

affidavit of Ronald Thomas Eric Carter filed the 9th January 1978 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said originating summons as appears from the said affidavit of Ronald Thomas Eric Carter and the exhibit thereto

And the applicants by their counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the Companies Act 1948 a copy of the annual return of the company for each of the years 1973 to 1977 (inclusive) and to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 23 of the Companies Act 1976 notice in the prescribed form of any change in the situation of the registered office of the company

And the applicant the said Brian Lonsdale by his counsel undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 1 and 6 of the Companies Act 1976 a copy of every document required by the said section 1 to be comprised in the accounts of the company

It is ordered that the name of the above-named Leasetec Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the Companies Act 1948 the said Leasetec Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Leasetec Limited and Brian Lonsdale do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said application such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed

*D. B. Nottage*, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division)  
No. 003875 of 1977

In the matter of LUDGATE THEATRICAL PRODUCTIONS LIMITED and in the matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an order made on Wednesday, the 18th day of January 1978 upon the application by originating summons dated the 30th November 1977 of the above-named Ludgate Theatrical Productions Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Keith Waterhouse of 70 St. Paul Street London N1 and Willis Hall of 64 Clarence Road St. Albans in the county of Hertford both members of the company

And upon hearing the solicitors for the applicants and for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said originating summons the affidavit of Keith Waterhouse filed the 21st December 1977 the affidavit of Barry John Shaw filed the 17th January 1978 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said originating summons as appears from the said affidavit of Barry John Shaw and the exhibit thereto

And all the applicants by their solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the Companies Act 1948 a copy of the annual return of the company for the year 1976 and to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 (4) of the Companies Act 1948 as amended by section 22 (1) of the Companies Act 1976 a notification in the prescribed form of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary and in the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries and of the date on which each such change occurred and to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 52 of the Companies Act 1948 a return of allotments in the prescribed form and within two months of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to take all steps necessary for procuring that the company be placed in voluntary liquidation

And the applicants the said Keith Waterhouse and Willis Hall by their solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register

of companies to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 1 and 6 of the Companies Act 1976 a copy of every document required by the said section 1 to be comprised in the accounts of the company

It is ordered that the name of the above-named Ludgate Theatrical Productions Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the Companies Act 1948 the said Ludgate Theatrical Productions Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Ludgate Theatrical Productions Limited Keith Waterhouse and Willis Hall do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said application such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed

*D. B. Nottage*, Registrar of Companies.

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division)  
No. 003802 of 1977

In the matter of SPECLUX LIMITED and in the matter of the Companies Act, 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an order made on Wednesday, the 18th day of January 1978 upon the application by originating summons dated the 24th November 1977 of the above-named Speclux Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Michael Stanley Fry of 63 Kendall Steps St George's Fields London WC2 a member of the company

And upon hearing the solicitors for the applicants and the solicitor for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said originating summons the affidavit of Michael Stanley Fry and the affidavit of Anthony Edward Askew both filed the 24th November 1977 and the exhibits in the first-mentioned affidavit referred to

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said originating summons as by affidavit and the exhibit thereto appears

And the applicants by their solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the Companies Act 1948 a copy of the annual return of the company for each of the years 1975 to 1977 (inclusive) and to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the Companies Act 1948 as originally enacted a return in the prescribed form containing the particulars in the register of directors and secretaries of the company specified in section 200 (2) of the Companies Act 1948 and a notification in the prescribed form of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary and in the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries and of the date on which each such change occurred and to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 107 of the Companies Act 1948 notice of the situation of the registered office of the company at the date of its incorporation and notice in the prescribed form of any change in such situation since that date

And the applicant the said Michael Stanley Fry by his solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 1 and 6 of the Companies Act 1976 a copy of every document required by the said section 1 to be comprised in the accounts of the company

It is ordered that the name of the above-named Speclux Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the Companies Act 1948 the said Speclux Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Speclux Limited and Michael Stanley Fry do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said application such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed

*D. B. Nottage*, Registrar of Companies.