said Act a return containing the particulars specified in the register of directors and secretaries of the company and a notification of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary or in any of the particulars contained in its said register, specifying the date of the change and to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 52 of the said Act a return of allotments.

This Court doth order that the name of the above-named M. Winegarten (Jewellers) Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this Order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the above-mentioned Act the said M. Winegarten (Jewellers) Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this Order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the petitioners the said M. Winegarten (Jewellers) Limited and Maurice Winegarten do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said petition such costs to be taxed on the common fund basis.

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division).—
No. 00678 of 1975

In the Matter of BEW MANAGEMENTS LIMITED and in the Matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an Order made on Monday, the 17th day of March 1975 upon motion this day made unto this Court by counsel on behalf of Terence Michael Butler of Two Pines Clowse Top Kidderminster in the County of Worcester John Michael Osbourne of Bullrush Cottage Butt Lane Ranton in the County of Stafford Anthony Frank Iommi of Kilworth House North Kilworth Near Lutterworth in the County of Leicester and William Thomas Ward of Summerville House Ridgeway Cross in the County of Hereford all of whom are creditors of the above-named company or are otherwise interested therein and up hearing counsel for the Register of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the affidavit of William Thomas Ward and the affidavit of Graeme Parker both filed the 17th March 1975 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And it appearing that pursuant to the provisions of the above-mentioned Act the said company became dissolved on the 1st March 1973

And it appearing from the above-mentioned affidavit of Graeme Parker and the exhibit thereto that there is no objection on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by this motion

And the applicants by their counsel undertaking to use their best endeavours to draw the company's attention to its defaults in respect of its annual returns and to present with due diligence a petition to wind up the said company.

This Court doth declare the dissolution of the above-named company to have been void

And it is ordered that the applicants do within twenty-one days from the date hereof deliver an office copy of this Order to the Registrar of Companies

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Terence Michael Butler John Michael Osbourne Anthony Frank Iommi and William Thomas Ward do pay to the respondent the said Registrar of Companies his costs of this motion such costs to be taxed on the party and party basis.

And it is ordered that the applicants be at liberty to apply to recoup their costs of this motion including those paid to the respondent on the said winding-up petition.

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

COMPANIES ACT, 1948

Notice is hereby given that the name of TYTEL & MICHAELS LIMITED was inadvertently included in the list of Companies struck off the register pursuant to section 353 (5) by notice in the *London Gazette* of 11th March 1975 and the Registrar has been advised that such notice was ineffective to dissolve the Company.

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

Notice is hereby given that the name of VENDAMUSE LIMITED was inadvertently included in the list of Companies struck off the Register pursuant to section 353 (5) by notice in the *London Gazette* of 6th December 1973 and that the Registrar has been advised that such notice was ineffective to dissolve the Company.

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.