

This court doth order that the name of the above named G. C. Davis Holdings (Bristol) Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies And pursuant to the above mentioned Act the said G. C. Davis Holdings (Bristol) Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the petitioners the said G. C. Davis Holdings (Bristol) Limited and Robert Long do jointly and severally pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said petition such costs to be on the High Court scale and to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division).—  
No. 004039 of 1975

In the Matter of BROOKSIDE PARK LIMITED and in the Matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an Order made on the 12th day of January 1976 upon the petition of the above named Brookside Park Limited (hereinafter called "the company") and of William George Boggia of Cedar Croft Cleve Hill Graveney in the County of Kent a member of the company on the 5th December 1975 preferred unto this Court

And upon hearing Counsel for the petitioners and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said petition the affidavit of William George Boggia filed the 17th December 1975 the affidavit of John James Pateman and the affidavit of George William Holdstock both filed the 7th January 1976 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said petition as appears from the said affidavit of John James Pateman and the exhibit thereto

And the petitioners by their Counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the above-mentioned Act, a copy of the annual return of the company for the year 1975 together with the documents annexed thereto as required by section 127 of the said Act to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 107 of the said Act notice of the situation of the registered office of the company at the date of incorporation and of any change therein since that date to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the said Act a return containing the particulars specified in the register of directors and secretaries of the company and a notification of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary or in any of the particulars contained in its said register, specifying the date of the change and to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 52 of the said Act a return of allotments

This Court doth order that the name of the above named Brookside Park Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this Order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the above mentioned Act the said Brookside Park Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this Order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the petitioners the said Brookside Park Limited and William George Boggia do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said petition such costs to be taxed on the common fund basis

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

In the Kingston-upon-Thames County Court  
No. 6838 of 1975

In the Matter of BEAUTELE LIMITED and in the Matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an Order made on the 16th day of July 1975 upon the petition of the above named Beautel Limited (hereinafter called "the company") and of Jack Garnel of 11 The Wilderness, Park Road, Hampton

Hill, Middlesex, a member of the company on the 17th day of June 1975 preferred unto this Court

And upon hearing Counsel for the petitioners and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said petition and the affidavit of Jack Garnel filed the 14th day of June 1975 and the exhibits in the said affidavit respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said petition as appears from the said affidavit of William Louis Booth and the exhibit thereto

And the petitioners by their Solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the Companies Act, 1948 a copy of the annual return of the company for each of the years 1970 to 1974 inclusive together with the documents annexed thereto as required by section 127 of the said Act send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the said Act a notification of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary or in any of the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries, specifying the date of the change

This Court doth order that the name of the above named Beautel Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this Order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the above mentioned Act the said Beautel Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this Order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the petitioners the said Beautel Limited and Jack Garnel do jointly and severally pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said petition such costs to be on the High Court Scale and to be taxed on the common fund basis if not agreed

R. W. Westley, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division).—  
No. 004163 of 1975

In the Matter of NAMTCHAL INVESTMENTS LIMITED and in the Matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an Order made on the 26th day of January 1976 upon the petition of the above named Nantchal Investments Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Arnold Kershman of 11 Avenue de Madrid, Cannes 06400, France, and Henry Sainer of 160 Camden High Street, London N.W.1, members of the company on the 18th December 1975, preferred unto this Court

And upon hearing Counsel for the petitioners and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said petition the affidavit of Henry Sainer filed the 18th December 1975 the affidavit of Sidney Torrance filed the 12th January 1976 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said petition as appears from the said affidavit of Sidney Torrance and the exhibit thereto

And the petitioners by their Counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the above-mentioned Act, a copy of the annual return of the company for each of the years 1970 to 1974 (inclusive) together with the documents annexed thereto as required by section 127 of the said Act and to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the said Act a notification of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary or in any of the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries, specifying the date of the change

This Court doth order that the name of the above named Nantchal Investments Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this Order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the above mentioned Act the said Nantchal Investments Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off